

No. 32/2023 dated:04-07-2023

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 06-07-2023

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 11.00 AM

Item No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	R.P.No.6 of 2022 in T.P.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Tamil Nadu Power Producers' Association Versus CMD / TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	For Order.
2	R.P.No.4 of 2021 in R.A.No.3 of 2020	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.Ind-Barath Power Gencom Limited (ii) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd.	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy M/s.Shree Law Services for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2	For Order.
3	P.R.C.No.2 of 2023	M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited	Adv.Rahul Balaji	For Order.
4	D.R.P.No.6 of 2023	Vagarai Wind farm Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director/Finance (iii) SE/Dindigul EDC	M/s.Sagus Legal Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay Rs.5.26 crores towards banking units settlement in terms of invoice dated 12.05.2022 along with interest @ 1% P.M. till payment is made by the Respondent. For Admission.
5	D.R.P.No.3 of 2023	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Mettur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the impuged communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.29.06.2015 demanding a sum of Rs.8,58,23,430/- and pass other orders. For counter.
6	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Karur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the impuged communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.21.07.2016 demanding a sum of Rs.2,64,97,493/- and pass other orders.

				For counter.
7	D.R.P.No.10 of 2022	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) TANTRANSCO (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.47,54,66,518/- to the petitioner towards outstanding arrears, capacity charges, late payment surcharge and other charges under the PPA dated 12.12.2013 as on 17.03.2022 together with future interest thereon. For filing counter on the I.A. filed by the petitioner for amendment of petition.
<u>Batch cases – In the matter of Start-up charges – For filing Reply.</u>				
8	D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd Versus TANGEDCO Ltd.	Thiru.R.Shanmuggam, Insolvency Professional Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
9	T.A.No.13 of 2022	Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Paper Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7736 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
10	T.A.No.14 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26266 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
11	T.A.No.15 of 2022	M/s.Biomass Power Producers' Association Tamil Nadu Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26553 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
12	T.A.No.17 of 2022	M/s.Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27269 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners

		(iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/ChennaiEDC/North		into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
13	T.A.No.18 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28093 of 2013_ trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
14	T.A.No.19 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28443 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
15	T.A.No.20 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27324 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
16	T.A.No.21 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27325 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
17	T.A.No.22 of 2022	M/s.Bhatia Coke & Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27326 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
18	T.A.No.23 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27807 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the

		(iv) SE/Dindigul EDC		petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
19	T.A.No.24 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd. (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27808 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
20	T.A.No.25 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27841 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
21	T.A.No.26 of 2022	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) A.O./Revenue	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28374 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
22	T.A.No.27 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenuen & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28438 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
23	T.A.No.28 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28440 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
24	T.A.No.29 of 2022	M/s.Vedanta Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd	Adv.Rahul Balaji	W.P.No.28451 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.

		(ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Richardson Wilson	For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
25	T.A.No.30 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28885 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
26	T.A.No.31 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28886 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
27	T.A.No.32 of 2022	M/s.TCP Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28952 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
28	T.A.No.33 of 2022	M/s.Raghurama Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30433 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
29	T.A.No.34 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30502 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.

30	T.A.No.35 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	S.Rajendran & Associates, Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30629 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
31	T.A.No.36 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	S.Rajendran & Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30630 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
32	T.A.No.37 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited (Formerly Madras Sugars Limited) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31160 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
33	T.A.No.38 of 2022	M/s.Raghurama Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33026 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
34	T.A.No.39 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33113 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
35	T.A.No.40 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33151 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.

36	T.A.No.41 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33150 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
37	T.A.No.42 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33210 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
38	T.A.No.43 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33115 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
39	T.A.No.44 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33116 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
40	T.A.No.45 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Tr.Anurag Goel, IRP Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33117 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
41	T.A.No.46 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33119 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the

		(iv) SE/Sivaganga EDC		petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
42	T.A.No.47 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur (v) AO/Revenue	Tr.Anurag Goel, IRP Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33118 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
43	T.A.No.48 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars and Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33114 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
44	T.A.No.50 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35041 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
45	T.A.No.51 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35042 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
46	T.A.No.52 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.848 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.

47	T.A.No.53 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.869 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
48	T.A.No.54 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.4924 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
49	T.A.No.55 of 2022	M/s.Chemplast Sanmar Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/Mettur EDC	Shree Law Services Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14037 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
50	T.A.No.56 of 2022	M/s.Seshasayee Paper and Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14952 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
51	T.A.No.57 of 2022	M/s.Basudha Udyog Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.272 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
52	T.A.No.58 of 2022	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus	Adv.Rahul Balaji	W.P.No.6382 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of

		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Richardson Wilson	start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
53	T.A.No.59 of 2022	M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC (iv) DFC/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.17057 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
54	T.A.No.60 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S. Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SE/ Chennai EDC/North	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.3522 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
55	T.A.No.61 of 2022	Subramania Siva Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Dharmapuri EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.23902 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
56	T.A.No.62 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31774 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
57	T.A.No.63 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Karur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7887 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.

58	T.A.No.64 of 2022	M/s.Rajkumar Impex Pvt. Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC	Rep. by its Finance Director Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30687 of 2016 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For orders on memo filed by the petitioner.
59	T.A.No.65 of 2022	M/s.SKI Carbon Black (India) Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31037 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
60	T.A.No.1 of 2023	M/s.M.R.Krishnamurthy Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.6560 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.
61	T.A.No.2 of 2023	M/s.Servalakshmi Paper Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.G.Vasudevan Adv.Richardson Wilson	W.P.(MD)No.18712 of 2013 and M.P.(MD) Nos.1 to 3 of 2013 trd. by the Madurai Bench of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For grouping the petitioners into four categories by TANGEDCO and filing of reply.

(By Order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission