



TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN

19- A, Rukmini Lakshmi Pathy Salai, (Marshal Road),
Egmore, Chennai - 600 008.

Phone: ++91-044-2841 1376/2841 1378/2841 1379 Fax: ++91-044-2841 1377
Email: tnerc@nic.in Web site: www.tneo.gov.in

BEFORE THE TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN, CHENNAI

Present: Thiru. S. Devarajan Electricity Ombudsman

Appeal Petition No. 34 of 2018

Thiru. V. Sakthi,
Alangkuttai Village,
T. Ammapettai Post,
Harur, Dharmapuri

. Appellant
(Rep by Thiru.J. Ravikumar &
M. Murugan, Advocates)

Vs

The Executive Engineer/O&M/Harur,
Dharmapuri Electricity Distribution Circle,
TANGEDCO,
Thiru. Vi.Ka Nagar,
Harur – 636 903, Dharmapuri District

. Respondent
(Rep by Tmt.S. Poongodi/ EE/O&M/Harur)

Date of hearing : 20.9.2018

Date of Order : 07.01.2019

The Petition dt. 08.7.2018 filed by Thiru. V. Sakthi, Alangkuttai Village, T. Ammapettai Post, Harur, Dharmapuri was registered as Appeal Petition No.34 of 2018. The above appeal petition came up for hearing before the Electricity Ombudsman on 20.9.2018. Upon perusing the appeal petition, counter affidavit and after hearing both sides, the Electricity Ombudsman passes the following order.

ORDER

1. Prayer of the Appellant:

The appellant's father Mr.Vellaiyan on 15.10.1984 had applied to the Respondent for new Electricity Connection for agricultural and irrigation purpose for his well in S.No.72/IB. Thereafter on 09.12.2002, the Respondent issued a letter directing the appellant to produce documents pertaining to the S.No.72/1B and register his readiness on or before 20.01.2003 for the registration No.HA1551/15.10.1984. Subsequently on 26.11.2003, Appellant's father had passed away. The following documents were furnished to the Respondent AE/Thirthamalai, viz. 1.Death Certificate, 2.Legal heirship Certificate, 3.Patta standing in the name of Vellaiyan.

2. Brief History of the case:

2.1 The appellant's father Mr.Vellaiyan on 15.10.1984 had applied to the Respondent for new Electricity Connection for agricultural and irrigation purpose for his well in S.No.72/ IB. Thereafter on 09.12.2002 the Respondent issued a letter directing the appellant to produce documents pertaining to the S.No.72/1B and register his readiness on or before 20.01.2003 for the registration No.HA1551/15.10.1984.

2.2 Subsequently on 26.11.2003 the Appellant's father had passed away. The following documents were furnished to the Respondent and the Assistant Engineer, Thirthamalai, 1. Death Certificate, 2. Legal heirship Certificate, 3. Patta standing in the name of Vellaiyan.

2.3 On 06.03.2018, a Complaint was filed to the CGRF, Harur, Dharmapuri District and they have turned deaf ear to the complaint made by the Appellant. Since no orders were passed for more than 2 months the Appellant hereby seeks appropriate order to Respondent to grant Electricity Connection to the well in S.No.72/1B6 which has been sub divided as S.No.72/ IB6, within a time stipulated by this Hon'ble Ombudsman. The petition was registered as A.P.No.34 of 2018.

3. Arguments of the Appellant furnished in the Appeal Petition :

3.1 The appellant's father Mr.Vellaiyan on 15.10.1984 had applied to the Respondent for new Electricity Connection for agricultural and irrigation purpose for his well in S.No.72/ IB. Thereafter on 09.12.2002 the Respondent issued a letter bearing No.HA1551/ 15.10.1984, demanding production of documents pertaining to the S.No.72/1B on or before 20.01.2003.

3.2 Subsequently on 26.11.2003, the Appellant's father had passed away. The following documents were furnished to the Respondent viz. the Assistant Engineer, Thirthamalai, 1. Death Certificate, 2. Legal heirship Certificate, 3. Patta standing in the name of Vellaiyan.

3.3 A representation was given to allot Electricity connection to the Appellant's name for the bore well in S.No.72/1B which has been sub divided as S.No. 72/1B6 and this request was not considered by the Respondent. There was no reply on the part of the Respondent to the request made and he did not pass any orders on the Application to grant service connection, causing huge delay and prejudice to the Appellant.

3.4 The conduct of the Respondent in not providing Electricity Connection is contrary to the Tamil Nadu Electricity Act and Rules made there under. Therefore on 06.03.2018 a Complaint was filed to the Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Harur, Dharmapuri District and they have turned deaf ear to the complaint made by the Appellant. Since no orders were passed for more than 2 months the Appellant hereby seeks appropriate order to Respondent to grant Electricity Connection to the well in S.No.72/1B which has been sub divided as S.No.72/ IB6, within a time stipulated by this Hon'ble Ombudsman.

4. Arguments of Respondent furnished in counter:

4.1 The appellant father Thiru.Vellaiyan, S/o. Chinnaa kounder has applied for new Service connection in normal priority for agriculture and irrigation purpose for his well in SF.No .72/1B on 15.10.1984. Based on this application, the respondent issued a letter on 09.12.2002 for HA.1551/15.10.1984 to Thiru.Vellaiyan S/o Chinnaakounder Alangkuttai, Harur (PO) to produce related document pertaining to the S.F.no.72/1B and register his readiness on or before 20.01.2003. The readiness was not reported by him.

4.2 It is informed to the petitioner during Consumer Grievance day meeting held at Harur on 06.03.2018 by the Superintending Engineer, Dharmapuri that related documents not furnished and readiness not made in time and he has not appealed within the time frame of five years and hence informed that the cancelled application cannot be considered.

4.3 As per the agricultural service normal priority scheme, notice was issued on 09.12.2002 to the applicant Thiru.Vellaiyan to produce the related documents regarding the well in S.F.no.72/1B, with ISI pump set, motor bills so as to register readiness at the section office for getting service connection, on or before 20.01.2003.

4.4 As per readiness register maintained in section office, the applicant has not registered his readiness for getting electricity connection. As per regulation vide Memo No: SE/RE&I(D)/REIIDID.No:6683/92 Technical Branch Dt:08.10.1992, the time period for register the readiness to get electricity connection in the Agricultural normal priority scheme is 90 days and the maximum Period of 5 years in different spells.

4.5 If not register the readiness within the notice period, the applicant should submit the representation for time extension. As per regulation the representation of the applicant may be considered within five year on the date of expiry of 90 days

issued to the applicant. It is clearly known that the notice was issued to the applicant on 09.12.2002 for register the readiness for electricity connection on or before 20.01.2003. The appellant not registered the readiness within the period and also stated that the applicant Thiru. Vellaiyan passed away on 26.11.2003. From this it is clearly known that the appellant has applied for name transfer and SF number change after the completion of notice period of 90 days.

4.6 As per notice issued for electricity connection it is clearly stated in the point no:6, if not register the readiness for electricity connection with in the notice period your application will be cancelled and also you will not claim any priority against the cancelled application. The applicant should submit the new application if service connection needed.

4.7 After 6 years the appellant applied for name transfer and SF number change to an existing application. The section officer returned the dockets to the appellant and requested to submit the relevant documents regarding name transfer and SF no change vide Lr No.017/10 Dated 13.04.2010. In that letter the section officer clearly stated that if not submitted the relevant documents then action could not be taken regarding your application.

4.8 The applicant replied vide letter dated 25.04.2010 requested to give the electricity connection Based on already submitted documents during application. It is clearly known that the appellant did not submitted the relevant documents for the name transfer and SF no change.

4.9 It is also submitted that the applicant appeared before the Division level grievance day meeting held at Harur division and he has not appeared to the consumer grievance redressal forum conducted at the office of the Superintending Engineer, Dharmapuri.

5. Hearing held by the Electricity Ombudsman:

5.1 To enable the Appellant and the Respondent to put forth their arguments in person a hearing was conducted on 20.9.2018.

5.2 The Appellant's son Thiru. J. Ravikumar & M. Murugan advocates attended the hearing on behalf of the Appellant and put forth their arguments.

5.3 The Respondent, Tmt.S. Poongodi/EE/O&M/Harur has attended the hearing and put forth her arguments.

6.0 Arguments putforth by the Appellant on the hearing date :

6.1 Appellant's representatives reiterated the contents of the Appeal petition.

6.2 The appellant argued that his father Mr.Vellaiyan had applied to the Respondent for new Electricity Connection for agricultural purpose on 15.10.1984 for the well in S.No.72/1B. Thereafter on 09.12.2002, the Respondent issued a letter demanding production of documents pertaining to the S.No.72/1B on or before 20.01.2003.

6.3 In the mean time appellant's father who had fallen sick at the time had expired on 26.11.2003 without submitting any documents due to prolong illness. Thereafter, the Appellant has approached the respondents to effect service in his name by submitting the following documents during 01/2006 to the Assistant Engineer, Thirthamalai, 1. Death Certificate, 2. Legal heirship Certificate, 3. Patta standing in the name of Vellaiyan.

6.4 The appellant has argued that he has approached the respondent to expedite his request repeatedly. Thereafter the respondent AE has sent a letter dated 13.04.2010 to the appellant stating some deficiencies in the documents and asked to submit certain fresh document to expedite his request.

6.5 Further he stated that in response to the AE's letter, he immediately sent a reply on 25.04.2010 stating that he has already submitted the documents and hence requested to effect service connection in his name. Since no action was taken by the respondent he preferred a petition before the Superintending Engineer during the consumer grievance day meeting held at the office of the EE/O&M/Harur on 06.03.2018.

6.6 The appellant further requested appropriate order to Respondent to grant Electricity Connection to the well in S.No.72/1B which has been sub divided as S.No.72/ IB6, within a time frame.

7.0 Arguments put forth by the Respondent on the hearing date:

7.1 The Respondent Tmt.S. Poongodi/ EE/O&M/Harur reiterated the contents furnished in the counter affidavit.

7.2 The respondent has argued that the appellant's father Thiru.Vellaiyan, S/o. Chinnaa kounder has applied for new Service connection in normal priority for agriculture and irrigation purpose for his well in SF.No .72/1B on 15.10.1984. Based on this application, the respondent issued a letter on 09.12.2002 for HA.1551/15.10.1984 to Thiru.Vellaiyan S/o Chinnaakounder Alangkuttai, Harur (PO) to produce related document pertaining to the S.F.no.72/1B and register his readiness on or before 20.01.2003. The readiness was not reported by the appellant.

4.3 The respondent has further argued that as per the agricultural service normal priority scheme, notice was issued on 09.12.2002, to the applicant Thiru.Vellaiyan to produce the related documents regarding the well in S.F.no.72/IB, with ISI pump set, motor bills so as to register readiness at the section office for getting service connection, on or before 20.01.2003.

4.4 Further, as per direction vide Memo No: SE/RE&I(D)/REIIDID.No:6683/92 Technical Branch Dt:08.10.1992, the time period to register the readiness to get

electricity connection in the Agricultural normal priority scheme is 90 days and the maximum period of 5 years in different spells. The applicant has neither requested time extension nor registered the readiness within a notice period of 90 days.

4.6 As per notice issued for electricity connection it is clearly stated if the readiness for electricity connection is not registered within the notice period then the application will be cancelled and thereafter the applicant will not claim any priority against the cancelled application.

4.7 But after 6 years, the appellant applied for name transfer and SF number change to an existing application. The section officer returned the dockets to the appellant and requested to submit the relevant documents regarding name transfer and SF number change vide his letter dated 13.04.2010. In that letter itself, the section officer has clearly stated that if not submitted the relevant documents then further action could not be taken regarding appellant's application. The applicant should submit the new application if service connection is needed.

8. Findings of the Electricity Ombudsman:

8.1 I have heard the arguments of both the appellant and the respondent. In this connection, the following are the issues to be decided.

- i) what is the time limit to register readiness?
- ii) whether the appellant's request to grant electricity Connection to the well in S.No.72/1B which has been sub divided as S.No.72/ IB6 is acceptable?

9. Findings on the first issue:

9.1 As there is no specific regulation detailing the time limit to register readiness for an agricultural service connection in the TNERC's code, the circulars of the licensee are to be referred to know the procedure to register readiness for an agricultural service connection which is extracted below:

"1) Memo No: SE/RE&I(D)/REIIDID.No:6683/92 Technical Branch Dt:08.10.1992,

XXXXX XXXXX
XXXXX XXXXX

(i) **CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REPORTING READINESS :**

Powers for condonation of delay in reporting readiness in different spells subject to a maximum period of one year is being exercised by Superintending Engineer/ of Electricity Distribution Circles as outlined in Circular Memo No.Addl.CE/IEMC/EE3/AEE2/636/91, (Technical Branch), dt.22-3-1991.

All cases of delay in reporting readiness beyond the period of one year and upto five years in different spells from the date of issue of 90 days notice may be examined individually and decided based on the merits of the case.

(ii) **REVOCAION OF CANCELLED APPLICATIONS AND RESTORATION OF ORIGINAL SENIORITY.**

Representations received upto a period of five years from the date of cancellation of application, may be considered on merits of the cases individually and orders passed.

(iii) **APPEAL FOR EFFECTING SERVICES AGAINST MISSING/ UNTRACEABLE APPLICATIONS.**

Any appeal petition contesting the orders passed by the Superintending Engineers/Electricity Distribution Circle in accordance with the procedure ordered in Memo No.SE/RE&I(D)/D/C.143/87 (Tech.Branch) dt.7-10-87 may be dealt individually and the case disposed finally on the basis of merits of the case.

(iv) **ANY OTHER ISSUES.**

The Chief Engineer/(Distribution) of the Region are also empowered to deal with other issues apart from those mentioned in para(i) to (iii) above arising in respect of all new and pending agricultural applications from the time of submission of application till the date of effecting service.

XXX XXXX
XXX XXXX

2) Lr.No.SE/RE&I(D)/EE/RE/A1/F.Doc/D.No.529/2009, dated 22.09.2009.

1. In cases of delay in reporting readiness of agricultural applications even beyond the extended period of one year, extension of time may be given to the original applicant/ legal heirs, as the case may be for entering readiness upto 5 years from the date of expiry of 90 days notice period once the party has attained the normal seniority. However, this should not be applicable to purchaser of the land who purchases the land after expiry of 90 days notice.

2. *The applications which were kept pending (except court case/ dispute case after completing 5 years from the date of expiry of 90 days notice period may be cancelled and intimated to the applicant.*

3. *Cancelled applications need not be revoked.*

4. *Applicants whose applications released / readiness entered, but supply was not availed due to court case / dispute supply may be effected without any time limit, if the case is ended in favour of the applicant on priority. The receipt of this letter may be acknowledged.”*

9.2 In the circular dated 09.10.1992, the CEs/Distribution have been delegated powers to condone the delay etc in respect of agricultural application considering the merits of the individual cases. It could be seen that for delay in reporting readiness or revocation of cancelled application, the CE’s are empowered to take a decision within a period of 5 years i.e. there is a limitation which is 5 years.

9.3 In the circular dated 22.09.2009 it has been clearly stated that the application which were kept pending after completing 5 years period from the date of expiry of 90 days notice period may be cancelled and intimated to the applicant.

9.4 The 22.9.2009 circular was issued in modification to CE/Planning’s letter dated 03.03.2005 which was in force at the time when the appellant’s father received the readiness notice i.e on 09.12.2002. The relevant portion of the circular dated 03.03.2005 is reproduced below :

“Memo No.CE/Plq/SE/RE&I(D)/EE/RE/AEE/F.Doc/D25605, Dt.3.3.2005

X X X X X X X X X
X X X X X X X X X

2 (i) Condonation of delay in reporting readiness:

In all cases of delay in reporting readiness even beyond the period one year, time extension may be given for entering readiness to avail the supply without any time limit once the party has attained the normal seniority. The application shall be considered for that particular year or next year once the party is ready.

When the applicant dies the priority shall got transferred automatically to the legal heir of the deceased. The legal heir can avail supply by producing required documents.

(ii) Revocation of cancelled applications and restoration of original seniority.

Representation received without any time limit may be considered on merits of case and orders passed.

(iii) Any other issues:

For such of those applicants who have already entered their readiness to avail supply but supply was not availed due to court case / dispute supply may be effected without any time limit if the case is in favour of the applicant on priority. If no target is available then service may be effected in the next year.

The intention of all these instructions are to ensure that genuine applicants are not denied their opportunity for availing supply to their agricultural pumpsets and that their representation are disposed off at Chief Engineer/Distribution/Region level.

x x x x x x x x x
x x x x x x x x x”

9.5 On a careful reading of the above circular it is seen that extension of time may be given for entering readiness to avail the supply without any time limit once the party has attained the normal seniority. The application shall be considered for that particular year or next year once the party is ready. It is also stated that the intention of the instructions are to ensure genuine applicants are not denied their opportunity for availing supply to their agricultural pumpsets.

9.6 But the above instruction was modified in CE/Plg. Letter dt.22.9.2009. As per the modified instructions, the application which were kept pending after completing 5 years from the date of expiry of 90 days notice period may be cancelled and intimated to applicant.

9.7 On a careful reading of all the circulars, it is observed that prior to issue of circular dt.22.9.2009, there was provision for granting extension of time for entering readiness to avail supply without any time limit once the party has attained normal seniority. Similarly, the cancelled application can also be revoked without any time limit based on merits of the case. But after issue of circular dt.22.9.2009, a time limit of 5 years from the date of expiry 90 days notice period has been fixed. (ie) as on date, the applicant can seek extension of time for entering readiness only up to 5 years after expiry of the 90 days notice period.

10. Findings on the second issue:

10.1 The Appellant is seeking an agricultural service connection for the application registered by his father Thiru.Vellaiyan with the licensee on 15.10.1984. It is seen that 90 days notice for entering readiness is said to have been issued for the above application on 09.12.2002.

10.2 As per the Licensee's circular dt. 22.9.2009, the extension of time can be granted upto 5 years from the date of expiry of 90 days notice period. Hence, had the Appellant raised this issue within 5 years from the date of expiry of the 90 days notice period there is a remedy as per the above circular of the Board. (or) had he raised the issue before 22.9.2009, his case will be considered on merit of the case as per circular dt. 3.3.2005.

10.3 In the present case the appellant has argued that he has applied to the licensee to name transfer and SF change during 06/2006 itself with the all relevant documents to register readiness since his father passed away on 26.11.2003, but he failed to give any proof for the same. Further the appellant has referred the revenue documents such as legal heirship certificate issued on 18.05.2006 and Patta No.481 containing SF No.72/1B (shown as 72/1B6) which was name transferred on 05.01.2006 corroborating the argument that the application was given during 6/2006.

10.4 From the records, it could be seen that the respondent AE/O&M/ Tirthamalai has sent a letter dated 13.04.2010 to the appellant to rectify the certain defects noticed in the application which is extracted below:

அனுப்புதல்	“தமிழ்நாடு மின்சார வாரியம்
உதவி பொறியாளர்	பெறுதல்
இயக்கமும் &பராமரிப்பும்	திரு. சக்தி
த.நா.மி.வாரியம்/தீர்த்தமலை	க/பெ வெள்ளையன்
	ஆலங்குட்டை

ச.எண்.உ.பொ/இப/தீ.மலை/அ.எண்.017/10 நாள் 13-4-10

பொருள் - மின்சாரம் - தமிழ்நாடு/தீ.மலை பிரிவு அலுவலகம் திரு. சக்தி த/பெ வெள்ளையம், ஆலங்குட்டை அவர்கள் சாதாரண வகையில் மின்இணைப்பு பெற பெயர்மாற்றம் மற்றும் ச.எண். மாற்றம் கோரும் கோப்பின் குறைபாடுகள் காரணமாக திருப்பப்படுகிறது.

குஅஎண்- உ.செபொ/இபே/கி/அ/கோ/எண் /09, நாள் 28.10.09.

மேற்கண்ட பார்வையில் திரு. சக்தி த/பெ வெள்ளையன் ஆலங்குட்டை அவர்கள் சாதாரண வரிசையில் மின்இணைப்பு பெற பெயர் மாற்றம் ச.எண் மாற்றம் கோரும் கோப்பில் கீழ்க்கண்ட குறைகள் வருமாறு

1. ச.எண்.72/1Bக்கு அ.பதிவேடு தேவை ?
2. ச.எண்.72/2C4க்கு அ.பதிவேடு, சிட்டா தேவை ?
3. 1984-ம் வருடாத்திய ச.எண் 72/1B மற்றும் ச.எண்.71/2C4-ன் அ.பதிவேடு நகல் தேவை ?
4. ச.எண் 72/1B-ன் புதிய FMB வரைபடம் தேவை
5. வட்டாட்சியர் சான்று புதியதாக தேவை

எனவே, மேற்கண்ட குறைகள் நிவர்த்தி செய்து இவ்வலுவலகத்தில் சமர்ப்பிக்குமாறு கோரப்படுகிறது. தவறும் பட்சத்தில் தங்கள் விண்ணப்பத்தின் பெயரில் மேல் நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்படமாட்டாது என்பதை அறியவும்.

Sd/xx xxx xxx
உதவி பொறியாளர்
இயக்கமும் &பராமரிப்பும்
த.நா.மி.வாரியம்/தீர்த்தமலை”

From the above letter it is seen that the AE has called for the appellant to rectify certain defects noticed in the application. In the reference, the AE quoted the AEE's letter dated 28.10.2009 instead of appellant's letter. When the AE has in receipt of appellant's application for new agricultural service connection under normal priority along with name transfer and SF change, he should have quoted the appellant's letter reference so as to establish the date of application. Hence it may be construed that there is unreasonable delay on the part of respondent. Since the respondent failed to prove the date of receipt of appellant's letter, I am awarding the benefit of doubt to the appellant that his application might have been received by the respondent prior to 22.09.2009.

10.5 From my findings in the foregoing para I am of the opinion that the appellant has submitted application for new agricultural service connection under normal priority along with name transfer and SF change prior to the issue of circular dated 22.09.2009. Therefore his case will be considered on merit of the case as per circular dt. 3.3.2005.

10.6 As per memo. dated 03.03.2005, in case of delay in reporting readiness even beyond the period of one year time extension may be given for entering readiness to avail the supply without any time limit once the party has attained normal seniority. Further representation received to revoke the cancelled applications and to restore original seniority may also be considered on merits of the case.

10.7 The respondent has pointed out the printed conditions in the 90 days notice as cancellation intimation on expiry of the notice period. As there is a provision for extension of notice period even after expiry of the time specified in the 90 days notice, I am of the view that a separate cancellation letter needs to be issued for conforming the cancellation. But it is seen that no separate cancellation letter was issued to the Appellant before or after issue of circular dt. 22.9.2009.

10.8 As there was a provision to seek extension of time to enter readiness and to revoke the cancellation, without any time limit, prior to issuance of circular dt.22.9.2009, I am of the view the Appellant deserves some relief. Further, in this connections, it is relevant to point out that the instruction of the licensee in regard to agricultural service are only executive instructions as they have not been incorporated in the Terms and Conditions of Supply as laid down in the TN Distribution Code which are statutory provisions of law. Accordingly, I direct the licensee to issue fresh 90 days notice for effecting agricultural service connection to the well in SF No.72/1B for which the original application was submitted and registered by the appellant's father duly following the rules in force.

11. Observation:

11.1 Appellant's father has applied for two agricultural service connection i.e. one for his well and another one for his borewell in SF No.72/1B. During the hearing it has been intimated to the appellant that only one service connection will be given in the said SF No.72/1B as per regulation 27(13) and 29(2) of TN Distribution Code, 2004.

12.2 The appellant, a law abiding citizen immediately withdrawn the application for agricultural service connection under normal priority for his borewell in SF No.72/1B and retained his appeal for agricultural service connection under normal priority for his well in SF No.72/1B.

12. Conclusion :

12.1 In view of findings given in para 10 above, the SE/Dharmapuri EDC is directed to give suitable instructions to the concerned Executive Engineer to issue fresh 90 days notice for effecting agricultural service connection to the well in SF No.72/1B for which the original application was submitted and registered by the appellant's father duly following the rules in force within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order and send a compliance report within 45 days.

12.2 With the above findings the AP. No.34 of 2018 is finally disposed of by the Electricity Ombudsman. No Costs.

(S. Devarajan)
Electricity Ombudsman

To
1) Thiru. V. Sakthi,
Alangkuttai Village,
T. Ammapettai Post,
Harur, Dharmapuri District,

2) The Executive Engineer/O&M/Harur,
Dharmapuri Electricity Distribution Circle,
TANGEDCO,
Thiru. Vi.Ka Nagar,
Harur – 636 903, Dharmapuri District,

3) The Superintending Engineer,
Dharmapuri Electricity Distribution Circle,
TANGEDCO,
Salem Main Road,
Opp. to Collectorate Office,
Dharmapuri- 636 705.

4) The Chairman & Managing Director,
TANGEDCO,
NPKRR Maaligai,
144, Anna Salai,
Chennai -600 002.

5) The Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission,
19-A, Rukmini Lakshmi pathy Salai,
Egmore,
Chennai – 600 008.

6) The Assistant Director (Computer) – **For Hosting in the TNEO Website**
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission,
19-A, Rukmini Lakshmi pathy Salai,
Egmore,
Chennai – 600 008.