

No.68/2020 dated: 24-12-2020

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 29-12-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	T.P.No.1 of 2020	State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC)	Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Seeking approval of ARR for the MYT control period for the FY 2019-20 to FY 2021-22 along with the provisional True-up for the FY 2017-18 & FY 2018-19 and Determination of SLDC charges for the FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22. For admission.
2	M.P.No.37 of 2020	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking approval for power procurement under Short term RTC power of upto 1000 MW for the period from 15.02.2021 to 20.05.2021. For filing affidavit.
3	M.P.No.31 of 2020	TANGEDCO Versus M/s. A.R.S.Energy Pvt. Ltd	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare the M/s.A.R.S. Energy Pvt. Limited is not a captive generating plant and the petitioner may be permitted to levy Cross Subsidy Surcharge for the period from 01.04.2019 onwards from their captive users for captively adjusted energy. For counter.
4	M.P.No.36 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus The Chettinad Cement Corpn. Pvt. Limited	Adv.M.Gopinathan Rep.by its Sr.General Manager	To declare that M/s.Chettinad Cement Corporation Pvt. Limited is not a Captive Generating Plant for the Financial Years 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 and they are liable to pay Cross Subsidy Surcharge for an amount of Rs.95,02,09,269/- for disqualification of Captive Status. For counter.

5	D.R.P.No.21 of 2020	M/s.Techno Electric and Engg. Company Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Chief Financial Controller iii) CE/NCES iv) EE/NCES v) SE/Palladam EDC vi) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the impugned communications dt.12.4.2019 i.r.o. Palladam EDC & dt.9.8.2019 i.r.o.Tirunelveli EDC issued by CE/NCES and direct the Respondents to effect the name change for the petitioner's windmills and also direct to refund a sum of Rs.89,15,172/- being the excess amount collected together with interest thereon. For counter.
6	D.R.P.No.16 of 2020	Nuclear Power Corp'n of India Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	To grant an order of stay of the demand of Rs.69,62,689/- vide SE/Tirunelveli EDC's letter dated 16.5.2019 and to declare the demand for Rs.2,05,79,242/- for the year 2015-16 and the demand for Rs.1,55,51,538/- for the year 2016-17 raised by the respondents against the petitioner as illegal and set-aside the same and direct the respondents to refund the amount of Rs.10,68,72,880/- for the year 2015-16 & 2016-17 to the petitioner together with interest at the rate of 10% per annum from August 2017 till the date of payment. For counter.
7	D.R.P.No.18 of 2020	M/s.Techno Electric and Engg. Company Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Chief Financial Controller iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC iv) SE/Udumalpet EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to pay a sums due and payable against interest on delayed payment amounting to Rs.8.83/-crores along with further interest, under the EPA entered into with TANGEDCO. For counter.
8	D.R.P.No.19 of 2020	M/s.Kandoi Clean Energy Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Bohra & Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to release the interest on delayed payment amount to the petitioner jointly a sum of Rs.38.49/- lakhs @ 1% p.m. beyond 30 days as per EPA. For counter.

9	D.R.P. No.22 of 2014	Texonic Instruments Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For reporting status of the negotiation.
10	M.P.No.18 of 2020	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO Versus i)Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Assn. (TASMA) ii)Indian Wind Power Assn. (IWPA) iii) Southern India Mills Assn. (SIMA) iv)Watsun Infrabuild Ltd. v) Tamil Nadu Power Producers' Assn.	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj – R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji – R-2, R-3 & R-5 M/s.Desai & Diwanji Adv. & Solicitors (R-4)	To determine the additional surcharge of Rs.1.40 per unit payable by OA consumers on quantum of power purchase through Open Access using the network of distribution licensee. For filing additional affidavit by the petitioner.
11	M.P.No.35 of 2020	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To grant time extension for filing True-up of ARR for FY-2016-17, FY 2017-18, FY 2018-19 & FY 2019-20, Annual Performance Review of ARR for FY 2020-21 and determination of ARR for FY 2021-22 to FY 2023-24 till 28th February 2021. For further hearing.
12	D.R.P.No.4 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., GoTN 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE/NCES letter dated 18.9.2017. For filing memo and for further hearing.
13	D.R.P.No.5 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., GoTN 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE,NCES of TANGEDCO letter dated 18-9-2017. For filing memo and for further hearing.
14	D.R.P.No.65 of 2014	Madurai Power Corporation pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	To set aside the TANGEDCO's communication and direct payment of Rs.6.01/- crores along with interest. For arguments.
15	D.R.P.No.66 of 2014	Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	To set aside the TANGEDCO's communication and make payment of Rs.5.82 crores along with interest. For arguments.

16	D.R.P.No.3 of 2019	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/Grid Operation&Anr	Adv.K.Seshadri Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Direct the respondents to pay Rs.44.80/- lakhs being the value of the energy lapsed due to over injection. For arguments.
17	D.R.P.No.9 of 2019	M/s.Aquasub Engineering Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Arun Anbumani Adv. M.Gopinathan	To set aside the communication of TANGEDCO demanding a sum of Rs.9.49 crores and refund a sum of Rs.4.34 crores along with interest. For arguments of the respondent.
18	D.R.P.No.11 of 2019	M/s.Ratedi Wind Power Private Limited Versus 1)CMD/TANGEDCO 2)CE/NCES/TANGEDCO 3) SE/EDC, Tirunelveli	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to refund the balance amount of Rs.5.72 crores for the period upto March 2018 that has been collected on the basis of erroneous application of the Amendment dated 19.6.2013 along with interest of Rs.2.30 crores. For arguments.
19	D.R.P.No.3 of 2020	Ratedi Wind Power Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.19.06 crores. For arguments.
20	D.R.P.No.4 of 2020	Wind Urja India Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.16.71 crores. For arguments.
21	R.A.No.3 of 2020	(i) Ind-Bharat Power Gencome Limited (ii) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. (iii) MALCO Energy Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Dev Dakshan for P-1 Adv. Rahul Balaji for P-2 & P-3 Adv.M.Gopinathan	In W.P.No.18129 of 2011, the Hon'ble High Court in its order dated 30.8.2019 set-aside the orders of this Commission in P.P.A.P.No.5 of 2011 dated 11.7.2011 and remanded back to the Commission. For arguments.
22	M.P.No.20 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association (TASMA) Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To punish the Respondent for having violated the order of the Commission dated 11.10.2008 in the matter of collection of Scheduling and System Operation charges as required to be passed under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003. For filing rejoinder and for arguments.

23	M.P.No.24 of 2020	Indian Wind Power Assn. (IWPA) Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking clarification to the order dated 28.1.2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019 in order to maintain consistency between the CGP Procedure. For arguments.
24	M.P.No.25 of 2020	Ramnad Renewable Energy Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to grant the petitioner a project specific extension of the control period and to declare the petitioner has successfully commissioned its 72 MW solar power project on or before 31 st March 2016. For arguments.
25	M.P.No.26 of 2020	Kamuthi Solar Power Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to grant the petitioner a project specific extension of the control period and to declare the petitioner has successfully commissioned its 216 MW solar power project on or before 31 st March 2016. For arguments.
26	R.P.No.2 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association (TASMA) Versus CFC/TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.1.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for verification of status of CGP and Captive Users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) Nos.930 & 931 of 2017 and CMP No.5958-59 of 2019 of 2017. For arguments.
27	R.P.No.3 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus 1. Sugapriya Paper & Boards Ltd 2. TN Spinnings Mills Assn. 3. Indian Wind Power Assn. 4. South Indian Mills Assn. 5. Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumers Assn. 6. Sri Venkateswara Board	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-2 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-3 & R-4	Review the order of the Commission in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.01.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for Verification status of CGPs and Captive users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) No.930 & 931 of 2017 & CMP No.5958 & 5959 of 2019. For arguments.

28	R.P.No.4 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	M/s.Sugapriya Paper & Boards Pvt. Limited Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order of the Commission in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.01.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for Verification status of CGPs and Captive users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) No.930 & 931 of 2017. For arguments.
----	--	---	--	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission