

No.20/2020 dated: 31-07-2020

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 04-08-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P. No.16 of 2014	Orange County Resorts and Hotels Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
2	D.R.P. No.33 of 2014	Spikepower Protection Systems & Technologies Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
3	D.R.P. No.34 of 2014	Natesan Synchrocones Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
4	D.R.P. No.36 of 2014	Mangalam Fashions Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
5	I.A.No.1 of 2020 in D.R.P.No.78 of 2014	M/s.KCP Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial &ors.	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	To fix the quota energy of 5.39 lakh units as against the base energy of 8.99 lakh units by applying 40% power cut without adjusting wind mill energy and demand. Interlocutory Application (I.A.) filed to amend the prayer in the main petition. For admission.
6	R.P.No.3 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus 1. Sugapriya Paper & Boards Ltd 2. TN Spinnings Mills Assn. 3. Indian Wind Power Assn. 4. South Indian Mills Assn. 5. Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumers Assn. 6. Sri Venkateswara Board	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order of the Commission in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.01.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for Verification status of CGPs and Captive users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) No.930 & 931 of 2017 & CMP No.5958 & 5959 of 2019. For admission.

7	D.R.P.No.12 of 2020	Fulchand Exports Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Rahul K.Jain Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release late payment surcharge amount of Rs.1.15 crore as agreed rate of the PPAs upto 30.09.2019. For admission.
8	M.P.No.15 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) MNRE 3) Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Allowing to rollover the Banked Wind Energy found unutilised as on 31.3.2020 to FY 2020-21, without making it to go for encashment and not to levy Banking Charges @ 14% over the Wind Energy Units moving to Banking every month due to Covid-19 Lockdown and restrictions enforced and to allow the Rollover of Solar Energy Found unutilised during the every month from March 2020, till the end of Financial Year 2020-21. For admission.
9	M.P.No.16 of 2020	The Southern India Mills Association (SIMA) Versus 1. CE/NCES, TANGEDCO 2. MNRE 3. Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Allowing to rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Energy Generating Stations under Captive and Third-Party Sale category of FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 to FY 2021-22 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation. For admission.
10	M.P.No.17 of 2020	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus 1. CE/NCES, TANGEDCO 2. MNRE 3. Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Allowing to rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Energy Generating Stations under Captive and Third-Party Sale category of FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 to FY 2021-22 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation. For admission.

11	M.P.No.18 of 2020	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To determine the additional surcharge of Rs.1.40 per unit payable by OA consumers on quantum of power purchase through Open Access using the network of distribution licensee. For admission.
12	M.P.No.19 of 2020	M/s.Alten Power Pvt. Limited Versus 1.CMD/TANGEDCO 2.CE/NCES	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the CE/NCES to issue NOC/Approval for setting up of 7.5 MW AC Solar PV Plant for supplying power to Third Parties under Intra-State open access system based on the petitioner's application dated 18.04.2019 & 14.05.2019. For admission.
13	M.P.No.20 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association Versus Tmt.V.Savitha, CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To punish the Respondent for having violated the order of the Commission dated 11.10.2008 in the matter of collection of Scheduling and System Operation charges as required to be passed under Section 142 read with section 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and to grant an order of injunction, restraining the S.E.s of TANGEDCO concerned from the practice of collecting the Scheduling and System Operation charges on WEGs and to direct the Respondent to refund the entire excessively and illegally collected scheduling and system operation charges so far, based on the impugned Memo dt.03.10.2016. For admission.

14	D.R.P.No.4 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., GoTN 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE/NCES letter dated 18.9.2017. For filing affidavit by the petitioner and for further hearing.
15	D.R.P.No.5 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., GoTN 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE,NCES of TANGEDCO letter dated 18-9-2017. For filing affidavit by the petitioner and for further hearing.
16	D.R.P.No.52 of 2014	M/s.Designco Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Sri & Shankar Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.57.23 lakhs. For reporting compliance.
17	R.P.No.1 of 2020 in M.P.No.8 of 2019	M/s.Navin Housing & Properties Pvt. Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO & Anr.	Stephen & Stephen Advocates Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	To review the order passed in M.P.No.8 of 2019 dated 10.12.2019. For reporting compliance.
18	M.P.No.20 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus Nupower Wind Farms Ltd.	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Seeking declaration that the methodology prescribed in the impugned circular of the TANGEDCO dated 30.3.2017 in regard to the generation of electricity and other. For counter.
19	D.R.P.No.9 of 2019	M/s.Aquasub Engineering Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Arun Anbumani Adv. M.Gopinathan	To set aside the communication of TANGEDCO demanding a sum of Rs.9.49 crores and refund a sum of Rs.4.34 crores along with interest. For filing counter as a last chance.
20	R.A.No.8 of 2019	i) M/s.Sutherland Global Services Pvt. Limited ii) M/s.K.G.Information Systems Pvt. Limited Versus i)CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Energy & IT Dept.	Adv.M.Venkatakrishnan Adv.M.A.Mudimannan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Directions given by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No.19720 of 2010 & W.P.No.13425 of 2008 in the matter of classification of electricity tariff for IT enabled industries. For counter.
21	R.A.No.1 of 2020	M/s. ASE Structure Design Pvt. Limited Versus i)CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Energy & IT Dept.	TATVA Legal Adv.M.Gopinathan	Directions given by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No.20722 of 2010 in the matter of classification of electricity tariff for IT enabled industries. For counter.

22	D.R.P.No.3 of 2020	Ratedi Wind Power Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.19.06 crores. For filing counter as a last chance.
23	D.R.P.No.4 of 2020	Wind Urja India Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.16.71 crores and also direct to strictly comply and abide with the provisions of the EPAs entered into between the petitioner and the respondent. For filing counter as a last chance.
24	R.A.No.3 of 2020	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	In W.P.No.18129 of 2011, the Hon'ble High Court in its order dated 30.8.2019 set-aside the orders of this Commission in P.P.A.P.No.5 of 2011 dated 11.7.2011 and remanded back to the Commission. For filing counter by the petitioner and for further hearing.
25	R.A.No.4 of 2020	Chennai Metro Rail Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Jayesh B.Dolia Adv.M.Gopinathan	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission in Appeal No.262 of 2016 dated 02.03.2020 to consider the request of CMRL and determine appropriate tariff for passing order afresh. For filing rejoinder.
26	D.R.P.No.5 of 2020	Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus i)TANGEDCO ii)TANTRANSCO iii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	HSA Advocates Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Direct the respondents to complete construction / commissioning of requisite infrastructure beyond the Delivery Point required for evacuation and to declare that the delay in commissioning of the project is for the reasons not attributable to the petitioner. For filing counter on the main petition by TANTRANSCO and for filing objections by TANGEDCO to the rejoinder filed by the petitioner.

27	R.A.No.5 of 2020	Indian Railways Represented by Southern Railways Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Siddharth Bahety Adv.Abdul Saleem	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission in Appeal No.188 of 2019 dated 27.02.2020 to consider the request of Southern Railway in accordance with law. For filing rejoinder and arguments.
28	R.P.No.1 of 2019 in M.P.No.82 of 2013	M/s.Vedanta Limited	Adv. Rahul Balaji	To grant an interim order of stay of operation of the Commission's order dated 10.12.2018 passed in M.P.No.82 of 2013 and review this petition. For arguments.
29	M.P.No.4 of 2018	M/s.Ranergy Solutions (P) Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For arguments.
30	M.P.No.11 of 2018	M/s.B.S. Apparel Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For arguments.
31	M.P.No.11 of 2019	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	To set aside the circular issued by CE/NCES dt.14-6-2017 and direct the TANGEDCO to adhere to the terms of the PPAs entered into with Solar Power Generators and not make deductions by applying CUF. For arguments.
32	D.R.P.No.2 of 2020	Crescent Power Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to issue interim injunction against the enforcement of the circular dt.28.11.2017 issued by the CE/NCES and to issue clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per TNERC T.O.No.7 of 2014 dt.12.9.2014 and consequently set-aside the circular issued dt.14.6.2017. For arguments.

33	D.R.P.No.7 of 2020	M/s.VSR Solar Power Private Limited Versus i)TANGEDCO ii)CE/NCES iii) SE/GCC, Madurai	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant the petitioner an extension of time for commissioning its 50 MW Solar Power Plant at Vilathikulam, Tuticorin since there has been a change in law i.r.o. of PPA dated 22.3.2018 and consequently direct TANGEDCO to not invoke the bank guarantee. For filing rejoinder and arguments.
34	D.R.P.No.8 of 2020	M/s.Raasi Green Earth Energy Pvt. Limited Versus i)TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant the petitioner an extension of time for commissioning its 100 MW Solar Power Plant at Vilathikulam, Tuticorin since there has been a change in law i.r.o. of PPA dt.26.9.2017 and consequently direct TANGEDCO to not invoke the bank guarantee. For filing rejoinder and arguments.
35	D.R.P.No.9 of 2020	M/s.Tirunelveli Solar Project Private Limited Versus i)CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant the petitioner an extension of time for commissioning its 100 MW Solar Power Plant at Kollankinar & other villages, Tuticorin since there has been a change in law i.r.o. of PPA dt.28.9.2017 and consequently direct TANGEDCO to not invoke the bank guarantee. For filing rejoinder and arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission