

No. 51/2023 dated: 29-09-2023

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 05-10-2023****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM onwards**

| Item No. | Case No.                                | Name of the Parties  | Counsel   | Remarks   |
|----------|---|--|---|---|
| 1        | D.R.P.No.1 of 2023                      | M/s.KR Wind Energy LLP<br>Versus<br>(i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO<br>(iii) SE/Dindigul EDC   | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj<br><br>Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy          | For order.  |
| 2        | D.R.P.No.6 of 2023                      | Vagarai Wind farm Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) Director/Finance<br>(iii) SE/Dindigul EDC  | M/s.Sagus Legal<br><br>Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy             | For order.  |
| 3        | I.A.No.1 of 2023 &<br>M.P.No.29 of 2023 | M/s.Annamalai University<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) SE/Cuddalore EDC<br>(iii) CFC/Regulatory Cell   | Adv.S.Sivasubramani<br><br>Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy         | To condone the delay of 150 days in preferring this petition and to set aside the impugned communication dated 24.8.2004 bearing Lr.No.SE/CEDC/CUD /AO/R/Audit-03-04/95/A4/2004 and to classify the HTSC 95 under Tariff-IIA for Educational Institutions instead of Commercial Tariff.<br>For admission. |
| 4        | M.P.No.16 of 2023                       | CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO<br>Versus<br>(1) Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt. Limited<br>(2) KAS Industries India Pvt Limited<br>(3) Jeyavishnu Tex Processors Pvt. Limited<br>(4) Arun Spinning Mills Pvt Ltd<br>(5) Statex Mills<br>(6) Rajashree Spintex Pvt Ltd<br>(7) Sri Senthilandavar Cotton Mills Pvt Limited<br>(8) V.R.Spining Mills Pvt Ltd | Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy<br><br>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-15 | To declare that M/s.Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.<br><br>For counter.   |

|   |                   |   |  |  |
|---|-------------------|---|--|--|
|   |                   | <p>(9) Shamugappriya Textiles Pvt Limited</p> <p>(10) C.V.Spinner Pvt Limited</p> <p>(11) Sri Karpaga Vinayagar Textiles</p> <p>(12) Velan Spinning Mills India Pvt. Limited</p> <p>(13) Annur Sri Ambal Cotton Mills Pvt Limited</p> <p>(14) Sri Palani Andavar Textiles</p> <p>(15) Vijeyranga Textiles Pvt Limited</p> <p>(16) Thamarai Mills</p> <p>(17) Annur Sri Ambal Febrics Pvt Limited</p> <p>(18) Marudhamalai Sri Velmurugan Textiles</p> <p>(19) Jayarani Spinning Mills Limited</p> <p>(20) Shri Velmurugan Cotton Mills</p> <p>(21) Anithaa Weaving Mills Pvt Limited</p> <p>(22) Enkay Textiles</p> <p>(23) Senthil Spinners Pvt Ltd</p> <p>(24) Sam Turbo Industry Ltd</p> |  |  |
| 5 | M.P.No.18 of 2023 | <p>CFC/D&amp;D, TANGEDCO</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>M/s.Array Land Developers Pvt Limited</p>   | <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p> <p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj</p>     | <p>To declare that M/s.Array Land Developers Pvt. Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2014-2015 to 2016-2017 and 2019-2020 to 2021 - 2022.</p> <p>For counter.</p> |
| 6 | M.P.No.19 of 2023 | <p>CFC/D&amp;D, TANGEDCO</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited</p>   | <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p> <p>M/s.Shree Law Services</p> | <p>To declare that M/s.Ind Bharat Powergencom Ltd has lost captive status for the financial year 2017-2018.</p> <p>For counter.</p>  |
| 7 | M.P.No.20 of 2023 | <p>CFC/D&amp;D, TANGEDCO</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>M/s.MMS Steel &amp; Power Pvt Limited</p>   | <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p> <p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj</p>     | <p>To declare that M/s.MMS Steel and Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2014-2015 &amp; 2015-2016.</p> <p>For counter.</p>                              |

|    |                     |   |  |   |
|----|---------------------|---|--|---|
| 8  | M.P.No.21 of 2023   | CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO<br>Versus<br><br>M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited  | Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy<br><br>Adv.Rahul Balaji   | To declare that M/s.OPG Energy Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2019-2020, 2020-2021 & 2021-2022.<br><br>For counter.  |
| 9  | M.P.No.22 of 2023   | CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO<br>Versus<br>(1) M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited<br>(2) M/s.Balavigna Weaving Mills Pvt Limited<br>(3) M/s.Chola Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd<br>(4) Dindigul Cotton Textile Mills Pvt Limited<br>(5) GHCL Ltd<br>(6) Hotel Raj Parks Ltd<br>(7)Jaya Sree Textiles Ltd<br>(8) Sree Koppammal Cotton Spinning Mills Ltd<br>(9) Sri Kandha Spinners Ltd<br>(10) Raajco Spinners Pvt Ltd<br>(11) M/s.Agni Steels<br>(12) M/s.Sree Kaderi Ambal Mills<br>(13) M/s.Sri Ramalinga Mills | Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy<br><br>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-3, R-4, R-8, R-9, R-10, R-11, R-12 & R-13<br><br>Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-5 | To declare that M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited has lost captive status for the financial year 2014-2015 & 2015-2016.<br><br>For counter.  |
| 10 | D.R.P.No.8 of 2023  | M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) TANTRANSCO<br>(ii) SE/CO/TANTRANSCO   | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy   | Direct the respondents to refund the transmission charges of Rs.1,71,19,692 along with interest of Rs.80,31,286 and also direct the respondent to not levy transmission charges for the relinquished capacity i.r.o. of its units under MTOA-1 & 2.<br><br>For filing counter as a last chance. |
| 11 | D.R.P.No.11 of 2023 | M/s.G.R.Natarajan & Co<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC<br>(iv) SE/Dindigul EDC  | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy   | Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.9,71,825 being the interest due and payable to the petitioner as per the order of the Supreme Court dated 8.7.2016 in C.A.No.2397 of 2014 and the order of the High Court   |

|    |                     |  |  |   |
|----|---------------------|--|--|---|
|    |                     |  |  | <p>dt.8.12.2021 in W.P.No.22406 of 2017 and also direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.11,21,633/- being the interest due and payable to the petitioner i.r.o. the invoice payment made from 12.3.2019 towards energy generated by its WEG.</p> <p>For filing counter as a last chance.</p>  |
| 12 | D.R.P.No.12 of 2023 | <p>M/s.Narbheram Solar TN Private Limited<br/>Versus<br/>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br/>(ii) CE/NCES<br/>(iii) SLDC / TANTRANSCO</p> | <p>Adv.Rahul Balaji<br/><br/>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p> | <p>To refix the condition of achieving minimum 17% CUF by fixing an appropriate band and direct to restrain the respondents from issuing backing down / curtailment for any reason other than grid safety and security issues and also direct the respondents to refund an amount of Rs.13,51,82,821 deducted towards CUF penalty for the financial year 2019-2020 and 2020-2021.</p> <p>For filing counter as a last chance.</p> |
| 13 | D.R.P.No.13 of 2023 | <p>M/s.NVR Energy Pvt Limited<br/>Versus<br/>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br/>(ii) CE/NCES<br/>(iii) SLDC / TANTRANSCO</p>             | <p>Adv.Rahul Balaji<br/><br/>Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p> | <p>To review the working and applicability of Clause-6 of the PPA with regard to CUF and working of such provision, including revising the CUF band to 12% - 19% to cover variations and direct to restrain the respondents from issuing backing down / curtailment for any reason other than grid safety and security issues and also direct the respondents to refund an amount of Rs.11,53,11,360</p>                          |

|    |                    |  |   |  |
|----|--------------------|--|---|--|
|    |                    |  |   | deducted towards CUF penalty for the financial year 2019-2020 and 2020-2021.<br>For filing counter as a last chance.   |
| 14 | M.P.No.23 of 2023  | M/s.Christian Medical College<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) SE/Vellore EDC | S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates<br><br>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/RCS/AS/AAG's Audit 2004, dated 20.08.2004 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1095 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff.<br><br>For rejoinder.                                 |
| 15 | M.P.No.24 of 2023  | M/s.Christian Medical College<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) SE/Vellore EDC | S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates<br><br>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy | To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/Comml/EE/T/A EE1/SFMC/CR.No.7887 /2002 dt.27.09.2002 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1001, 1003, 1007 and 1059 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff.<br><br>For rejoinder. |
| 16 | T.L.A.No.1 of 2019 | Star Eco Energy Private Limited  | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  | Praying to grant Intra-State Trading Licence.<br>For further hearing.  |
| 17 | M.P.No.27 of 2023  | CE/PPP, TANGEDCO   | Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy                                       | Seeking approval for deviation from the guidelines and to float a short-term tender for procurement of RTC power from 01.03.2024 to 31.05.2024 and to publish RfP notice in two Tamil newspapers and two English   |

|    |  |   |  |   |
|----|--|---|--|---|
|    |  |   |  | National newspapers and upload the same in TANGEDCO website.<br><br>For further hearing.  |
| 18 | D.R.P.No.32 of 2013                    | Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd.,<br>Versus<br>1) TANGEDCO<br>2) CFC, Revenue                                    | Adv. Vinod Kumar<br><br>Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.Venkatachalapathy                             | To declare that the withdrawal of deemed demand benefit by the 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent is illegal and contrary to law.<br><br>For reporting status of the case pending before the High Court of Madras.  |
| 19 | R.P.No.1 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016 | PTC India Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) MALCO Energy Ltd<br>(ii) CMD/TANGEDCO  | Adv.Ravi Kishore<br><br>Adv.Rahul Balaji<br>Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy     | Review the order of the Commission dated 02.03.2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016.<br><br>For arguments on the maintainability as well as merits.   |
| 20 | R.P.No.5 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016 | CE/PPP, TANGEDCO<br><br>Versus<br>(i) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd.<br>(ii) M/s.PTC India Ltd                               | Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy<br><br>Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Ravi Kishore | Review the order of the Commission issued in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016 dt.02.03.2021 in the matter of directing the respondents to jointly and severally pay the petitioner at the applicable tariff.<br><br>For arguments on the maintainability as well as merits. |
| 21 | M.P.No.22 of 2022                      | CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO<br>Versus<br>M/s.MOD Forge Pvt. Ltd  | Adv.N.Kumanan &<br>Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy<br><br>Adv.Rahul Balaji                         | To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015 and 2016-2017.<br>For arguments.  |
| 22 | D.R.P.No.10 of 2022                    | M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CE/PPP<br>(iii) TANTRANSCO<br>(iv) SLDC | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson  | Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.47,54,66,518/- to the petitioner towards outstanding arrears, capacity charges, late payment surcharge and other charges under the PPA dated 12.12.2013 as on 17.03.2022 together                               |

|   |                    |   |  |  |
|---|--------------------|---|--|--|
|   |                    |   |  | with future interest thereon.<br><br>For filing additional affidavit and written arguments of the respondents. |
| <b><u>Batch cases – In the matter of Start-up charges – For further arguments of the respondents and reply arguments of the petitioners</u></b> |                    |   |  |  |
| 23  | D.R.P.No.5 of 2014 | Saheli Exports Private Ltd<br><br>Versus<br>TANGEDCO Ltd.   | Adv.A.G.Sathya Narayana<br>on behalf of IRP<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013.  |
| 24  | T.A.No.13 of 2022  | Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Paper Limited<br><br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd.<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.  | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                            | W.P.No.7736 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.              |
| 25  | T.A.No.14 of 2022  | M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited<br><br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd.<br>(ii) SE/CEDC/North   | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                          | W.P.No.26266 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.             |
| 26  | T.A.No.15 of 2022  | M/s.Biomass Power Producers' Association Tamil Nadu<br><br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance                    | Adv.Vinod Kumar<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                             | W.P.No.26553 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.             |
| 27  | T.A.No.17 of 2022  | M/s.Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Limited<br><br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/ChennaiEDC/North | Adv.Vinod Kumar<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                             | W.P.No.27269 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.             |
| 28  | T.A.No.18 of 2022  | M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited<br><br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance                                  | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                            | W.P.No.28093 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.             |
| 29  | T.A.No.19 of 2022  | M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited<br><br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North     | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                            | W.P.No.28443 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.             |

|    |                   |   |   |  |
|----|-------------------|---|---|--|
| 30 | T.A.No.20 of 2022 | M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Erode EDC  | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.27324 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 31 | T.A.No.21 of 2022 | M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Erode EDC  | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.27325 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 32 | T.A.No.22 of 2022 | M/s.Bhatia Coke & Energy Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Chennai EDC          | Adv.Vinod Kumar<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson    | W.P.No.27326 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 33 | T.A.No.23 of 2022 | M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd.<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Dindigul EDC | Adv.T.Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson       | W.P.No.27807 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 34 | T.A.No.24 of 2022 | M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd.<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Dindigul EDC | Adv.T.Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson       | W.P.No.27808 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 35 | T.A.No.25 of 2022 | M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.  | Adv.T.Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson       | W.P.No.27841 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 36 | T.A.No.26 of 2022 | M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) A.O./Revenue  | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.28374 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 37 | T.A.No.27 of 2022 | M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenuen & Ors.   | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.28438 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |



|    |                   |  |   |  |
|----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 38 | T.A.No.28 of 2022 | M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.   | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                         | W.P.No.28440 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 39 | T.A.No.29 of 2022 | M/s.Vedanta Limited<br><br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director (Finance)<br>(iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC<br>(v) AO/Revenue | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                         | W.P.No.28451 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 40 | T.A.No.30 of 2022 | M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North   | Adv.M.A.Mudimannan<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                       | W.P.No.28885 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 41 | T.A.No.31 of 2022 | M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North   | Adv.M.A.Mudimannan<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                       | W.P.No.28886 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 42 | T.A.No.32 of 2022 | M/s.TCP Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North<br>(v) AO/Revenue      | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                         | W.P.No.28952 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 43 | T.A.No.33 of 2022 | M/s.Raghurama Renewable Energy Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) SE/Ramnad   | Adv.Anirudh Krishnan<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                     | W.P.No.30433 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 44 | T.A.No.34 of 2022 | M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director (Finance)<br>(iv) SE/Theni EDC       | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                         | W.P.No.30502 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 45 | T.A.No.35 of 2022 | M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director/(Finance)<br>(iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC  | Adv.A.G.Sathya Narayana on behalf of IRP<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.30629 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |

|    |                   |   |   |  |
|----|-------------------|---|---|--|
| 46 | T.A.No.36 of 2022 | M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director/(Finance)<br>(iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC | Adv.A.G.Sathya Narayana on behalf of IRP<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.30630 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 47 | T.A.No.37 of 2022 | M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited (Formerly Madras Sugars Limited)<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.                      | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                         | W.P.No.31160 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 48 | T.A.No.38 of 2022 | M/s.Raghurama Renewable Energy Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) SE/Ramnad EDC  | Adv.Anirudh Krishnan<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                     | W.P.No.33026 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 49 | T.A.No.39 of 2022 | M/s.Kothari Sugars & Chemicals Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) SE/Ramnad EDC  | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                         | W.P.No.33113 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 50 | T.A.No.40 of 2022 | M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.  | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                         | W.P.No.33151 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 51 | T.A.No.41 of 2022 | M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br><br>(iv) SE/Trichy EDC                | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                         | W.P.No.33150 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 52 | T.A.No.42 of 2022 | M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Trichy EDC                    | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                         | W.P.No.33210 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 53 | T.A.No.43 of 2022 | M/s.Terra Energy Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC<br>(v) AO/Revenue    | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson                         | W.P.No.33115 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |

|    |                   |   |   |  |
|----|-------------------|---|---|--|
| 54 | T.A.No.44 of 2022 | M/s.Terra Energy Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Thanjavur EDC<br>(v) AO/Revenue        | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.33116 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 55 | T.A.No.45 of 2022 | M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC<br>(v) AO/Revenue | Adv.Anant Merathia<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.33117 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 56 | T.A.No.46 of 2022 | M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Sivaganga EDC                     | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.33119 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 57 | T.A.No.47 of 2022 | M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Thanjavur<br>(v) AO/Revenue     | Adv.Anant Merathia<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.33118 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 58 | T.A.No.48 of 2022 | M/s.Kothari Sugars and Chemicals Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Trichy             | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.33114 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 59 | T.A.No.50 of 2022 | M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC  | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.35041 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 60 | T.A.No.51 of 2022 | M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC  | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.35042 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |
| 61 | T.A.No.52 of 2022 | M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Erode EDC                         | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.848 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.   |

|    |                   |   |   |  |
|----|-------------------|---|---|--|
| 62 | T.A.No.53 of 2022 | M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Erode EDC                   | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.869 of 2014<br>trd. by Hon'ble High<br>Court of Madras in the<br>matter of start-up<br>power charges.   |
| 63 | T.A.No.54 of 2022 | M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars<br>Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Erode EDC         | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.4924 of 2014<br>trd. by Hon'ble High<br>Court of Madras in the<br>matter of start-up<br>power charges.  |
| 64 | T.A.No.55 of 2022 | M/s.Chemplast Sanmar<br>Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) SE/Mettur EDC   | Shree Law Services<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.14037 of 2014<br>trd. by Hon'ble High<br>Court of Madras in the<br>matter of start-up<br>power charges. |
| 65 | T.A.No.56 of 2022 | M/s.Seshasayee Paper and<br>Boards Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Mettur EDC | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.14952 of 2014<br>trd. by Hon'ble High<br>Court of Madras in the<br>matter of start-up<br>power charges. |
| 66 | T.A.No.57 of 2022 | M/s.Basudha Udyog Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Chennai EDC                     | Adv.Vinod Kumar<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson    | W.P.No.272 of 2014<br>trd. by Hon'ble High<br>Court of Madras in the<br>matter of start-up<br>power charges.   |
| 67 | T.A.No.58 of 2022 | M/s.MALCO Energy Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance<br>(iv) SE/Mettur EDC<br>(v) AO/Revenue | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.6382 of 2014<br>trd. by Hon'ble High<br>Court of Madras in the<br>matter of start-up<br>power charges.  |
| 68 | T.A.No.59 of 2022 | M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC<br>(iv) DFC/Nagapattinam EDC           | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson   | W.P.No.17057 of 2014<br>trd. by Hon'ble High<br>Court of Madras in the<br>matter of start-up<br>power charges. |
| 69 | T.A.No.60 of 2022 | M/s.A.R.S. Metals Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) SE/ Chennai EDC/North   | Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.3522 of 2017<br>trd. by Hon'ble High<br>Court of Madras in the<br>matter of start-up<br>power charges.  |

|    |                   |  |   |   |
|----|-------------------|--|---|---|
| 70 | T.A.No.61 of 2022 | Subramania Siva Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director/Finance<br>(iv) SE/Dharmapuri EDC | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.23902 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  |
| 71 | T.A.No.62 of 2022 | M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director /Finance                                | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.31774 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  |
| 72 | T.A.No.63 of 2022 | M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) Director/Finance<br>(iv) SE/Karur EDC               | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.7887 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.   |
| 73 | T.A.No.65 of 2022 | M/s.SKI Carbon Black (India) Pvt. Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.   | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.31037 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.  |
| 74 | T.A.No.1 of 2023  | M/s.M.R.Krishnamurthy Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue & Ors.                                    | Adv.Rahul Balaji<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson | W.P.No.6560 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges.   |
| 75 | T.A.No.2 of 2023  | M/s.Servalakshmi Paper Limited<br>Versus<br>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd<br>(ii) CFC/Revenue<br>(iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC                                 | Adv.G.Vasudevan<br><br>Adv.Richardson Wilson  | W.P.(MD)No.18712 of 2013 and M.P.(MD) Nos.1 to 3 of 2013 trd. by the Madurai Bench of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. |

|    |                    |                                     |                    |   |
|----|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| 76 | P.R.C.No.3 of 2023 | M/s.Tower Vision India Pvt. Limited | Adv. P.J.Rishikesh | <p>To issue declaratory relief declaring that the petitioner company falls under the LT Tariff III-B and consequently make the Industrial Tariff applicable to the petitioner company from the date of the order of this present petition.</p> <p>For hearing on the maintainability of the petition.</p> |
|----|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---|

**Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission**