

No.23/2023 dated: 21-04-2023

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 25-04-2023 (Physical Hearing)

Time : 11-00 AM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.8 of 2023	M/s.Dollar Industries Limited Versus (i) Director / Generation, TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	For order.
2	M.P.No.11 of 2023	CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	For order.
3	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & M.P.No.12 of 2023	M/s.Tagros Chemicals India Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CE/Commercial (iii) SE/Cuddalore EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To pass an interim directions directing the Respondents-2 to 5 to effect additional demand of 275 KVA in the petitioner's HT service connection without insisting on payment of the amounts demanded or any other amount in contravention of the Electricity Act, 2003 and the Regulations and to punish the respondents 2 to 5 for demanding a sum of Rs.1,78,66,700/- vide impugned procs dt.18.02.2023 for effecting additional demand of 275 KVA in the petitioner's HT service connection and also direct the respondents to effect additional demand of 275 KVA to the petitioner and also direct the respondents to refund the amounts collected from the petitioner. For admission.

4	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Karur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.21.07.2016 demanding a sum of Rs.2,64,97,493/- and pass other orders. For admission.
5	R.P.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO & (ii) CMD/TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To review the order dated 14.9.2021 in D.R.P.No.27 of 2013 for non-consideration of the order in M/s.B&G Solar and in terms of grounds stated in para-18. For filing counter on maintainability of the review petition.
6	I.A.No.1 of 2023 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2021	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CE/GTS, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/GTS, Ramnad Circle	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay a total sum of Rs.128 crores along with interest towards illegal deduction, compensation for deviation 15% of the contracted value, power supplied to the captive consumers, power supplied 10% over and above the contracted quantum and delayed payment along with interest. For filing counter on the impleading of TANTRANSCO and SLDC.
7	M.P.No.11 of 2022	Power Engineers Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) PPN Power Generating Co. Pvt. Limited	Rep. by its President Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To declare the payment made and to be made to M/s.PPN Power Generating Ltd in accordance to the BP 21 dated 30.3.2020 is in violation of PPA entered on 03.01.1997 and to disapprove the amendments to PPA, if any entertained and order to recover the entire amount paid to PPN Power Ltd and also to punish TANGEDCO for the

				violation to the provision of section 142 of the E.A., 2003. For filing counter on the maintainability of the petition as well as on merits by R-1 & R-2.
8	M.P.No.3 of 2023	The South Indian Sugar Mills Association - Tamil Nadu Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Exercise its regulatory powers to direct TANGEDCO to purchase power from petitioner's members having subsisting PPAs at full price beyond 55% / 60% PLF as the case may be till such time they reach the 55% / 60% PLF on cumulative basis to compensate for the very low PLF achieved in the earlier years. For counter as a last chance.
9	D.R.P.No.18 of 2021	Amarjothi Spinning Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director /Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.47,83,750/- being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied and such further pendent lite interest from the date of filing. For rejoinder.
10	D.R.P.No.9 of 2022	M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC (iii) CE/NCES (iv) CFC/General	Adv.Veda Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.1,02,96,915/- to the petitioner towards interest on account of delay in payment of monthly bills along with interest upto June 2020 and award interest @ 12% p.a. from the date of this petition till the date of actual payment. For rejoinder.
11	M.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus	Adv.Veda	To issue appropriate clarifications or directions to the

		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	respondents that interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 only in the event of petitioner delivering more than 5 MW of alternating current to the respondents at the delivery point. For rejoinder.
12	D.R.P.No.2 of 2023	NLC India Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) CE/Transmission (iv) CE/SLDC	HSB Advocates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to comply with the order of the Commission dt.5.4.2022 in M.P.No.1 of 2021 and to pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.51,08,16,706/- for the period upto June 2022 as a compensation for issuing backing down instructions to Renewable Energy plants for reasons other than grid security. For rejoinder.
13	T.L.A.No.1 of 2019	Star Eco Energy Private Limited	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to grant Intra-State Trading Licence. For filing required details as a last chance.
14	M.P.No.13 of 2022	M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) Director (Finance) (iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (v) SE/Theni EDC	M/s.Rugan & Arya Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 19.1.2022 in D.R.P.No.7 of 2021 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum of Rs.28,07 crores along with interest @ 12% p.a. For reporting status.
15	M.P.No.31 of 2022	M/s.Bhabani Pigments Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan &	To impose penalty upon the Respondents in accordance with section 142 of the

		(ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tiruppur EDC	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 15.03.2022 in D.R.P.No.20 of 2021 and consequently direct the respondents to make payment of the entire sum of Rs.87,08,492 along with interest @ 1% P.M. For reporting compliance.
16	M.P.No.4 of 2023	M/s.Pandian Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) CFC/Revenue (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) SE/Sivaganga EDC (vi) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (vii) SE/Kanyakumari EDC	Adv.S.Yasar Arafath Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To take necessary action against respondent TANGEDCO under section 142 & 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for deliberate non-compliance of TNERC order dated 02.02.2021 in D.R.P.No.1 of 2020. For reporting status.
17	D.R.P.No.1 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to pay the interest of Rs.1.44 crores. For arguments of the respondent.
18	D.R.P.No.2 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.2.52 crores towards 2% of line loss. For arguments of the respondent.
19	D.R.P.No.4 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.15.19 lakhs towards 2% of line loss. For arguments of the respondent.
20	D.R.P.No.13 of 2021	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue,	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan &	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in P.P.A.P No.8 of 2011 dt.31.3.2016 and consequently release

		TANGEDCO iii) CE/NCES iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC v) SE/Pudukkottai EDC	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	the payment towards 2% line loss of Rs.2,87,29,026/- payable together with interest of Rs.1,53,69,099/- thereon at 12% p.a. For arguments of the respondent.
21	D.R.P.No.17 of 2021	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd 2) CFC/General 3) Director (Finance) 4) Director (Generation) 5) SE/Kallakurichi EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to make payment of principal amount of Rs.2,74,04,230/- along with interest @ 18% p.a. and also to direct payment of Rs.12,35,53,367/- towards interest on delayed payments. For further arguments of the petitioner.
22	I.A.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.3 of 2022	M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO	M/s.J.Sagar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	Permit the petitioner to terminate the Coal Supply and Transportation Agreement (CSTA) dt.9.2.2018 and to execute a FSA with CIL / any domestic coal supplier in order to procure Indian Domestic Coal and consequently remove the ceiling price mechanism and to procure coal from alternate sources and to amend the PPA to incorporate the above changes. For admission on the I.A. filed by the respondent and for further arguments.
23	M.P.No.16 of 2022	Aditanar Educational Institution Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue	Adv.Rajesh Vivekananthan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the rejection order of the respondents and direct them to revise the excess levy for the period from 2010 to 2013 in tariff charging

		(iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC		the sub-usage of electricity for construction within the HTSC of the petitioner and direct the respondents to refund a sum of Rs.26,00,000/- being the collection of excess amount along with interest @ 18% in accordance with law. For arguments.
24	M.P.No.18 of 2022	Thiru. Somasundaram, Tiruppur Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) EE/Operation (iii) AEE/O&M/Town/South	Adv.K.Sasindran Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the order of the 3rd respondent dt.24.06.2016 and 2nd respondent order dated 28.09.2016 and direct the respondents to repay the 50% of assessed amount paid by the petitioner at the time of filing the statutory appeal. For arguments on the jurisdiction of the Commission.
25	D.R.P.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 1,28,004 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For arguments of the respondent.
26	D.R.P.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 4,93,599 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the

		(iv) SE/Palladam EDC		petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For arguments of the respondent.
27	D.R.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Hindustan Textiles Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 2,41,920 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period August 2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For arguments of the respondent.
28	D.R.P.No.10 of 2022	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) TANTRANSCO (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.47,54,66,518/- to the petitioner towards outstanding arrears, capacity charges, late payment surcharge and other charges under the PPA dated 12.12.2013 as on 17.03.2022 together with future interest thereon. For arguments.
29	M.P.No.7 of 2022	Thiru.M.Xavier, Tuticorin Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) Director / Distribution (iv) CE/Distn., Tirunelveli (v) SE/Tuticorin EDC	Represented by Thiru.S.Neelakanta Pillai Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct TANGEDCO to supply LT three phase power supply 24 hrs in a day on regular basis for his industry and to issue suitable and appropriate direction to the licensee to stop such illegal restriction of power supply with immediate effect and others. For arguments.
30	M.P.No.5 of 2023	M/s.K.GFOUNDATIONS Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/CEDC/South-2 (iv) Chairman/CGRF (v) EE/O&M, Taramani	M/s.Stephen & Stephen Advocates Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To invoke section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the orders of the Commission dated 09.11.2021 in M.P.No.2 of 2021 and direct the respondent to revise the estimate strictly adhering to the

				statutory rules / orders / directions in force and to refund the same immediately and to pass just & further or other orders. For arguments.
--	--	--	--	---

(By order of the Commission)

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**