

No.37/2023 dated: 20-07-2023**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 25-07-2023**Venue: Court Hall of the CommissionTime : 11.00 AM

Item No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.16 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus (1) Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt. Limited (2) KAS Industries India Pvt Limited (3) Jeyavishnu Tex Processors Pvt. Limited (4) Arun Spinning Mills Pvt Ltd (5) Statex Mills (6) Rajashree Spintex Pvt Ltd (7) Sri Senthilandavar Cotton Mills Pvt Limited (8) V.R.Spining Mills Pvt Ltd (9) Shamugappriya Textiles Pvt Limited (10) C.V.Spinner Pvt Limited (11) Sri Karpaga Vinayagar Textiles (12) Velan Spinning Mills India Pvt. Limited (13) Annur Sri Ambal Cotton Mills Pvt Limited (14) Sri Palani Andavar Textiles (15) Vijeyranga Textiles Pvt Limited (16) Thamarai Mills (17) Annur Sri Ambal Febrics Pvt Limited (18) Marudhamalai Sri Velmurugan Textiles (19) Jayarani Spinning Mills Limited (20) Shri Velmurugan Cotton Mills (21) Anithaa Weaving	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To declare that M/s.Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2017-2018 and 2018-2019. For admission.

		Mills Pvt Limited (22) Enkay Textiles (23) Senthil Spinners Pvt Ltd (24) Sam Turbo Industry Ltd		
2	M.P.No.17 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To declare that M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial year 2016-2017. For admission.
3	M.P.No.18 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Array Land Developers Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To declare that M/s.Array Land Developers Pvt. Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2014-2015 to 2016- 2017 and 2019-2020 to 2021 -2022. For admission.
4	M.P.No.19 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To declare that M/s.Ind Bharat Powergencom Ltd has lost captive status for the financial year 2017- 2018. For admission.
5	M.P.No.20 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To declare that M/s.MMS Steel and Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2014-2015 & 2015- 2016. For admission.
6	M.P.No.21 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To declare that M/s.OPG Energy Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2019-2020, 2020-2021 & 2021-2022. For admission.
7	M.P.No.22 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited (2) M/s.Balavigna Weaving Mills Pvt Limited (3) M/s.Chola Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To declare that M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited has lost captive status for the financial year 2014-2015 & 2015-2016. For admission.

		(4) Dindigul Cotton Textile Mills Pvt Limited (5) GHCL Ltd (6) Hotel Raj Parks Ltd (7) Jaya Sree Textiles Ltd (8) Sree Koppammal Cotton Spinning Mills Ltd (9) Sri Kandha Spinners Ltd (10) Raajco Spinners Pvt Ltd (11) M/s.Agni Steels (12) M/s.Sree Kaderi Ambal Mills (13) M/s.Sri Ramalinga Mills		
Batch cases - In the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power				
8	T.A.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.25357 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For filing counter by the 3 rd respondent, M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd.
9	T.A.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For filing counter by the 3 rd respondent, M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd.
10	T.A.No.3 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For filing counter by the 3 rd respondent, M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd.
11	T.A.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Lucas TVS Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.

		(Rameswaram) Limited		For filing counter by the 3 rd respondent, M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd.
12	T.A.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Fasteners Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.25248 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levying penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For filing counter by the 3 rd respondent, M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd.
Batch cases - In the matter of adjustment of lapsed units				
13	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. <i>For filing counter as a last chance.</i>
14	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. For filing counter as a last chance.
15	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. For filing counter as a last chance.
16	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. For filing counter as a last chance.

17	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/ TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. For filing counter as a last chance.
18	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. For filing counter as a last chance.
19	R.P. No. 2 of 2022	M/s. Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO & (ii) CMD/TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. N. Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To review the order dated 14-09-2021 in D.R.P. No. 27 of 2013 for non-consideration of the order in M/s. B&G Solar and in terms of grounds stated in para-18. For filing counter by CE/NCES, TANGEDCO.
20	T.L.A.No.1 of 2019	Star Eco Energy Private Limited	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to grant Intra-State Trading Licence. For filing required details as a last chance.
21	I.A.No.1 of 2023 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2021	M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CE/GTS, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/GTS, Ramnad Circle	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay a total sum of Rs.128 crores along with interest towards illegal deduction, compensation for deviation 15% of the contracted value, power supplied to the captive consumers, power supplied 10% over and above the contracted quantum and delayed payment along with interest. For filing of amendment petition.
22	D.R.P.No.10 of 2022	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) TANTRANSCO (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.47,54,66,518/- to the petitioner towards outstanding arrears, capacity charges, late payment surcharge and other charges under the PPA dated 12.12.2013 as on 17.03.2022 together with future interest thereon. For filing of affidavit by the petitioner.

23	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,PPP 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12.82 crores. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
24	M.P.No.25 of 2014	Pioneer Power Ltd. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Generation) 3) CE, IPP	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To issue directions on the respondents in terms of section 142 of the Electricity Act 2003 for non-compliance of the order dated 30-12-2011. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
25	M.P.No.31 of 2022	M/s.Bhabani Pigments Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tiruppur EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the Respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 15.03.2022 in D.R.P.No.20 of 2021 and consequently direct the respondents to make payment of the entire sum of Rs.87,08,492 along with interest @ 1% P.M. For reporting compliance.
26	M.P.No.10 of 2023	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To approve the petition seeking True-up of FY 2021-22 as per the provisions and to approve the actual revenue gap / surplus arising on account of truing up for FY 2021-22 without creating the regulatory asset for uncovered gap for FY 2021-22 as GoTN has given commitment to take over 100% losses as per RDSS Scheme and to pass any other orders. For further hearing.

27	R.P.No.2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012. For arguments.
28	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012 towards short levy of excess energy charges. For arguments.
29	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO .	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012. For arguments.
30	M.P.No.7 of 2022	Thiru.M.Xavier, Tuticorin Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) Director / Distribution (iv) CE/Distn., Tirunelveli (v) SE/Tuticorin EDC	Represented by Thiru.S.Neelakanta Pillai Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct TANGEDCO to supply LT three phase power supply 24 hrs in a day on regular basis for his industry and to issue suitable and appropriate direction to the licensee to stop such illegal restriction of power supply with immediate effect and others. For arguments.
31	M.P.No.18 of 2022	Thiru. Somasundaram, Tiruppur Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) EE/Operation (iii) AEE/O&M/Town/South	Adv.K.Sasindran Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the order of the 3rd respondent dt.24.06.2016 and 2nd respondent order dated 28.09.2016 and direct the respondents to repay the 50% of assessed amount paid by the petitioner at the time of filing the statutory appeal. For arguments on maintainability as well as on merits.
32	D.R.P.No.12 of 2022	The Tata Power Co. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Udumalpet EDC	SKV Law Offices Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.53.72 crores in favour of the petitioner as the differential amount including interest for wrongfully fixing the rate at 75% of the preferential tariff rates even for the years where the APPC rates did not breach the preferential tariff rates. For arguments.

33	M.P.No.1 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd	Adv.Richardson Wilson M/s.J Sagar Associates	To ratify the power dispatched from M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd to the grid on pass through as per the MoP guidelines dt.5.5.2022 and to fix the tariff for the power supplied from 30.04.2022 to 30.11.2022 by considering the payment already made by relaxing certain provisions of PPA / Addendum-3 as a one-time measure. For arguments.
34	D.R.P.No.2 of 2023	NLC India Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) CE/Transmission (iv) CE/SLDC	HSB Advocates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to comply with the order of the Commission dt.5.4.2022 in M.P.No.1 of 2021 and to pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.51,08,16,706/- for the period upto June 2022 as a compensation for issuing backing down instructions to Renewable Energy plants for reasons other than grid security. For arguments

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission