

No.42/2023 dated: 18-08-2023

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 22-08-2023****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Item No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.27 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Seeking approval for deviation from the guidelines and to float a short-term tender for procurement of RTC power from 01.03.2024 to 31.05.2024 and to publish RfP notice in two Tamil newspapers and two English National newspapers and upload the same in TANGEDCO website. For admission.
Batch cases - In the matter of adjustment of lapsed units – For filing counter as a last chance				
2	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. <i>For filing counter as a last chance.</i>
3	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. <i>For filing counter as a last chance.</i>

4	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. For filing counter as a last chance.
5	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. For filing counter as a last chance.
6	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/ TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. For filing counter as a last chance.
7	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units. For filing counter as a last chance.
8	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & M.P.No.13 of 2023	M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/South (iii) Secretary to Govt., Energy Department	Adv.Aviral Dhirendra Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To stay the impugned demand notices dated 14.12.2022 and 02.01.2023 and direct the respondents to not take any coercive measures including disconnection of electricity in respect of Unit-1 and Unit-2 of the petitioner and to quash the impugned demand notices dated 14.12.2022 & 02.01.2023 as arbitrary and illegal and set aside letters dated 31.07.2007, 03.01.2008 & notices and direct the respondents No.1

				to treat the petitioner's unit-1 and unit-2 under HT Tariff-IA for the period prior to 01.08.2020. For counter.
9	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & M.P.No.14 of 2023	M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/South (iii) Secretary to Govt., Energy Department	Adv.Aviral Dhirendra Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To stay the impugned demand notice dated 07.03.2022 and direct the respondents to not take any coercive measures including disconnection of electricity in respect of Unit-1 and Unit-2 of the petitioner and to quash the impugned demand notice dated 07.03.2023 as arbitrary and illegal and set aside letters dated 31.07.2007, 03.01.2008 & notices and direct the respondents No.1 to treat the petitioner's unit-1 and unit-2 under HT Tariff-IA for the period prior to 01.08.2020. For counter.
10	M.P.No.15 of 2023	M/s.Khivraj Motors Pvt. Limited Versus i) TANGEDCO Ltd ii) CFC/General iii) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To exercise its regulatory powers in furtherance of the judgement of APTEL in APL No.195 of 2018 dt.28.1.2021 with regard to banking and the recommendations made by the 3rd respondent vide O.M.F.No.283/25/2020-GRID Solar dt.16.4.2020 and direct rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Wind Energy Generating plants of the petitioner under Captive Category of FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 to FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation and pass such further or

				other orders. For counter.
11	D.R.P.No.8 of 2023	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) TANTRANSCO (ii) SE/CO/TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to refund the transmission charges of Rs.1,71,19,692 along with interest of Rs.80,31,286 and also direct the respondent to not levy transmission charges for the relinquished capacity i.r.o. of its units under MTOA-1 & 2. For counter.
12	M.P.No.23 of 2023	M/s.Christian Medical College Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Vellore EDC	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/RCS/AS/AAG's Audit 2004, dated 20.08.2004 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1095 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff. For counter.
13	M.P.No.24 of 2023	M/s.Christian Medical College Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Vellore EDC	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/CommI/EE/T/A EE1/SFMC/CR.No.7887 /2002 dt.27.09.2002 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1001, 1003, 1007 and 1059 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff. For counter.

14	D.R.P.No.9 of 2022	M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC (iii) CE/NCES (iv) CFC/General	Adv.Veda Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.1,02,96,915/- to the petitioner towards interest on account of delay in payment of monthly bills along with interest upto June 2020 and award interest @ 12% p.a. from the date of this petition till the date of actual payment. For reporting compliance on the settlement.
15	M.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Veda Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To issue appropriate clarifications or directions to the respondents that interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 only in the event of petitioner delivering more than 5 MW of alternating current to the respondents at the delivery point. For reporting compliance on the settlement.
16	M.P.No.17 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To declare that M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial year 2016-2017. For reporting status.
17	D.R.P.No.3 of 2023	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Mettur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.29.06.2015 demanding a sum of Rs.8,58,23,430/- and pass other orders. For filing rejoinder and arguments

18	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Karur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.21.07.2016 demanding a sum of Rs.2,64,97,493/- and pass other orders. For filing rejoinder and arguments.
19	R.P.No.1 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	PTC India Limited Versus (i) MALCO Energy Ltd (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Ravi Kishore Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Review the order of the Commission dated 02.03.2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016. For arguments.
20	R.P.No.5 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd. (ii) M/s.PTC India Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Ravi Kishore	Review the order of the Commission issued in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016 dt.02.03.2021 in the matter of directing the respondents to jointly and severally pay the petitioner at the applicable tariff. For arguments.
21	D.R.P.No.7 of 2022	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) Principal Secretary to Govt., Energy Department, GoTN (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan Adv.Richardson Wilson	To offset the adverse financial impact on the generating company as a result of operating and maintaining the power plant as per the directions of the GoTN under section 11(1) and determine the price payable for the energy that was injected during the year 2009-10 and 2010-11 into the Tamil Nadu Grid for which payments to the tune of Rs.92.10 crores have not been made by the respondent and direct the 2nd respondent herein to make the said payment to the petitioner herein. For arguments.

22	M.P.No.1 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd	Adv.Richardson Wilson M/s.J Sagar Associates	To ratify the power dispatched from M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd to the grid on pass through as per the MoP guidelines dt.5.5.2022 and to fix the tariff for the power supplied from 30.04.2022 to 30.11.2022 by considering the payment already made by relaxing certain provisions of PPA / Addendum-3 as a one-time measure. For arguments.
23	M.P.No.12 of 2023	M/s.Tagros Chemicals India Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CE/Commercial (iii) SE/Cuddalore EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To pass an interim directions directing the Respondents-2 to 5 to effect additional demand of 275 KVA in the petitioner's HT service connection without insisting on payment of the amounts demanded or any other amount in contravention of the Electricity Act, 2003 and the Regulations and to punish the respondents 2 to 5 for demanding a sum of Rs.1,78,66,700/- vide impugned procs dt.18.02.2023 for effecting additional demand of 275 KVA in the petitioner's HT service connection and also direct the respondents to effect additional demand of 275 KVA to the petitioner and also direct the respondents to refund the amounts collected from the petitioner. For filing additional typedset and arguments.

Batch cases – In the matter of Start-up charges – For hearing				
24	D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd Versus TANGEDCO Ltd.	Thiru.R.Shanmuggam, Insolvency Professional Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013.
25	T.A.No.13 of 2022	Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Paper Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7736 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
26	T.A.No.14 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26266 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
27	T.A.No.15 of 2022	M/s.Biomass Power Producers' Association Tamil Nadu Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26553 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
28	T.A.No.17 of 2022	M/s.Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/ChennaiEDC/North	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27269 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
29	T.A.No.18 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28093 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
30	T.A.No.19 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28443 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
31	T.A.No.20 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27324 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

32	T.A.No.21 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27325 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
33	T.A.No.22 of 2022	M/s.Bhatia Coke & Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27326 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
34	T.A.No.23 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27807 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
35	T.A.No.24 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27808 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
36	T.A.No.25 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27841 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
37	T.A.No.26 of 2022	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) A.O./Revenue	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28374 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
38	T.A.No.27 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28438 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
39	T.A.No.28 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28440 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

40	T.A.No.29 of 2022	M/s.Vedanta Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28451 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
41	T.A.No.30 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28885 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
42	T.A.No.31 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28886 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
43	T.A.No.32 of 2022	M/s.TCP Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28952 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
44	T.A.No.33 of 2022	M/s.Raghurama Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30433 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
45	T.A.No.34 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30502 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
46	T.A.No.35 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	S.Rajendran & Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30629 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
47	T.A.No.36 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	S.Rajendran & Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30630 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

48	T.A.No.37 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited (Formerly Madras Sugars Limited) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31160 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
49	T.A.No.38 of 2022	M/s.Raghurama Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33026 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
50	T.A.No.39 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33113 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
51	T.A.No.40 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33151 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
52	T.A.No.41 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33150 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
53	T.A.No.42 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33210 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
54	T.A.No.43 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33115 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
55	T.A.No.44 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33116 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

56	T.A.No.45 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Anant Merathia Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33117 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
57	T.A.No.46 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Sivaganga EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33119 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
58	T.A.No.47 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Anant Merathia Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33118 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
59	T.A.No.48 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars and Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33114 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras_in the matter of start-up power charges.
60	T.A.No.50 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35041 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
61	T.A.No.51 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35042 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
62	T.A.No.52 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.848 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
63	T.A.No.53 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.869 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

64	T.A.No.54 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.4924 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
65	T.A.No.55 of 2022	M/s.Chemplast Sanmar Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/Mettur EDC	Shree Law Services Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14037 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
66	T.A.No.56 of 2022	M/s.Seshasayee Paper and Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14952 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
67	T.A.No.57 of 2022	M/s.Basudha Udyog Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.272 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
68	T.A.No.58 of 2022	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.6382 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
69	T.A.No.59 of 2022	M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC (iv) DFC/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.17057 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
70	T.A.No.60 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S. Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/ Chennai EDC/North	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.3522 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
71	T.A.No.61 of 2022	Subramania Siva Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Dharmapuri EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.23902 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

72	T.A.No.62 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31774 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
73	T.A.No.63 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Karur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7887 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
74	T.A.No.65 of 2022	M/s.SKI Carbon Black (India) Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31037 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
75	T.A.No.1 of 2023	M/s.M.R.Krishnamurthy Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.6560 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.
76	T.A.No.2 of 2023	M/s.Servalakshmi Paper Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.G.Vasudevan Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.(MD)No.18712 of 2013 and M.P.(MD) Nos.1 to 3 of 2013 trd. by the Madurai Bench of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission