

4No.21/2020 dated: 07-08-2020

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 18-08-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.71 of 2014	KBD Sugars and Distilleries Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
2	D.R.P.No.72 of 2014	KBD Sugars and Distilleries Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
3	D.R.P.No.73 of 2014	D.A.Sathya Prabha Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
4	D.R.P.No.75 of 2014	D.A.Srinivas Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
5	M.P.No.21 of 2020	CREDAI Chennai Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking clarification on the amendment to the TN Electy. Distribution Code, Notification No.TNERC/DC/8-25 dated 18.12.2019 amending Regulation 29 which sets out the space allocation for erection of sub-station where the load exceeds 5 MVA. For admission.
6	R.P.No.2 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association (TASMA) Versus CFC/TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.1.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for verification of status of CGP and Captive Users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) Nos.930 & 931 of 2017 and CMP No.5958-59 of 2019 in W.A.(MD) No.930 & 931 of 2017. For counter.

7	R.P.No.4 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	M/s.Sugapriya Paper & Boards Pvt. Limited Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order of the Commission in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.01.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for Verification status of CGPs and Captive users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) No.930 & 931 of 2017 & CMP No.5958 & 5959 of 2019. For filing a detailed counter by the respondent on admissibility of the petition.
8	M.P.No.2 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Energy Development Agency (TEDA) Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) GoTN, Finance Dept.	GM / TEDA Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking approval for the proposed implementation of the KUSUM (Component-C) Agricultural Solar Pump Scheme in 20000 pumpsets with 7.5 HP load by installing 11 KW Solar PV Plant in each of the pump sets and to approve a maximum / bench mark tariff of Rs.4.53 per unit for the gross unit generated by the proposed Solar PV plant erected under the PM-KUSUM scheme. For filing counter by Finance Dept., GoTN.
9	M.P.No.14 of 2020	Thiru.V.U.Maruthachalam Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SE/South, Coimbatore	Rep. by Thiru.S.Gandhi Adv.M.Gopinathan	To classify "laundry" above 12 KW of power under LT Tariff-III-B and to refund the excess amount collected under Tariff-V with compensation. For counter.
10	D.R.P.No.6 of 2020	M/s.Kamal Encon Industries Limited Versus i)CMD / TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC iv) CFC/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.1.16 crore along with interest for the wind power supplied to TANGEDCO till December 2018. For counter.
11	D.R.P.No.3 of 2020	Ratedi Wind Power Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.19.06 crores. For filing rejoinder.

12	D.R.P.No.4 of 2020	Wind Urja India Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.16.71 crores. For filing rejoinder.
13	D.R.P.No.4 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., GoTN 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE/NCES letter dated 18.9.2017. For further hearing as a last chance.
14	D.R.P.No.5 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., GoTN 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE,NCES of TANGEDCO letter dated 18-9-2017. For further hearing as a last chance.
15	M.P.No.10 of 2020	M/s.Leshark Global LLP Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To punish the TANGEDCO under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the directions issued by this Commission in its order dated 29.10.2019 in M.P.No.14 of 2018 and consequently direct the respondents to implement the said order in its letter and spirit. For further hearing.
16	D.R.P.No.15 of 2011	1. Terra Energy Ltd., 2. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd. Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Thiru.C.Sanjeev, IRP (P1) Thiru.R.Ragavendran, IRP (P2) Adv.Abdul Saleem M/s.RNS Associates	Declare the action of TANGEDCO and M/s.PTC India Limited in treating the non-acceptance of generation and supply of power as arbitrary and illegal. For arguments.
17	D.R.P.No.16 of 2011	1. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., 2. Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.	Thiru.R.Ragavendran, IRP (P1), Thiru.C.Sanjeev, IRP (P2) Adv.Abdul Saleem M/s.RNS Associates	Direct M/s.PTC India Limited to make payment of Rs.4.97 crores being the amount illegally deducted from the bills of the 1 st petitioner. For arguments.

18	I.A.No.1 of 2020 & D.R.P.No.16 of 2012	Samalpatti Power Co. Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rishabh Prasad Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to pay a sum of Rs.239.00 crores along with interest. I.A. filed by the respondent seeking permission to file additional documents and arguments on I.A.
19	I.A.No.1 of 2020 & D.R.P.No.19 of 2012	Madurai Power Corpn Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.232.00 crores along with interest. I.A. filed by the respondent seeking permission to file additional documents and arguments on I.A.
20	D.R.P.No.80 of 2014	VTX Industries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Arun Anbumani Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents not to levy cross subsidy charges and other charges. For arguments.
21	M.P.No.9 of 2019	M/s.India Power Corpn (Tuticorin) Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Director Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking approval for company's name change and allow transfer of all financial and contractual liabilities of IPCTPL as Deemed Distribution Licensee to TESPL. For arguments.
22	R.A.No.4 of 2020	Chennai Metro Rail Limited (CMRL) Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Jayesh B.Dolia Adv.M.Gopinathan	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission in Appeal No.262 of 2016 dated 02.03.2020 to consider the request of CMRL and determine appropriate tariff for passing order afresh. For arguments.
23	D.R.P.No.1 of 2020	Pandian Chemicals Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Yasar Arafath Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to pay a total sum of Rs.30.41 lakhs being the principal and interest amount towards the unadjusted banked units sold to TANGEDCO. For arguments.

24	M.P.No.9 of 2020	Dr.Rajah Muthiah Chettiar Charitable and Educational Trust Versus i)CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Regulatory Cell	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to reclassify the electricity HT Tariff-III to Tariff-IIB and consequently refund of any charges collected in excess. For filing rejoinder and arguments.
----	------------------	---	--------------------------------------	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission