

No.28/2020 dated: 03-09-2020

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 08-09-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.70 of 2014	KBD Sugars and Distilleries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
2	D.R.P.No.74 of 2014	D.A.Srinivas Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
3	R.A.No.5 of 2019	Ramnad Renewable Energy Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
4	R.A.No.6 of 2019	Kamuthi Solar Power Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
5	M.P.No.18 of 2020	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To determine the additional surcharge of Rs.1.40 per unit payable by OA consumers on quantum of power purchase through Open Access using the network of distribution licensee. For filing additional affidavit and for admission.
6	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,PPP 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12.82 crores. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.

7	M.P.No.25 of 2014	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Generation) 3) CE, IPP	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue directions on the respondents in terms of section 142 of the Electricity Act 2003 for non-compliance of the order dated 30-12-2011. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
8	M.P.No.15 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) MNRE 3) Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Allowing to rollover the Banked Wind Energy found unutilised as on 31.3.2020 to FY 2020-21, without making it to go for encashment and not to levy Banking Charges @ 14% over the Wind Energy Units moving to Banking every month due to Covid-19 Lockdown and restrictions enforced and to allow the Rollover of Solar Energy Found unutilised during the every month from March 2020, till the end of Financial Year 2020-21. For counter.
9	M.P.No.16 of 2020	The Southern India Mills Association (SIMA) Versus 1. CE/NCES, TANGEDCO 2. MNRE 3. Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Allowing to rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Energy Generating Stations under Captive and Third-Party Sale category of FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 to FY 2021-22 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation. For counter.
10	M.P.No.17 of 2020	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus 1. CE/NCES, TANGEDCO 2. MNRE 3. Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Allowing to rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Energy Generating Stations under Captive and Third-Party Sale category of FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 to FY 2021-22 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation. For counter.
11	M.P.No.20 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To punish the Respondent for having violated the order of the Commission dated 11.10.2008 in the matter of collection of Scheduling and System Operation charges as

				required to be passed under Section 142 read with section 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and to grant an order of injunction, restraining the S.E.s of TANGEDCO concerned from the practice of collecting the Scheduling and System Operation charges on WEGs and to direct the Respondent to refund the entire excessively and illegally collected scheduling and system operation charges so far, based on the impugned Memo dt.03.10.2016. For filing counter on the admissibility of the petition.
12	M.P.No.21 of 2020	CREDAI Chennai Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking clarification on the amendment to the TN Electy. Distribution Code, Notification No.TNERC/DC/8-25 dated 18.12.2019 amending Regulation 29 which sets out the space allocation for erection of sub-station where the load exceeds 5 MVA. For counter.
13	M.P.No.23 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus 1. Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Assn. (TASMA) 2. Tamil Nadu Power Producers' Assn(TPPA) 3. Indian Wind Power Assn.(IWPA)	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-3	Seeking to issue suitable direction in S.M.P.No.1 of 2020 dated 24.4.2020 to the Captive Generators and the Distribution Licensee in the matter of Submission / collection of the documents for verification of CGP status in view of the relaxations provided by GoTN under various G.Os during the COVID lockdown period. For filing counter by IWPA.
14	M.P.No.24 of 2020	M/s. Indian Wind Power Assn. Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking clarification to the order dated 28.1.2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019 in order to maintain consistency between the CGP Procedure. For counter.

15	D.R.P.No.11 of 2020	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SE/CEDC North	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Grant an order of interim injunction restraining the respondents from taking any coercive action against the petitioner towards purported recovery of O&M charges and to declare that the respondents are not entitled to collect annual operation and maintenance charges from the petitioner. For counter.
16	D.R.P.No.12 of 2020	Fulchand Exports Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Rahul K.Jain Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release late payment surcharge amount of Rs.1.15 crore as agreed rate of the PPAs upto 30.09.2019. For counter.
17	D.R.P.No.52 of 2014	M/s.Designco Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Sri & Shankar Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.57.23 lakhs. For reporting compliance.
18	R.P.No.1 of 2020 in M.P.No.8 of 2019	M/s.Navin Housing & Properties Pvt. Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO & Anr.	Stephen & Stephen Advocates Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	To review the order passed in M.P.No.8 of 2019 dated 10.12.2019. For reporting compliance.
19	D.R.P.No.18 of 2013	ITC Ltd. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Fin) & ors.	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv. M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.91.16 lakhs. For arguments.
20	D.R.P.No.24 of 2013	Sree Rangaraj Ispat Industries Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to adjust 88,100 energy units. For arguments.
21	D.R.P.No.25 of 2013	Sun Paper Mill Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To fix the rate for supply of power. For arguments.
22	D.R.P.No.27 of 2013	M/s.Techno Electric & Engineering Company Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to amend the power purchase agreements. For arguments.
23	D.R.P.No.32 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	To declare that the withdrawal of deemed demand benefit by the 2 nd respondent is illegal and contrary to law. For arguments.

24	D.R.P.No.4 of 2014	B&G Solar Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Vinod kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the CFC/Revenue dt. 9-12-2013 issued by TANGEDCO. For arguments.
25	D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinodkumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For arguments.
26	D.R.P. No.8 of 2014	India Fashions Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For arguments.
27	D.R.P. No.9 of 2014	Savita Oil Technologies Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For arguments.
28	D.R.P. No.10 of 2014	M. Babanna Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For arguments.
29	I.A.No.1 of 2020 in D.R.P.No.78 of 2014	M/s.KCP Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial & ors.	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	To fix the quota energy of 5.39 lakh units as against the base energy of 8.99 lakh units by applying 40% power cut without adjusting wind mill energy and demand. For arguments on Interlocutory Application (I.A.).
30	M.P.No.4 of 2018	M/s.Ranergy Solutions (P) Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For arguments.
31	M.P.No.11 of 2018	M/s.B.S. Apparel Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For arguments.
32	M.P.No.11 of 2019	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	To set aside the circular issued by CE/NCES dt.14-6-2017 and direct the TANGEDCO to adhere to the terms of the PPAs entered into with Solar Power Generators and not make deductions by applying CUF. For arguments.

33	D.R.P.No.2 of 2020	Crescent Power Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to issue interim injunction against the enforcement of the circular dt.28.11.2017 issued by the CE/NCES and to issue clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per TNERC T.O.No.7 of 2014 dt.12.9.2014 and consequently set-aside the circular issued dt.14.6.2017. For arguments.
34	M.P.No.14 of 2020	Thiru.V.U.Maruthachalam Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SE/South, Coimbatore	Rep. by Thiru.S.Gandhi Adv.M.Gopinathan	To classify "laundry" above 12 KW of power under LT Tariff-III-B and to refund the excess amount collected under Tariff-V with compensation. For arguments.
35	D.R.P.No.8 of 2019	Ramnad Renewable Energy Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the TANGEDCO to make payment of all outstanding amount of Rs.16.80 crores including late payment surcharge payable to the petitioner. For arguments.
36	D.R.P.No.3 of 2020	Ratedi Wind Power Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.19.06 crores. For arguments.
37	D.R.P.No.4 of 2020	Wind Urja India Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.16.71 crores. For arguments.
38	I.A.No.3 of 2020 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2020	Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus i)TANGEDCO ii)TANTRANSCO iii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	HSA Advocates Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	I.A. filed for seeking interim directions to allow the additional 25 MW capacity to be synchronized with the grid by way of according Grid Tie-up approval and allow injection and supply of power. For filing counter and arguments on I.A. filed by the petitioner.

39	D.R.P.No.6 of 2020	M/s.Kamal Encon Industries Limited Versus i)CMD / TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC iv) CFC/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.1.16 crore along with interest for the wind power supplied to TANGEDCO till December 2018. For arguments.
----	--------------------	---	--	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission