

No.09/2023 dated: 17-02-2023

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 23-02-2023 (Physical Hearing)****Time : 02-30 PM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.11 of 2022	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director/Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC (iv) SE/Virudhunagar EDC (v) SE/Tuticorin EDC (vi) SE/Chennai North EDC (vii) Theni Gurukrishna Textile Mills Pvt. Ltd (viii) Sri Jaya Jothi & Co. Ltd (ix) Kayaar Exports Pvt. Ltd	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 to R-6	To set aside the claim pertaining to audit shortfall contained in letter dated 9.6.2021 issued by the 6th Respondent deducting an amount of Rs.6,39,95,942/- from the Compensation Charges payable to the petitioner, allegedly for Audit shortfall for not having STOA for the period from 1.6.2016 to 31.7.2016. For filing counter as a last chance.
2	M.P.No.4 of 2023	M/s.Pandian Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) CFC/Revenue (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) SE/Sivaganga EDC (vi) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (vii) SE/Kanyakumari EDC	Adv.S.Yasar Arafath Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To take necessary action against respondent TANGEDCO under section 142 & 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for deliberate non-compliance of TNERC order dated 02.02.2021 in D.R.P.No.1 of 2020. For reporting compliance and filing counter.
3	D.R.P.No.2 of 2023	NLC India Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) CE/Transmission (iv) CE/SLDC	HSB Advocates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to comply with the order of the Commission dt.5.4.2022 in M.P.No.1 of 2021 and to pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.51,08,16,706/- for the period upto June 2022 as a compensation for

				issuing backing down instructions to Renewable Energy plants for reasons other than grid security. For counter.
4	M.P.No.47 of 2021	M/s.T.R.K.Textile India Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to revise the EWA by ordering to expunge the inconsistent portions of the EWA and to execute a fresh EWA and also to accept the invoices of the petitioner whenever raised for the encashment of the unutilised Solar Energy available at the account of the petitioner, for its 75% of the value and to effect the payment. For further arguments.
5	M.P.No.3 of 2022	M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO	M/s.J.Sagar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	Permit the petitioner to terminate the Coal Supply and Transportation Agreement (CSTA) dt.9.2.2018 and to execute a FSA with CIL / any domestic coal supplier in order to procure Indian Domestic Coal and consequently remove the ceiling price mechanism and to procure coal from alternate sources and to amend the PPA to incorporate the above changes. For further arguments.
6	M.P.No.16 of 2022	Aditanar Educational Institution Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC	Adv.Rajesh Vivekananthan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the rejection order of the respondents and direct them to revise the excess levy for the period from 2010 to 2013 in tariff charging the sub-usage of electricity for construction within the HTSC of the

				<p>petitioner and direct the respondents to refund a sum of Rs.26,00,000/- being the collection of excess amount along with interest @ 18% in accordance with law.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>
7	M.P.No.18 of 2022	<p>Thiru. Somasundaram, Tiruppur</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) EE/Operation (iii) AEE/O&M/Town/South</p>	<p>Adv.K.Sasindran</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To set aside the order of the 3rd respondent dt.24.06.2016 and 2nd respondent order dated 28.09.2016 and direct the respondents to repay the 50% of assessed amount paid by the petitioner at the time of filing the statutory appeal.</p> <p>For arguments on the maintainability.</p>
8	M.P.No.34 of 2022	<p>M/s.Doshi Housing Private Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/South-I</p>	<p>M/s.Stephen & Stephen Advocates Associates</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To invoke section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-complying with the orders of the Commission in Taramurali's case and statutes, apart from directing the respondent to revise the estimate strictly adhering to the statutory rules / orders / directions in force and to refund the same.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>
9	R.P.No.6 of 2022 in T.P.No.1 of 2022	<p>M/s.Tamil Nadu Power Producers' Association</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>CMD / TANGEDCO</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>Review the order of the Commission in T.P.No.1 of 2022 in the matter of determination of wheeling charges payable by open access.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>

10	R.P.No.1 of 2022 in M.P.No.2 of 2021, M.P.Nos.33 to 36 of 2021, T.A.No.7 of 2021 & M.P.No.45 of 2021	CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Tmt.Tara Murali (ii) Thiru.V.Gunalan (iii) Tmt.S.Shalini (iv) Tmt.J.Shobha Lalith (v) Tmt.G.Kumari Selva (vi) Thiru.K.Sakthivel (vii) Thiru.Manivasagan	Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.Arun Anbumani for R-1, Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-2 to R-6 & Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-7	To review the orders of the Commission by considering the cost of DT erected within the consumer premises with respect to extension of LT service connections and also the actual cost of extension with respect to extension of HT service connections. For arguments.
----	--	---	---	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission