

No.15/2023 dated: 07-03-2023

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 09-03-2023 (Physical Hearing)

Time : 02-30 PM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	P.P.A.P.No.4 of 2022	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	For order
2	P.P.A.P.No.2 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Seeking adoption of tariff for procurement of 1022 MW RTC power under short term tender for the period from 01.03.2023 to 20.05.2023 from Intra-State generators floated by TANGEDCO. For admission.
3	M.P.No.19 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Amaravathi Textiles	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the Fys 2014-2015, 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. For counter.
4	M.P.No.20 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Atithya Clothing Co.	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016-2017. For counter.
5	M.P.No.21 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.KOG-KTV Food Products (India) Pvt Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016-2017. For counter.
6	M.P.No.22 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Doc, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.MOD Forge Pvt. Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015 and 2016-2017. For counter.

7	M.P.No.23 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Bull Machines Pvt. Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015 and 2015-2016. For counter.
8	M.P.No.24 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sheenlac Paints Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018 and 2018-2019. For counter.
9	R.P.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO & (ii) CMD/TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To review the order dated 14.9.2021 in D.R.P.No.27 of 2013 for non-consideration of the order in M/s.B&G Solar and in terms of grounds stated in para-18. For filing counter on merits of the review petition.
10	M.P.No.1 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd	Adv.Richardson Wilson M/s.J Sagar Associates	To ratify the power dispatched from M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd to the grid on pass through as per the MoP guidelines dt.5.5.2022 and to fix the tariff for the power supplied from 30.04.2022 to 30.11.2022 by considering the payment already made by relaxing certain provisions of PPA / Addendum-3 as a one-time measure. For counter.
11	D.R.P.No.5 of 2021	M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CE/GTS, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/GTS, Ramnad Circle (iv) SLDC (v) TANTRANSCO Ltd	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay a total sum of Rs.128 crores along with interest towards illegal deduction, compensation for deviation 15% of the contracted value, power supplied to the captive consumers, power supplied 10% over and above the contracted quantum and delayed payment along with interest. For rejoinder.

12	M.P.No.42 of 2022	CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To approve the proposal of TANGEDCO to purchase solar power of 420 MW capacity from the developers / farmers establishing solar power plants under component-A of PM-KUSUM scheme through tender with the specification and to approve the draft power purchase agreement and others. For further hearing.
13	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,PPP 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12.82 crores. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
14	M.P.No.25 of 2014	Pioneer Power Ltd. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Generation) 3) CE, IPP	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To issue directions on the respondents in terms of section 142 of the Electricity Act 2003 for non-compliance of the order dated 30-12-2011. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
15	M.P.No.2 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Asahi Glass India Limited & 20 ors.	Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj (R-2, R-3, R-4, R-5, R-6, R-7, R-8, R-10, R-11, R-13, R-14, R-15, R-16, R-17, R-18 & R-19) Adv. Rahul Balaji for R-9 & R-21 Adv.M.G.Pranavacharan for R-20	To pass orders for implementing both Captive and Third Party Users in connection with calculation of excess demand and energy charges in the orders passed by the Commission dated 7.9.2010 in M.P.No.9 of 2010, M.P.No.6 of 2010, M.P.No.17 of 2010 and D.R.P.No.9 of 2010. For reply arguments of the petitioner.

16	D.R.P.No.1 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to pay the interest of Rs.1.44 crores. For arguments of the respondent.
17	D.R.P.No.2 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.2.52 crores towards 2% of line loss. For arguments of the respondent.
18	D.R.P.No.4 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.15.19 lakhs towards 2% of line loss. For arguments of the respondent.
19	D.R.P.No.13 of 2021	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO iii) CE/NCES iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC v) SE/Pudukkottai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in P.P.A.P No.8 of 2011 dt.31.3.2016 and consequently release the payment towards 2% line loss of Rs.2,87,29,026/- payable together with interest of Rs.1,53,69,099/- thereon at 12% p.a. For arguments of the respondent.
20	R.P.No.4 of 2021	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.Ind-Barath Power Gencom Limited (ii) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd.	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy M/s.Shree Law Services for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2	Review the order of the Commission in R.A.No.3 of 2020 dated 09.02.2021 in the matter of fixing a tariff at the ceiling of Rs.3.79 per unit for the month of June 2011 and Rs.3.81 per unit for the months of July to September 2011. For arguments on the maintainability.
21	M.P.No.3 of 2022	M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO	M/s.J.Sagar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	Permit the petitioner to terminate the Coal Supply and Transportation Agreement (CSTA) dt.9.2.2018 and to execute a FSA with CIL / any domestic coal supplier in order to

				procure Indian Domestic Coal and consequently remove the ceiling price mechanism and to procure coal from alternate sources and to amend the PPA to incorporate the above changes. For further arguments.
22	D.R.P.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 1,28,004 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For arguments.
23	D.R.P.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 4,93,599 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For arguments.
24	D.R.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Hindustan Textiles Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of 2,41,920 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period August 2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For arguments.

25	D.R.P.No.10 of 2022	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) TANTRANSCO (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.47,54,66,518/- to the petitioner towards outstanding arrears, capacity charges, late payment surcharge and other charges under the PPA dated 12.12.2013 as on 17.03.2022 together with future interest thereon. For arguments.
26	P.R.C.No.1 of 2023	M/s.Khivraj Motors Pvt. Limited	Adv.Rahul Balaji	To exercise its regulatory powers in furtherance of the judgement of APTEL in APL No.195 of 2018 dt.28.1.2021 with regard to banking and the recommendations made by the 3rd respondent vide O.M.F.No. 283/25/2020-GRID Solar dt.16.4.2020 and direct rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Wind Energy Generating plants of the petitioner under Captive Category of FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 to FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation and pass such further or other orders. <i>For hearing on the classification of the petition.</i>

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission