

No.13/2020 dated: 10-06-2020

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 16-06-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 11-00 AM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,PPP 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Sathyaseelan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12.82 crores. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
2	M.P.No.25 of 2014	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Generation) 3) CE, IPP	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue directions on the respondents in terms of section 142 of the Electricity Act 2003 for non-compliance of the order dated 30-12-2011. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
3	D.R.P.No.68 of 2014	Dattatreya Textiles Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North 4) SE, Madurai EDC 5) AO/Revenue, CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct that TANGEDCO to first effect adjustment of the wind energy supplied to the petitioner from wind mills operating under the Renewable Energy Wheeling Agreement under the REC scheme against the petitioner's HTSC No.47 and thereafter adjust the energy generated by the other wind mills and to raise the current consumption bills accordingly. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.

4	D.R.P.No.69 of 2014	Sundaram Textiles Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North 4) SE, Madurai/North 5) AO/Revenue, CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the TANGEDCO to first effect adjustment of the wind energy supplied to the petitioner from wind mills operating under the Renewable Energy Wheeling Agreement under the REC scheme against the petitioner's HTSC No.203 and thereafter adjust the energy generated by the other wind mills and to raise the current consumption bills accordingly. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
5	D.R.P.No.4 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., GoTN 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE/NCES letter dated 18.9.2017. For reporting the status of the case in Hon'ble High Court of Madras.
6	D.R.P.No.5 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., GoTN 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE,NCES of TANGEDCO letter dated 18-9-2017. For reporting the status of the case in Hon'ble High Court of Madras.
7	M.P.No.2 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Asahi Glass India Limited 2) Technical Stamp Lings Automotive Ltd 3) National Textile Corpn Ltd. 4) CAMBODIA Mills 5) Pankaja Mills 6) Sri Ranga Vilas Spg & Wvg Mills 7) Kaleeswara Mills 8) Pioneer Spinners 9) TMT Muthammal Textiles Mills Pvt. Ltd 10) The Southern India Mills Association 11) Best Cotton Mills 12) Spictex Cotton Mills 13) Super Sales India Ltd	Adv.T.Mohan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj (R-3, R-4, R-5, R-6, R-7, R-8, R-10, R-11, R-13, R-14, R-15, R-16, R-17, R-18 & R-19)	To pass orders for implementing both Captive and Third Party Users in connection with calculation of excess demand and energy charges in the orders passed by the Commission dated 7.9.2010 in M.P.No.9 of 2010, M.P.No.6 of 2010, M.P.No.17 of 2010 and D.R.P.No.9 of 2010. For counter.

		<p>14) Aswin Textiles (P) Ltd 15) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd. 16) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd. 17) Rajapalayam Mills Limited 18) Tamil Nadu Spinnings Mills Assn. 19) Indoshell Mould Limited 20) TATA Consultancy Services 21) Madras Cements Limited</p>		
8	D.R.P.No.6 of 2020	<p>M/s.Kamal Encon Industries Limited Versus i)CMD / TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC iv) CFC/Revenue</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan</p>	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.1.16 crore along with interest for the wind power supplied to TANGEDCO till December 2018. For counter.
9	D.R.P.No.1 of 2020	<p>Pandian Chemicals Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.</p>	<p>Adv.S.Yasar Arafath Adv.M.Gopinathan</p>	Direct the respondent to pay a total sum of Rs.30.41 lakhs being the principal and interest amount towards the unadjusted banked units sold to TANGEDCO. For counter.
10	I.A.No.1 of 2020 & D.R.P.No.3 of 2020	<p>Ratedi Wind Power Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.</p>	<p>Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan</p>	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.19.06 crores. For counter as a last chance.
11	I.A.No.1 of 2020 & D.R.P.No.4 of 2020	<p>Wind Urja India Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.</p>	<p>Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan</p>	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.16.71 crores and also direct to strictly comply and abide with the provisions of the EPAs entered into between the petitioner and the respondent. For counter as a last chance.
12	D.R.P.No.15 of 2011	<p>1. Terra Energy Ltd., 2. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd. Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,</p>	<p>Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan M/s.RNS Associates</p>	Declare the action of TANGEDCO and M/s.PTC India Limited in treating the non-acceptance of generation and supply of power as arbitrary and illegal. For arguments.

13	D.R.P.No.16 of 2011	1. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., 2. Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan M/s.RNS Associates	Direct M/s.PTC India Limited to make payment of Rs.4.97/- crores being the amount illegally deducted from the bills of the 1 st petitioner. For arguments.
14	D.R.P.No.16 of 2012	Samalpatti Power Co. Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rishabh Prasad Adv. T.Mohan	As directed by the Hon'ble APTEL in Appeal No.146 of 2019 for deciding the pending case before the Commission expeditiously. Direct the respondent to pay a sum of Rs.239.00 crores along with interest. For arguments.
15	D.R.P.No.19 of 2012	Madurai Power Corpn Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Anand K Ganesan Adv. T.Mohan	As directed by the Hon'ble APTEL in Appeal No.127 of 2019 for deciding the pending case before the Commission expeditiously. Direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.232.00 crores along with interest.
16	D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinodkumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission