

No.11/2020 dated: 22-05-2020

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 02-06-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.10 of 2020	M/s.Leshark Global LLP Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To punish the TANGEDCO under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the directions issued by this Commission in its order dated 29.10.2019 in M.P.No.14 of 2018 and consequently direct the respondents to implement the said order in its letter and spirit. For admission.
2	M.P.No.12 of 2020	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking approval for procurement of 300-500 MW RTC power under Pilot Scheme-II initiated by MoP/Gol for a period of three years through aggregator M/s.PTC India Ltd. For admission.
3	D.R.P.No.9 of 2020	M/s.Tirunelveli Solar Project Private Limited Versus i)CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant the petitioner an extension of time for commissioning its 100 MW Solar Power Plant at Kollankinar & other villages, Tuticorin since there has been a change in law i.r.o. of PPA dt.28.9.2017 and consequently direct TANGEDCO to not invoke the bank guarantee. For admission.

4	P.P.A.P.No.2 of 2020	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking adoption of tariff for procurement of 300 MW to 750 MW of RTC Power from 15th February 2020 to 21st May 2020 in pursuance of the Tender No.11 of 2019. For admission.
5	M.P.No.2 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Energy Development Agency (TEDA)  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) GoTN, Finance Dept.	GM / TEDA  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking approval for the proposed implementation of the KUSUM (Component-C) Agricultural Solar Pump Scheme in 20000 pumpsets with 7.5 HP load by installing 11 KW Solar PV Plant in each of the pump sets and to approve a maximum / bench mark tariff of Rs.4.53 per unit for the gross unit generated by the proposed Solar PV plant erected under the PM-KUSUM scheme. For counter.
6	M.P.No.16 of 2019	TANTRANSCO  Versus The Principal Secretary to Government, Finance Department, GoTN.	Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Seeking approval for Capital Investment Plan for Transmission function for the FY 2019-20, 2020-21 & 2021-22. For further hearing.
7	M.P.No.17 of 2019	State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC)  Versus The Principal Secretary to Government, Finance Department, GoTN.	Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Praying to approve the Capital Investment Plan for the control period of FY 2019-2020 to FY 2021-2022. For further hearing.
8	M.P.No.18 of 2019	TANGEDCO  Versus The Principal Secretary to Government, Finance Department, GoTN.	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking approval of Capital Investment Plan for each year of the MYT control period of FY 2019-2020, FY 2020-21 & FY 2021-2022. For further hearing.

9	M.P.No.14 of 2012	i) Indian Wind Power Assn. ii) The Tata Power Co.Ltd. iii) M/s.Ushdev Power Holidays Private Limited Versus  TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission Appeal Nos.239 of 2015, 203 of 2015, 240 of 2015 and 241 of 2015 dated 05.02.2020 for taking up the matters from which these appeals arose for further proceedings and adjudication in accordance with law. For further proceedings.
	D.R.P.No.28 of 2012	i) Green Infra Wind Power Projects Limited & Ors. Versus  TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	-do-
	M.P.Nos.22 & 23 of 2014	Indian Wind Power Assn. Versus  TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	-do-
10	M.P.No.21 of 2014	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Assn. Versus  TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	-do-
	M.P.No.45 of 2014	Arasan Syntex Limited Versus  TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	-do-
11	R.A.No.3 of 2020	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd  Versus  TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.M.Gopinathan	As per the directions of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No.18129 of 2011 dated 30.08.2019, the matter is remanded back to the Commission for fresh consideration.
12	R.A.No.4 of 2020	Chennai Metro Rail Limited  Versus  TANGEDCO & Ors.	Director (Systems & Operations) / CMRL  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission in Appeal No.262 of 2016 dated 02.03.2020 to consider the request of CMRL and determine appropriate tariff for passing order afresh.

13	R.A.No.5 of 2020	Indian Railways Represented by Southern Railways Versus  TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission in Appeal No.188 of 2019 dated 27.02.2020 to consider the request of Southern Railway in accordance with law.
----	------------------	---	------------------	---

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission