

No.21/2023 dated: 06-04-2023

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 11-04-2023 (Physical Hearing)

Time : 11-00 AM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & M.P.No.10 of 2023	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To condone the delay of 121 days in filing of ARR due to AG certification of accounts, the implementation of new tariff order and its related works and to admit the petition seeking True-up of FY 2021-22 as per the provisions and to approve the actual revenue gap / surplus arising on account of truing up for FY 2021-22 without creating the regulatory asset for uncovered gap for FY 2021-22 as GoTN has given commitment to take over 100% losses as per RDSS Scheme and to pass any other orders. For admission.
2	M.P.No.11 of 2023	CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To in-principle approval of the working procedure for the implementation of Green Energy Tariff and issuance of "Green Energy Certificate" (GEC) by TANGEDCO for the HT consumers who wish to avail "Green Energy Certificate" and to pass such other orders. For admission.
3	I.A.No.1 of 2023 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2021	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CE/GTS, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/GTS, Ramnad	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan Adv.N.Kumanan &	Direct the respondents to pay a total sum of Rs.128 crores along with interest towards illegal deduction, compensation for

		Circle	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	deviation 15% of the contracted value, power supplied to the captive consumers, power supplied 10% over and above the contracted quantum and delayed payment along with interest. For filing counter in I.A. filed by the petitioner.
4	M.P.No.3 of 2023	The South Indian Sugar Mills Association - Tamil Nadu Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Exercise its regulatory powers to direct TANGEDCO to purchase power from petitioner's members having subsisting PPAs at full price beyond 55% / 60% PLF as the case may be till such time they reach the 55% / 60% PLF on cumulative basis to compensate for the very low PLF achieved in the earlier years. For counter.
5	D.R.P.No.2 of 2023	NLC India Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) CE/Transmission (iv) CE/SLDC	HSB Advocates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to comply with the order of the Commission dt.5.4.2022 in M.P.No.1 of 2021 and to pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.51,08,16,706/- for the period upto June 2022 as a compensation for issuing backing down instructions to Renewable Energy plants for reasons other than grid security. For filing counter by R-1 to R-3.
6	M.P.No.13 of 2022	M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) Director (Finance) (iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (v) SE/Theni EDC	M/s.Rugan & Arya Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 19.1.2022 in D.R.P.No.7 of 2021 and consequently direct the respondents to

				make payments of the entire sum of Rs.28,07 crores along with interest @ 12% p.a. For further hearing.
7	M.P.No.35 of 2022	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To approve and ratify the dispatch of power made from Central Generating Stations viz., NLC & NTPC and approve the power procurement arrangements for the period of five years from the date of commencement of supply for a quantum totalling to 591.67 MW as per the rates fixed by CERC from time to time. For further hearing.
8	D.R.P.No.1 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to pay the interest of Rs.1.44 crores. For arguments of the respondent.
9	D.R.P.No.2 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.2.52 crores towards 2% of line loss. For arguments of the respondent.
10	D.R.P.No.4 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.15.19 lakhs towards 2% of line loss. For arguments of the respondent.
11	D.R.P.No.13 of 2021	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO iii) CE/NCES iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC v) SE/Pudukkottai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in P.P.A.P No.8 of 2011 dt.31.3.2016 and consequently release the payment towards 2% line loss of Rs.2,87,29,026/- payable together with interest of Rs.1,53,69,099/- thereon at 12% p.a. For arguments of the respondent.

12	D.R.P.No.17 of 2021	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd 2) CFC/General 3) Director (Finance) 4) Director (Generation) 5) SE/Kallakurichi EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to make payment of principal amount of Rs.2,74,04,230/- along with interest @ 18% p.a. and also to direct payment of Rs.12,35,53,367/- towards interest on delayed payments. For further arguments of the petitioner.
13	R.P.No.1 of 2021	PTC India Limited Versus (i) MALCO Energy Ltd (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Ravi Kishore Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Review the order of the Commission dated 02.03.2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016. For arguments.
14	R.P.No.5 of 2021	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd. (ii) M/s.PTC India Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Ravi Kishore	Review the order of the Commission issued in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016 dt.02.03.2021 in the matter of directing the respondents to jointly and severally pay the petitioner at the applicable tariff. For arguments.
15	D.R.P.No.3 of 2022	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/GO/TANTRANSCO	Adv.Tanya Kapoor Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To declare the exorbitant increase in the price of imported coal along with the acute shortage and non-availability of domestic coal, as a Force Majeure event and direct the suspension of transmission charges to be levied under the MTOA agreement until the Force Majeure event ceases and also direct the TANGEDCO not to levy OA charges for the period of Force Majeure continuation and from levying any other charges, costs whatsoever. For arguments.

16	D.R.P.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 1,28,004 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For arguments of the respondent.
17	D.R.P.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 4,93,599 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For arguments of the respondent.
18	D.R.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Hindustan Textiles Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 2,41,920 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period August 2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For arguments of the respondent.
19	M.P.No.18 of 2022	Thiru. Somasundaram, Tiruppur Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) EE/Operation (iii) AEE/O&M/Town/South	Adv.K.Sasindran Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the order of the 3rd respondent dt.24.06.2016 and 2nd respondent order dated 28.09.2016 and direct the respondents to repay the 50% of assessed amount paid by the petitioner at the time of filing the statutory appeal. For arguments.

20	R.P.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Fortune Integrated Assets Finance Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) Director (Finance)	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Review the order dated 12.4.2022 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2021 for inter alia, inadvertently missing to record its finding on prayer (c) of the petition in terms of grounds stated from para-22 and allow the petition filed by the petitioner. For arguments.
21	M.P.No.5 of 2023	M/s.K.GFOUNDATIONS Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/CEDC/South-2 (iv) Chairman/CGRF (v) EE/O&M, Taramani	M/s.Stephen & Stephen Advocates Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To invoke section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the orders of the Commission dated 09.11.2021 in M.P.No.2 of 2021 and direct the respondent to revise the estimate strictly adhering to the statutory rules / orders / directions in force and to refund the same immediately and to pass just & further or other orders. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission