

No.38/2020 dated: 23-09-2020

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 29-09-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	T.A.No.1 of 2020	TANGEDCO Versus (i) Trichy Cold Storage (Pvt) Ltd (ii) Association of Cold Storages	Adv.M.Gopinathan  Adv.Rahul Balaji	Proceedings consequent to the orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras transferring the subject matter of applicability of tariff for LT Cold Storage in W.P.(MD) No.23845 and 21945 of 2019 to the Commission for disposal. For further hearing.
2	R.A.No.1 of 2020	M/s. ASE Structure Design Pvt. Limited Versus i)CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Energy & IT Dept.	TATVA Legal  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Directions given by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No.20722 of 2010 in the matter of classification of electricity tariff for IT enabled industries. For counter.
3	M.P.No.16 of 2020	The Southern India Mills Association (SIMA) Versus 1. CE/NCES, TANGEDCO 2. MNRE 3. Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Allowing to rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Energy Generating Stations under Captive and Third-Party Sale category of FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 to FY 2021-22 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation. For counter.
4	M.P.No.17 of 2020	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus 1. CE/NCES, TANGEDCO 2. MNRE 3. Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Allowing to rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Energy Generating Stations under Captive and Third-Party Sale category of FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 to FY 2021-22 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation. For counter.

5	D.R.P.No.12 of 2020	Fulchand Exports Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Rahul K.Jain  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release late payment surcharge amount of Rs.1.15 crore as agreed rate of the PPAs upto 30.09.2019. For counter.
6	M.P.No.21 of 2020	CREDAI Chennai  Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking clarification on the amendment to the TN Electy. Distribution Code, Notification No.TNERC/DC/8-25 dated 18.12.2019 amending Regulation 29 which sets out the space allocation for erection of sub-station where the load exceeds 5 MVA. For filing rejoinder.
7	D.R.P.No.52 of 2014	M/s.Designco  Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Sri & Shankar Associates  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.57.23 lakhs. For reporting compliance.
8	M.P.No.25 of 2015	Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Siddarth Bahety  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to dispense with the levy of surcharge for harmonics as far as Railway Traction is concerned. For arguments.
9	M.P.No.4 of 2018	M/s.Ranergy Solutions (P) Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For arguments.
10	M.P.No.11 of 2018	M/s.B.S.Apparel  Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For arguments.
11	M.P.No.11 of 2019	National Solar Energy Federation of India  Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv. M.Gopinathan	To set aside the circular issued by CE/NCES dt.14-6-2017 and direct the TANGEDCO to adhere to the terms of the PPAs entered into with Solar Power Generators and not make deductions by applying CUF. For arguments.
12	D.R.P.No.2 of 2020	Crescent Power Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to issue interim injunction against the enforcement of the circular dt.28.11.2017 issued by the CE/NCES and to issue

				clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per TNERC T.O.No.7 of 2014 dt.12.9.2014 and consequently set-aside the circular issued dt.14.6.2017. For arguments.
13	D.R.P.No.8 of 2019	Ramnad Renewable Energy Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the TANGEDCO to make payment of all outstanding amount of Rs.16.80 crores including late payment surcharge payable to the petitioner. For arguments.
14	M.P.No.9 of 2020	Dr.Rajah Muthiah Chettiar Charitable and Educational Trust Versus i)CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Regulatory Cell	Adv.T.Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to reclassify the electricity HT Tariff-III to Tariff-IIB and consequently refund of any charges collected in excess. For filing written submissions by TANGEDCO and for arguments.
15	M.P.No.15 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) MNRE 3) Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Allowing to rollover the Banked Wind Energy found unutilised as on 31.3.2020 to FY 2020-21, without making it to go for encashment and not to levy Banking Charges @ 14% over the Wind Energy Units moving to Banking every month due to Covid-19 Lockdown and restrictions enforced and to allow the Rollover of Solar Energy Found unutilised during the every month from March 2020, till the end of Financial Year 2020-21. For arguments.
16	M.P.No.23 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO  Versus 1. Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Assn. (TASMA) 2. Tamil Nadu Power Producers' Assn(TPPA) 3. Indian Wind Power Assn.(IWPA)	Adv.M.Gopinathan  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1  Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-3	Seeking to issue suitable direction in S.M.P.No.1 of 2020 dated 24.4.2020 to the Captive Generators and the Distribution Licensee in the matter of Submission / collection of the documents for verification of CGP status in view of the relaxations provided by GoTN under various G.Os during the COVID lockdown period. For arguments.

17	D.R.P.No.12 of 2019	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd  Versus i) TANTRANSCO ii) TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinod Kumar  Adv.V.Anil Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to collect annual O&M charges and direct the respondent to refund a sum of Rs.1.00 crore along with interest. For arguments.
18	D.R.P.No.11 of 2020	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SE/CEDC North	Adv.Vinod Kumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Grant an order of interim injunction restraining the respondents from taking any coercive action against the petitioner towards purported recovery of O&M charges and to declare that the respondents are not entitled to collect annual operation and maintenance charges from the petitioner. For arguments.
19	I.A.Nos.1 - 4 of 2020 & D.R.P.No.5 of 2020	Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus i)TANGEDCO ii)TANTRANSCO iii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	HSA Advocates  Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	I.A. filed for seeking interim directions to allow the additional 25 MW capacity to be synchronized with the grid by way of according Grid Tie-up approval and allow injection and supply of power & Direct the respondents to complete construction / commissioning of requisite infrastructure beyond the Delivery Point required for evacuation and to declare that the delay in commissioning of the project is for the reasons not attributable to the petitioner. For further arguments of the petitioner.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission