

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Kabilan	...	Chairman
Thiru.K.Venugopal	....	Member
	and	
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy	....	Member

**T.A. No. 1 of 2011**

Santhi Casting Works W.P. No. 22738/2009  
Kumarapuram, Narasimnaickenpalayam Post  
P.B. No. 5506, Coimbatore District.

.... Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, TNEB  
144, Anna Salai, Chennai & another

.....Respondents

**Hearing Date : 15-11-2011**

**ORDER**

These are transferred petitions filed before the Commission as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. As the issue in all the petitions is the same, the Commission has clubbed the petitions.

The learned counsel Thiru.R.S. Pandiyaraj appearing for some of the Petitioners has contended that since the Petitioner industries have to be run continuously without any break, there is need for the Commission to fix the optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restriction. He has referred to the order of the Commission as well as the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009. He pleaded that the optimum demand is the

demand without which the industries cannot run and such a demand is very essential for running the industry.

The learned counsel for TNEB has referred to paras 9 and 10 of the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009.

It has been pointed out by the TNEB officials that since the CPPs are allowed to go up to the sanctioned demand, there may not be any need for the optimum demand. They further stated that optimum demand concept is applicable to the consumers who do not have any captive generation. Orders reserved.

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K.Venugopal)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S.Kabilan)**  
**Chairman**

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Kabilan	...	Chairman
Thiru.K.Venugopal	....	Member
	and	
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy	....	Member

**T.A.. No. 2 of 2011**

Sendhur Alloys Foundry W.P. No. 23526/2009  
7/1, G Avinashi Road, Thottipalayam Pirivu  
Coimbatore District.

.... Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, TNEB  
144, Anna Salai, Chennai & another

.....Respondents

**Hearing Date : 15-11-2011**

**ORDER**

These are transferred petitions filed before the Commission as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. As the issue in all the petitions is the same, the Commission has clubbed the petitions.

The learned counsel Thiru.R.S. Pandiyaraj appearing for some of the Petitioners has contended that since the Petitioner industries have to be run continuously without any break, there is need for the Commission to fix the optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restriction. He has referred to the order of the Commission as well as the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009. He pleaded that the optimum demand is the

demand without which the industries cannot run and such a demand is very essential for running the industry.

The learned counsel for TNEB has referred to paras 9 and 10 of the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009.

It has been pointed out by the TNEB officials that since the CPPs are allowed to go up to the sanctioned demand, there may not be any need for the optimum demand. They further stated that optimum demand concept is applicable to the consumers who do not have any captive generation. Orders reserved.

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K.Venugopal)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S.Kabilan)**  
**Chairman**

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Kabilan	...	Chairman
Thiru.K.Venugopal	....	Member
	and	
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy	....	Member

**T.A.. No. 3 of 2011**

Ramprasad Tubes & Bars (P) Ltd., W.P. No. 23527 of 2009  
818/1, Samanaickenpalayam  
No.4, Veerapandi (PO) Coimbatore District

.... Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, TNEB  
144, Anna Salai, Chennai & another

.....Respondents

**Hearing Date : 15-11-2011**

**ORDER**

These are transferred petitions filed before the Commission as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. As the issue in all the petitions is the same, the Commission has clubbed the petitions.

The learned counsel Thiru.R.S. Pandiyaraj appearing for some of the Petitioners has contended that since the Petitioner industries have to be run continuously without any break, there is need for the Commission to fix the optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restriction. He has referred to the order of the Commission as well as the circular

of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009. He pleaded that the optimum demand is the demand without which the industries cannot run and such a demand is very essential for running the industry.

The learned counsel for TNEB has referred to paras 9 and 10 of the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009.

It has been pointed out by the TNEB officials that since the CPPs are allowed to go up to the sanctioned demand, there may not be any need for the optimum demand. They further stated that optimum demand concept is applicable to the consumers who do not have any captive generation. Orders reserved.

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K.Venugopal)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S.Kabilan)**  
**Chairman**

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Kabilan	...	Chairman
Thiru.K.Venugopal	....	Member
	and	
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy	....	Member

**T.A. No. 4 of 2011**

M/s. Sidhi Smelters (P) Ltd., W.P. No. 1368 of 2010  
A-3, SIPCOT Industrial Complex,  
Gummidipoondi – 601 201.

.... Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, TNEB  
144, Anna Salai, Chennai & another

.....Respondents

**Hearing Date : 15-11-2011**

**ORDER**

These are transferred petitions filed before the Commission as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. As the issue in all the petitions is the same, the Commission has clubbed the petitions.

The learned counsel Thiru.R.S. Pandiyaraj appearing for some of the Petitioners has contended that since the Petitioner industries have to be run continuously without any break, there is need for the Commission to fix the optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restriction. He has referred to the order of the Commission as well as the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009. He pleaded that the optimum demand is the

demand without which the industries cannot run and such a demand is very essential for running the industry.

The learned counsel for TNEB has referred to paras 9 and 10 of the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009.

It has been pointed out by the TNEB officials that since the CPPs are allowed to go up to the sanctioned demand, there may not be any need for the optimum demand. They further stated that optimum demand concept is applicable to the consumers who do not have any captive generation. Orders reserved.

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K.Venugopal)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S.Kabilan)**  
**Chairman**

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission



**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Kabilan	...	Chairman
Thiru.K.Venugopal	....	Member
	and	
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy	....	Member

**T.A.. No. 5 of 2011**

Ferrolinks W.P. No. 1737 of 2010  
354, Thandagam Road,  
GCT Post, Coimbatore – 641 013.

.... Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, TNEB  
144, Anna Salai, Chennai & 2 others

.....Respondents

**Hearing Date : 15-11-2011**

**ORDER**

These are transferred petitions filed before the Commission as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. As the issue in all the petitions is the same, the Commission has clubbed the petitions.

The learned counsel Thiru.R.S. Pandiyaraj appearing for some of the Petitioners has contended that since the Petitioner industries have to be run continuously without any break, there is need for the Commission to fix the optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restriction. He has referred to the order of the Commission as well as the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009. He pleaded that the optimum demand is the

demand without which the industries cannot run and such a demand is very essential for running the industry.

The learned counsel for TNEB has referred to paras 9 and 10 of the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009.

It has been pointed out by the TNEB officials that since the CPPs are allowed to go up to the sanctioned demand, there may not be any need for the optimum demand. They further stated that optimum demand concept is applicable to the consumers who do not have any captive generation. Orders reserved.

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K.Venugopal)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S.Kabilan)**  
**Chairman**

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Kabilan	...	Chairman
Thiru.K.Venugopal	....	Member
	and	
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy	....	Member

**T.A.. No. 6 of 2011**

Meenakshi Udyog (I) Pvt. Ltd., W.P. No. 1944 of 2010  
S.F. No. 291 & 292  
Kappakkal Village, Via. Uliveeranpalli  
Hosur – Thally Road  
Nagondapally Post

.... Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, TNEB  
144, Anna Salai, Chennai & another

.....Respondents

**Hearing Date : 15-11-2011**

**ORDER**

These are transferred petitions filed before the Commission as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. As the issue in all the petitions is the same, the Commission has clubbed the petitions.

The learned counsel Thiru.R.S. Pandiyaraj appearing for some of the Petitioners has contended that since the Petitioner industries have to be run continuously without any break, there is need for the Commission to fix the optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restriction. He has referred to the order of the Commission as well as the circular

of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009. He pleaded that the optimum demand is the demand without which the industries cannot run and such a demand is very essential for running the industry.

The learned counsel for TNEB has referred to paras 9 and 10 of the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009.

It has been pointed out by the TNEB officials that since the CPPs are allowed to go up to the sanctioned demand, there may not be any need for the optimum demand. They further stated that optimum demand concept is applicable to the consumers who do not have any captive generation. Orders reserved.

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K.Venugopal)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S.Kabilan)**  
**Chairman**

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Kabilan	...	Chairman
Thiru.K.Venugopal	....	Member
	and	
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy	....	Member

**T.A.. No. 7 of 2011**

M/s. D.S. Metals (P) Ltd., W.P. No. 1945 of 2010  
Blcok E, Rear Side, Old No. 808  
New No. 324, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
Kilpauk, Poonamallee High Road  
Chennai

.... Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, TNEB  
144, Anna Salai, Chennai & another

.....Respondents

**Hearing Date : 15-11-2011**

**ORDER**

These are transferred petitions filed before the Commission as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. As the issue in all the petitions is the same, the Commission has clubbed the petitions.

The learned counsel Thiru.R.S. Pandiyaraj appearing for some of the Petitioners has contended that since the Petitioner industries have to be run continuously without any break, there is need for the Commission to fix the optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restriction. He has referred to the order of the Commission as well as the circular

of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009. He pleaded that the optimum demand is the demand without which the industries cannot run and such a demand is very essential for running the industry.

The learned counsel for TNEB has referred to paras 9 and 10 of the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009.

It has been pointed out by the TNEB officials that since the CPPs are allowed to go up to the sanctioned demand, there may not be any need for the optimum demand. They further stated that optimum demand concept is applicable to the consumers who do not have any captive generation. Orders reserved.

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K.Venugopal)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S.Kabilan)**  
**Chairman**

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Kabilan	...	Chairman
Thiru.K.Venugopal	....	Member
	and	
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy	....	Member

**T.A.. No. 8 of 2011**

Rajinikanth Foundation W.P. No. 1228 of 2009  
603-C Block  
Pioneer Complex 1075  
Avinashi Road, Coimbatore – 641 018

.... Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, TNEB  
144, Anna Salai, Chennai & 2 others

.....Respondents

**Hearing Date : 15-11-2011**

**ORDER**

These are transferred petitions filed before the Commission as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. As the issue in all the petitions is the same, the Commission has clubbed the petitions.

The learned counsel Thiru.R.S. Pandiyaraj appearing for some of the Petitioners has contended that since the Petitioner industries have to be run continuously without any break, there is need for the Commission to fix the optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restriction. He has referred to the order of the Commission as well as the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009. He pleaded that the optimum demand is the

demand without which the industries cannot run and such a demand is very essential for running the industry.

The learned counsel for TNEB has referred to paras 9 and 10 of the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009.

It has been pointed out by the TNEB officials that since the CPPs are allowed to go up to the sanctioned demand, there may not be any need for the optimum demand. They further stated that optimum demand concept is applicable to the consumers who do not have any captive generation. Orders reserved.

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K.Venugopal)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S.Kabilan)**  
**Chairman**

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission



**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.S.Kabilan	...	Chairman
Thiru.K.Venugopal	....	Member
	and	
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy	....	Member

**T.A.. No. 9 of 2011**

Rangamma Steels & Malleables W.P. No. 1229 of 2009  
603 – C Block  
Pioneer Complex 1075  
Avinashi Road, Coimbatore – 641 018.

.... Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, TNEB  
144, Anna Salai, Chennai & 2 others

.....Respondents

**Hearing Date : 15-11-2011**

**ORDER**

These are transferred petitions filed before the Commission as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. As the issue in all the petitions is the same, the Commission has clubbed the petitions.

The learned counsel Thiru.R.S. Pandiyaraj appearing for some of the Petitioners has contended that since the Petitioner industries have to be run continuously without any break, there is need for the Commission to fix the optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restriction. He has referred to the order of the Commission as well as the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009. He pleaded that the optimum demand is the

demand without which the industries cannot run and such a demand is very essential for running the industry.

The learned counsel for TNEB has referred to paras 9 and 10 of the circular of the TNEB dated 31-8-2009.

It has been pointed out by the TNEB officials that since the CPPs are allowed to go up to the sanctioned demand, there may not be any need for the optimum demand. They further stated that optimum demand concept is applicable to the consumers who do not have any captive generation. Orders reserved.

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K.Venugopal)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S.Kabilan)**  
**Chairman**

/ True Copy /

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission