

No.64/2020 dated: 27-11-2020

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 01-12-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.9 of 2019	M/s.India Power Corpn (Tuticorin) Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Rep. by its Director Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
2	M.P.No.3 of 2020	Thiru.N.Alagu Narayanan Versus CE/Civil Transmission, TANTRANSCO	Party-in-Person Adv.V.Anil Kumar	For orders.
3	D.R.P.No.20 of 2020	M/s.Intersnack Cashew India Pvt. Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	To declare that the levy of the amount of Rs.77,20,967 and the subsequent inclusion of the said amount in the current consumption bill dated 4.9.2020 in respect of HT SC.No.282 of the petitioner is illegal and liable to be set aside and direct to refund the above amount along with interest till pendente lite. For admission.
4	D.R.P.No.21 of 2020	M/s.Techno Electric and Engg. Company Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Chief Financial Controller iii) CE/NCES iv) EE/NCES v) SE/Palladam EDC vi) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the impugned communications dt.12.4.2019 i.r.o. Palladam EDC & dt.9.8.2019 i.r.o.Tirunelveli EDC issued by CE/NCES and direct the Respondents to effect the name change for the petitioner's windmills and also direct to refund a sum of Rs.89,15,172/- being the excess amount collected together with interest thereon. For admission.

5	D.R.P.No.16 of 2020	Nuclear Power Corpn of India Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	To grant an order of stay of the demand of Rs.69,62,689/- vide SE/Tirunelveli EDC's letter dated 16.5.2019 and to declare the demand for Rs.2,05,79,242/- for the year 2015-16 and the demand for Rs.1,55,51,538/- for the year 2016-17 raised by the respondents against the petitioner as illegal and set-aside the same and direct the respondents to refund the amount of Rs.10,68,72,880/- for the year 2015-16 & 2016-17 to the petitioner together with interest at the rate of 10% per annum from August 2017 till the date of payment. For counter.
6	D.R.P. No.8 of 2014	India Fashions Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo by the respondent.
7	D.R.P. No.9 of 2014	Savita Oil Technologies Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo by the respondent.
8	D.R.P. No.10 of 2014	M. Babanna Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo by the respondent.
9	D.R.P.No.1 of 2015	Balkrishna Wind Energy Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.2.69/- lakhs being the interest due. For filing memo by the respondent.
10	D.R.P.No.2 of 2015	Sunil Wind Energy Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.3.45/- lakhs being the interest due. For filing memo by the respondent.
11	D.R.P.No.12 of 2020	Fulchand Exports Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Rahul K.Jain Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release late payment surcharge amount of Rs.1.15 crore as agreed rate of the PPAs upto 30.09.2019. For filing memo by the respondent.

12	M.P.No.9 of 2020	Dr.Rajah Muthiah Chettiar Charitable and Educational Trust Versus i)CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Regulatory Cell	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to reclassify the electricity HT Tariff-III to Tariff-IIB and consequently refund of any charges collected in excess. For arguments.
13	D.R.P. No.11 of 2014	P.M. Granite Exports Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
14	D.R.P. No.14 of 2014	Elveety Industries Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
15	D.R.P. No.15 of 2014	Sri Kumaraswamy Mineral Exports Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
16	D.R.P. No.18 of 2014	Om Sakthi Wind Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
17	D.R.P. No.19 of 2014	Sree Rayalaseema Hi-Strength Hypo Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
18	D.R.P. No.20 of 2014	Krishna Kumar Orthopaedic Hospital and Institute of Orthopaedics (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
19	D.R.P. No.21 of 2014	Maris Spinners Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
20	D.R.P. No.22 of 2014	Texonic Instruments Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.

21	D.R.P. No.23 of 2014	Maris Hotels and Theatres (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
22	D.R.P. No.24 of 2014	Bhabani Pigments Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
23	D.R.P. No.25 of 2014	R.Madan Mohan Rao Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
24	D.R.P. No.26 of 2014	Powerica Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
25	D.R.P. No.27 of 2014	Devi Sea Foods Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
26	D.R.P. No.29 of 2014	Nalco Metal Products Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
27	D.R.P. No.31 of 2014	Hindustan Platinum Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & CFC/Rev.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
28	D.R.P. No.32 of 2014	The Metal Powder Company Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.

29	D.R.P.No.40 of 2014	Nupower Renewables Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
30	D.R.P.No.49 of 2014	Coimbatore Pioneer Fertilizers Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
31	D.R.P.No.6 of 2020	M/s.Kamal Encon Industries Limited Versus i)CMD / TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC iv) CFC/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.1.16 crore along with interest for the wind power supplied to TANGEDCO till December 2018. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
32	D.R.P.No.3 of 2020	Ratedi Wind Power Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.19.06 crores. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
33	D.R.P.No.4 of 2020	Wind Urja India Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the past outstanding Principal amount and late payment interest totaling to Rs.16.71 crores. For filing memo of settlement of dues to the petitioner.
34	D.R.P.No.5 of 2015	Padmaadevi Sugars Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC & 3) CE, PPP	Tr.M.V.Gangadharan, I.R.P. Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.2.48 crores being the sums due. For reporting compliance.
35	D.R.P.No.54 of 2014	National Energy Trading and Services Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) Director / Operation	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.33.13 crores towards balance of energy charges. For arguments of the petitioner.
36	D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	MALCO Energy Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Limited	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.Ravi Kishore	Direct the respondents to pay Rs.4.69/- crores for power supplied for the period from 1-6-2010 to 3-6-2010. For arguments.

37	M.P.No.14 of 2017	M/s.Ambika Cotton Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To allow the adjustment of WEG from the first commissioned machine and second commissioned machine. For arguments of the respondent.
38	M.P.No.20 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus Nupower Wind Farms Ltd.	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Seeking declaration that the methodology prescribed in the impugned circular of the TANGEDCO dated 30.3.2017 in regard to the generation of electricity and other. For filing rejoinder and arguments.
39	D.R.P.No.8 of 2019	Ramnad Renewable Energy Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the TANGEDCO to make payment of all outstanding amount of Rs.16.80 crores including late payment surcharge payable to the petitioner. For arguments of the respondent.
40	D.R.P.No.11 of 2019	M/s.Ratedi Wind Power Private Limited Versus 1)CMD/TANGEDCO 2)CE/NCES/TANGEDCO 3) SE/EDC, Tirunelveli	Adv.Adithya Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to refund the balance amount of Rs.5.72 crores for the period upto March 2018 that has been collected on the basis of erroneous application of the Amendment dated 19.6.2013 along with interest of Rs.2.30 crores. For arguments.
41	D.R.P.No.10 of 2020	M/s.GMR Power Corpn. Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the TANGEDCO to calculate the amount due and payable to the petitioner and set-off perpetuated by TANGEDCO on account of LLR and alleged Excess Payment along with interest. For filing rejoinder and arguments.
42	T.A.No.1 of 2020	TANGEDCO Versus (i) Trichy Cold Storage (Pvt) Ltd (ii) Association of Cold Storages	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.Rahul Balaji	Proceedings consequent to the orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras transferring the subject matter of applicability of tariff for LT Cold Storage in W.P.(MD) No.23845 and 21945 of 2019 to the Commission for disposal. For arguments.

43	M.P.No.22 of 2020	<p>CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>i)Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Assn. (TASMA)</p> <p>ii)Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumers' Assn. (TECA)</p>	<p>Adv.M.Gopinathan</p> <p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 & R-2</p>	<p>Approve the new methodology for measurement of Harmonics with the HT/EHT consumers and fix the compensation at 15% of the respective tariff in respect of 11 KV and 22 KV consumers and to instruct all the HT/EHT consumers by the TANGEDCO to install power quality meters by themselves within 12 months from the date of commencement of the amended Regulations. For filing details of the remarks received from the stakeholders and for further hearing.</p>
----	-------------------	--	---	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission