

No.58/2020 dated: 13-11-2020

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 17-11-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.32 of 2020	CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking an appropriate order for processing applications of willing consumers in solar net meter scheme for installation of additional capacity by changeover from Solar Net Meter Scheme under TN Solar Policy 2019 for the existing installed capacity and installation of additional PV Capacity in Solar Feed-in Scheme within the sanctioned demand. For admission.
2	I.A.No.1 of 2020 & D.R.P.No.16 of 2020	Nuclear Power Corpn of India Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	To grant an order of stay of the demand of Rs.69,62,689/- vide SE/Tirunelveli EDC's letter dated 16.5.2019 and to declare the demand for Rs.2,05,79,242/- for the year 2015-16 and the demand for Rs.1,55,51,538/- for the year 2016-17 raised by the respondents against the petitioner as illegal and set-aside the same and direct the respondents to refund the amount of Rs.10,68,72,880/- for the year 2015-16 & 2016-17 to the petitioner together with interest at the rate of 10% per annum from August 2017 till the date of payment. For admission.

3	D.R.P.No.17 of 2020	i) M/s.Walwhan Renewable Energy Limited ii) M/s.Walwhan Solar TN Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SLDC iii) TANTRANSCO iv) MNRE	SKV Law Offices Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue directions treating the loss of generation of Rs.78.73 crores as computed till August, 2020 on account of curtailment of power as deemed generation by the petitioners and to make payment for the said deemed generation charges. For admission.
4	D.R.P.No.24 of 2013	Sree Rangaraj Ispat Industries Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to adjust 88,100 energy units. For filing counter by M/s.DSRM Pvt. Limited.
5	M.P.No.23 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus 1. Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Assn. (TASMA) 2. Tamil Nadu Power Producers' Assn(TPPA) 3. Indian Wind Power Assn.(IWPA)	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-3	Seeking to issue suitable direction in S.M.P.No.1 of 2020 dated 24.4.2020 to the Captive Generators and the Distribution Licensee in the matter of Submission / collection of the documents for verification of CGP status in view of the relaxations provided by GoTN under various G.Os during the COVID lockdown period. For filing affidavit.
6	D.R.P.No.13 of 2020	M/s.Rajaguru Spinning Mills Private Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) SE/Dindigul, TANGEDCO	Adv.S.Venkatesan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay the petitioner a sum of Rs.2,34,90,014/- being the principle and interest for the supply of wind energy with respect to wind energy purchase agreement. For reporting the status from the petitioner.
7	D.R.P.No.14 of 2020	M/s.Rajaguru Spinning Mills Private Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) SE/Dindigul	Adv.S.Venkatesan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay the petitioner a sum of Rs.2,49,64,765/- being the principle and interest for the supply of wind energy. For reporting the status from the petitioner.
8	D.R.P.No.15 of 2020	M/s.Rajaguru Spinning Mills Private Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) SE/Dindigul, TANGEDCO	Adv.S.Venkatesan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay the petitioner a sum of Rs.3,88,12,377/- being the principle and interest for the supply of wind energy with respect to wind energy purchase agreement. For reporting the status from the petitioner.

9	D.R.P.No.8 of 2019	Ramnad Renewable Energy Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the TANGEDCO to make payment of all outstanding amount of Rs.16.80 crores including late payment surcharge payable to the petitioner. For filing revised counter affidavit and for arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.15 of 2011	1. Terra Energy Ltd. 2. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd. Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Thiru.C.Sanjeevi, IRP Adv.Raghav Menon for Thiru.Anurag Goel, IRP Adv.Abdul Saleem M/s.RNS Associates	Declare the action of TANGEDCO and M/s.PTC India Limited in treating the non-acceptance of generation and supply of power as arbitrary and illegal. For filing written submissions by the IRP of M/s.Ambika Sugars Limited.
11	D.R.P.No.16 of 2011	1. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., 2. Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.	Adv.Raghav Menon for Thiru.Anurag Goel, IRP Thiru.C.Sanjeevi, IRP (P2) Adv.Abdul Saleem M/s.RNS Associates	Direct M/s.PTC India Limited to make payment of Rs.4.97 crores being the amount illegally deducted from the bills of the 1 st petitioner. For filing written submissions by the IRP of M/s.Ambika Sugars Limited.
12	R.A.No.1 of 2020	M/s. ASE Structure Design Pvt. Limited Versus i)CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Energy & IT Dept.	TATVA Legal Adv.M.Gopinathan	Directions given by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No.20722 of 2010 in the matter of classification of electricity tariff for IT enabled industries. For filing rejoinder.
13	D.R.P.No.19 of 2012	Madurai Power Corpn Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.232.00 crores along with interest. For arguments of the petitioner.
14	D.R.P.No.7 of 2020	M/s.VSR Solar Power Private Limited Versus i)TANGEDCO ii)CE/NCES iii) SE/GCC, Madurai	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant the petitioner an extension of time for commissioning its 50 MW Solar Power Plant at Vilathikulam, Tuticorin and consequently direct TANGEDCO to not invoke the bank guarantee. For written submissions by TANGEDCO and arguments.

15	D.R.P.No.8 of 2020	M/s.Raasi Green Earth Energy Pvt. Limited Versus i)TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant the petitioner an extension of time for commissioning its 100 MW Solar Power Plant at Vilathikulam, Tuticorin and consequently direct TANGEDCO to not invoke the bank guarantee. For written submissions by TANGEDCO and arguments.
16	D.R.P.No.9 of 2020	M/s.Tirunelveli Solar Project Private Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant the petitioner an extension of time for commissioning its 100 MW Solar Power Plant at Kollankinar & other villages, Tuticorin since there has been a change in law i.r.o. of PPA dt.28.9.2017 and consequently direct TANGEDCO to not invoke the bank guarantee. For written submissions by TANGEDCO and arguments.
17	D.R.P.No.3 of 2015	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.50.70 crores being the interest due. For arguments.
18	M.P.No.21 of 2018	Indian Wind Power Association (IWPA) Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking directions determining the banking charges in paise equivalent to 10% of the banked energy. For arguments.
19	D.R.P.No.2 of 2018	The Ramco Cements Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.3.89 crores towards excess energy pumped and surcharge of Rs.1.68 crores. For arguments.
20	D.R.P.No.3 of 2018	The Ramco Cements Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.1.18 crores towards excess energy pumped and surcharge of Rs.21.79 lakhs. For arguments.
21	M.P.No.7 of 2019	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.Abdul Saleem	Direct the respondents to comply with the order of the Commission in PPAP No.3 of 2014 dated 29.7.2016 and PPA dated 12.12.2013. For arguments

				on the written submissions filed by the petitioner and on the main petition.
22	D.R.P.No.3 of 2019	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/Grid Operation 3) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.Seshadri Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Direct the respondents to pay Rs.44.80/- lakhs being the value of the energy lapsed due to over injection. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission