

No.23/2020 dated: 20-08-2020

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 25-08-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P. No.39 of 2014	Tamil Nadu Gears and Shafts Corporation Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
2	D.R.P.No.47 of 2014	White House Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
3	M.P.No.22 of 2020	CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Approve the new methodology for measurement of Harmonics with the HT/EHT consumers and fix the compensation at 15% of the respective tariff in respect of 11 KV and 22 KV consumers and to instruct all the HT/EHT consumers by the TANGEDCO to install power quality meters by themselves within 12 months from the date of commencement of the amended Regulations. For admission.
4	M.P.No.23 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus 1. Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Assn. (TASMA) 2. Tamil Nadu Power Producers' Assn(TPPA) 3. Indian Wind Power Assn.(IWPA)	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking to issue suitable direction in S.M.P.No.1 of 2020 dated 24.4.2020 to the Captive Generators and the Distribution Licensee in the matter of Submission / collection of the documents for verification of CGP status in view of the relaxations provided by GoTN under various G.Os during the COVID lockdown period. For admission.

5	M.P.No.24 of 2020	M/s. Indian Wind Power Assn. Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking clarification to the order dated 28.1.2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019 in order to maintain consistency between the CGP Procedure. For admission.
6	M.P.No.2 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Asahi Glass India Limited 2) Technical Stamp Lings Automotive Ltd 3) National Textile Corpn Ltd. 4) CAMBODIA Mills 5) Pankaja Mills 6) Sri Ranga Vilas Spg & Wvg Mills 7) Kaleeswara Mills 8) Pioneer Spinners 9) TMT Muthammal Textiles Mills Pvt. Ltd 10) The Southern India Mills Association 11) Best Cotton Mills 12) Spictex Cotton Mills 13) Super Sales India Ltd 14) Aswin Textiles (P) Ltd 15) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd. 16) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd. 17) Rajapalayam Mills Limited 18) Tamil Nadu Spinnings Mills Assn. 19) Indoshell Mould Limited 20) TATA Consultancy Services 21) Madras Cements Limited	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj (R-3, R-4, R-5, R-6, R-7, R-8, R-10, R-11, R-13, R-14, R-15, R-16, R-17, R-18 & R-19) Adv. Rahul Balaji for R-21	To pass orders for implementing both Captive and Third Party Users in connection with calculation of excess demand and energy charges in the orders passed by the Commission dated 7.9.2010 in M.P.No.9 of 2010, M.P.No.6 of 2010, M.P.No.17 of 2010 and D.R.P.No.9 of 2010. For counter.
7	R.P.No.2 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association (TASMA) Versus CFC/TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.1.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for verification of status of CGP and Captive Users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) Nos.930 & 931 of 2017 and CMP No.5958-59 of 2019 in W.A.(MD) No.930 & 931 of 2017. For counter.

8	R.P.No.4 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	M/s.Sugapriya Paper & Boards Pvt. Limited Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order of the Commission in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.01.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for Verification status of CGPs and Captive users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) No.930 & 931 of 2017 & CMP No.5958 & 5959 of 2019. For filing a detailed counter by the respondent on admissibility of the petition.
9	D.R.P.No.5 of 2020	Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus i)TANGEDCO ii)TANTRANSCO iii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	HSA Advocates Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Direct the respondents to complete construction / commissioning of requisite infrastructure beyond the Delivery Point required for evacuation and to declare that the delay in commissioning of the project is for the reasons not attributable to the petitioner. For filing counter on the main petition by TANTRANSCO and for further hearing.
10	M.P.No.11 of 2020	M/s.Aiki Eco Power LLP Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Ramaswamy Meyappan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking clarification to the order dated 28.1.2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019 with regard to the applicability of the procedure for verification of status of captive generating plants and captive users. For filing rejoinder.
11	M.P.No.14 of 2020	Thiru.V.U.Maruthachalam Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SE/South, Coimbatore	Rep. by Thiru.S.Gandhi Adv.M.Gopinathan	To classify "laundry" above 12 KW of power under LT Tariff-III-B and to refund the excess amount collected under Tariff-V with compensation. For filing rejoinder.
12	D.R.P.No.62 of 2014	CLP Wind Farms (India) Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payments of a sum of Rs.10.61 crores being the interest due. For reporting compliance.

13	D.R.P.No.63 of 2014	CLP Wind Farms (Theni Project-II) Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Finance) 3) SE, Theni EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payments of a sum of Rs.11.62 crores being the interest due. For reporting compliance.
14	D.R.P.No.76 of 2014	M. Sathish Kumar Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Finance) 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs. 2.5/- lakhs being the interest due. For reporting compliance.
15	M.P.No.14 of 2012	i) Indian Wind Power Assn. ii) The Tata Power Co.Ltd. iii) M/s.Ushadev Power Holidays Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission Appeal Nos.239 of 2015, 203 of 2015, 240 of 2015 and 241 of 2015 dated 05.02.2020 for taking up the matters from which these appeals arose for further proceedings and adjudication in accordance with law. For filing rejoinder and for further hearing.
	D.R.P.No.28 of 2012	i) Green Infra Wind Power Projects Limited & Ors. Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	-do-
	M.P.Nos.22 & 23 of 2014	Indian Wind Power Assn. Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	-do-
16	M.P.No.21 of 2014	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Assn. Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission Appeal Nos.239 of 2015, 203 of 2015, 240 of 2015 and 241 of 2015 dated 05.02.2020 for taking up the matters from which these appeals arose for further proceedings and adjudication in accordance with law. For filing detailed affidavit.

	M.P.No.45 of 2014	Arasan Syntex Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	-do-
17	D.R.P.No.25 of 2013	Sun Paper Mill Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To fix the rate for supply of power. For arguments.
18	D.R.P.No.32 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	To declare that the withdrawal of deemed demand benefit by the 2 nd respondent is illegal and contrary to law. For arguments.
19	D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinodkumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For arguments.
20	D.R.P.No.80 of 2014	VTX Industries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Arun Anbumani Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents not to levy cross subsidy charges and other charges. For arguments.
21	D.R.P.No.9 of 2020	M/s.Tirunelveli Solar Project Private Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant the petitioner an extension of time for commissioning its 100 MW Solar Power Plant at Kollankinar & other villages, Tuticorin since there has been a change in law i.r.o. of PPA dt.28.9.2017 and consequently direct TANGEDCO to not invoke the bank guarantee. For filing rejoinder and arguments.
22	R.A.No.5 of 2019	Ramnad Renewable Energy Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Remand proceedings consequent to the judgement dated 24.09.2019 of Hon'ble APTEL in Appeal No.31 & 32 of 2017 and to pass orders in the light of the observations made by APTEL and for further arguments.

23	R.A.No.6 of 2019	Kamuthi Solar Power Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Remand proceedings consequent to the judgement dated 24.09.2019 of Hon'ble APTEL in Appeal No.31 & 32 of 2017 and to pass orders in the light of the observations made by APTEL and for further arguments.
24	D.R.P.No.8 of 2019	Ramnad Renewable Energy Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the TANGEDCO to make payment of all outstanding amount of Rs.16.80 crores including late payment surcharge payable to the petitioner. For arguments.
25	M.P.No.25 of 2015	Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Siddharth Bahety Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to dispense with the levy of surcharge for harmonics as far as Railway Traction is concerned. For arguments.
26	R.A.No.5 of 2020	Indian Railways Represented by Southern Railways Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Siddharth Bahety Adv.Abdul Saleem	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission in Appeal No.188 of 2019 dated 27.02.2020 to consider the request of Southern Railway in accordance with law. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary (i/c)
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission