

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 20-06-2023

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 11.00 AM

Item No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Velathal Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited  Versus  (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director/Finance (iii) SE/Palladam EDC (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	For order.
2	I.A. No. 1 of 2023 in D.R.P. No.7 of 2023 and D.R.P. No. 7 of 2023	M/s. Saravana Global Energy Limited  Versus  (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Cuddalore EDC (iii) AO Revenue/ Cuddalore EDC	Adv. E. Sathishkumar  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Call for the records pertaining to the demand notice dated 13-04-2016 issued by the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent along with the BOAB Audit Slip No. 3 dated 06-02-2016 and consequent demands of the said Transmission and Distribution loss charges through the High- Tension Bill dated 01-05-2023 issued by the 3 <sup>rd</sup> Respondent and quash the same.  I.A. to condone delay of 42 days in filing the petition.  For admission
3	I.A.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.3 of 2022	M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited  Versus  CMD/TANGEDCO	M/s.J.Sagar Associates  Adv.Richardson Wilson	Permit the petitioner to terminate the Coal Supply and Transportation Agreement (CSTA) dt.9.2.2018 and to execute a FSA with CIL / any domestic coal supplier in order to procure Indian Domestic Coal and consequently remove the ceiling price mechanism and to procure coal from alternate sources and to amend the PPA to

				<p>incorporate the above changes.</p> <p>For arguments on the main petition and for filing status report on procurement of Indian coal by the petitioner.</p>
4	D.R.P.No.5 of 2023	<p>Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO</p>	<p>SKV Law Offices</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To issue directions treating the loss of generation of 1985.52 MU s as computed from April 2020 till January 2022 on account of curtailment of power as deemed generation and to direct TANGEDCO to make payments of Rs.2,46,44,455 along with carrying cost of Rs.82,38,300.</p> <p>For filing of counter.</p>
5	D.R.P.No.11 of 2022	<p>M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director/Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC (iv) SE/Virudhunagar EDC (v) SE/Tuticorin EDC (vi) SE/Chennai North EDC (vii) Theni Gurukrishna Textile Mills Pvt. Ltd (viii) Sri Jaya Jothi &amp; Co. Ltd (ix) Kayaar Exports Pvt. Ltd</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 to R-6 Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-7 to R-9</p>	<p>To set aside the claim pertaining to audit shortfall contained in letter dated 9.6.2021 issued by the 6th Respondent deducting an amount of Rs.6,39,95,942/- from the Compensation Charges payable to the petitioner, allegedly for Audit shortfall for not having STOA for the period from 1.6.2016 to 31.7.2016.</p> <p>For filing counter by R-7 to R-9.</p>
6	R.P. No. 2 of 2022	<p>M/s. Techno Electric &amp; Engg. Co. Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO &amp; (ii) CMD/TANTRANSCO</p>	<p>Adv. Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv. N. Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To review the order dated 14-09-2021 in D.R.P. No. 27 of 2013 for non-consideration of the order in M/s. B&amp;G Solar and in terms of grounds stated in para-18.</p> <p>To report compliance on filing of counter and for arguments.</p>

7	D.R.P.No.2 of 2023	NLC India Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) CE/Transmission (iv) CE/SLDC	HSB Advocates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to comply with the order of the Commission dt.5.4.2022 in M.P.No.1 of 2021 and to pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.51,08,16,706/- for the period upto June 2022 as a compensation for issuing backing down instructions to Renewable Energy plants for reasons other than grid security.  For rejoinder.
8	T.L.A.No.1 of 2019	Star Eco Energy Private Limited	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to grant Intra-State Trading Licence. For filing required details as a last chance.
9	D.R.P.No.18 of 2021	M/s. Amarjothi Spinning Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director /Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.47,83,750/- being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied and such further <i>pendent lite</i> interest from the date of filing.  For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 1,28,004 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014.  For arguments of the respondent.
11	D.R.P.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited  Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 4,93,599 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from

		(iv) SE/Palladam EDC		01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014.  For arguments of the respondent.
12	D.R.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Hindustan Textiles  Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 2,41,920 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period August 2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014.  For arguments of the respondent.
13	M.P.No.3 of 2023	The South Indian Sugar Mills Association - Tamil Nadu  Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Exercise regulatory powers to direct TANGEDCO to purchase power from petitioner's members having subsisting PPAs at full price beyond 55% / 60% PLF as the case may be till such time they reach the 55% / 60% PLF on cumulative basis to compensate for the very low PLF achieved in the earlier years.  For arguments.
14	M.P.No.1 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO  Versus M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd	Adv.Richardson Wilson  M/s.J Sagar Associates	To ratify the power dispatched from M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd to the grid on pass through as per the MoP guidelines dt.5.5.2022 and to fix the tariff for the power supplied from 30.04.2022 to 30.11.2022 by considering the payment already made by relaxing certain provisions of PPA / Addendum-3 as a one-time measure.  For arguments.

**Batch cases - In the matter of adjustment of units –  
For payment of deficit court fee**

15	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.  <i>For reporting instructions of the respondent as to whether the pleadings before the Hon'ble Court are sufficient or further pleadings are required to be filed.</i>
16	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.  <i>For reporting instructions of the respondent as to whether the pleadings before the Hon'ble Court are sufficient or further pleadings are required to be filed.</i>

**Batch cases - In the matter of adjustment of units – For arguments**

17	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.  For arguments.
18	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.  For arguments.

19	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.  <i>For arguments.</i>
20	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.  For arguments

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission

**No.31/2023 dated 16 -06-2023**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 20-06-2023**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.15 PM**

Item No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	R.P.No.7 of 2021 in M.P. No. 25 of 2021	Rajah Muthiah Chettiar Charitable and Educational Trust Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Regulatory Cell (iii) SE/Chengalpattu EDC	Adv.T.Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To issue an order of Interim Injunction restraining the respondents not to levy demand and collect electricity charges in respect of petitioner's electricity service connection and to review the order of the Commission in M.P.No.25 of 2021 dated 16.11.2021.  For arguments.
<b>Batch cases - In the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power</b>				
2	T.A.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.25357 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.  For arguments.
3	T.A.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.  For arguments.
4	T.A.No.3 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.  For arguments

5	T.A.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Lucas TVS Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.  For arguments.
6	T.A.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Fasteners Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.25248 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levying penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.  For arguments

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission