

No.47/2020 dated: 15-10-2020

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 20-10-2020 (Through Video Conferencing)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P. No.30 of 2014	TCP Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
2	D.R.P.No.59 of 2014	M/s.Techno Electric & Engineering Co Ltd Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
3	D.R.P.No.1 of 2018	M/s.National Enterprises Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.V.N.Bohra Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
4	M.P.No.30 of 2020	M/s.A.I. Enterprises  Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) AEE/O&M/Korattur iii) AE/O&M/Padi	M/s.Stephen & Stephen Advocates Associates  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To classify the tariff for godown, as the premises for the facilities incidental to the main purpose of the establishment of the LT consumer as to be in the same tariff as that of the main tariff i.e., IIIB. For admission.
5	M.P.No.16 of 2020	The Southern India Mills Association (SIMA) Versus 1. CE/NCES, TANGEDCO 2. MNRE 3. Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan  Thiru.S.Gandhi, President, PESOT Impleading Petitioner	Allowing to rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Energy Generating Stations under Captive and Third-Party Sale category of FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 to FY 2021-22 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation. For counter.
6	M.P.No.17 of 2020	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus 1. CE/NCES, TANGEDCO 2. MNRE 3. Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan  Thiru.S.Gandhi, President, PESOT Impleading Petitioner	Allowing to rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Energy Generating Stations under Captive and Third-Party Sale category of FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 to FY 2021-22 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation. For counter.

7	M.P.No.18 of 2020	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO Versus i)Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Assn. (TASMA) ii)Indian Wind Power Assn. (IWPA) iii) Southern India Mills Assn. (SIMA) iv)Watsun Infrabuild Ltd.	Adv.M.Gopinathan  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj – R-1  Adv.Rahul Balaji – R-2 & R-3  M/s.Desai & Diwanji Adv. & Solicitors (R-4)	To determine the additional surcharge of Rs.1.40 per unit payable by OA consumers on quantum of power purchase through Open Access using the network of distribution licensee. For filing objections on maintainability and for further hearing.
8	M.P.No.20 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association (TASMA) Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To punish the Respondent for having violated the order of the Commission dated 11.10.2008 in the matter of collection of Scheduling and System Operation charges as required to be passed under Section 142 read with section 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003. For filing counter on the admissibility of the petition.
9	M.P.No.25 of 2020	Ramnad Renewable Energy Limited  Versus  TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to grant the petitioner a project specific extension of the control period and to declare the petitioner has successfully commissioned its 72 MW solar power project on or before 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2016. For counter.
10	M.P.No.26 of 2020	Kamuthi Solar Power Limited  Versus  TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to grant the petitioner a project specific extension of the control period and to declare the petitioner has successfully commissioned its 216 MW solar power project on or before 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2016. For counter.
11	D.R.P. No.8 of 2014	India Fashions Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of compliance.
12	D.R.P. No.9 of 2014	Savita Oil Technologies Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of compliance.
13	D.R.P. No.10 of 2014	M. Babanna Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month. For filing memo of compliance.

14	D.R.P.No.1 of 2015	Balkrishna Wind Energy Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.2.69/- lakhs being the interest due. For reporting compliance.
15	D.R.P.No.2 of 2015	Sunil Wind Energy Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.3.45/- lakhs being the interest due. For reporting compliance.
16	R.A.No.1 of 2020	M/s. ASE Structure Design Pvt. Limited Versus i)CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Energy & IT Dept.	TATVA Legal  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Directions given by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No.20722 of 2010 in the matter of classification of electricity tariff for IT enabled industries. For filing rejoinder.
17	D.R.P.No.15 of 2011	1. Terra Energy Ltd.  2. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd.  Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Thiru.C.Sanjeevi, IRP  Adv.Raghav Menon for Thiru.Anurag Goel, IRP  Adv.Abdul Saleem M/s.RNS Associates	Declare the action of TANGEDCO and M/s.PTC India Limited in treating the non-acceptance of generation and supply of power as arbitrary and illegal. For filing written submissions by the IRP of M/s.Ambika Sugars Limited and M/s.Terra Energy Limited.
18	D.R.P.No.16 of 2011	1. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., 2. Terra Energy Ltd.,  Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.	Adv.Raghav Menon for Thiru.Anurag Goel, IRP Thiru.C.Sanjeevi, IRP (P2)  Adv.Abdul Saleem M/s.RNS Associates	Direct M/s.PTC India Limited to make payment of Rs.4.97 crores being the amount illegally deducted from the bills of the 1 <sup>st</sup> petitioner. For filing written submissions by the IRP of M/s.Ambika Sugars Limited and M/s.Terra Energy Limited.
19	D.R.P.No.4 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., GoTN 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE/NCES letter dated 18.9.2017. For reporting the status on the pending case before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras.
20	D.R.P.No.5 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., GoTN 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE,NCES of TANGEDCO letter dated 18-9-2017. For reporting the status on the pending case before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras.

21	D.R.P.No.8 of 2019	Ramnad Renewable Energy Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the TANGEDCO to make payment of all outstanding amount of Rs.16.80 crores including late payment surcharge payable to the petitioner. For arguments.
22	D.R.P.No.9 of 2019	M/s.Aquasub Engineering  Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Arun Anbumani  Adv. M.Gopinathan	To set aside the communication of TANGEDCO demanding a sum of Rs.9.49 crores and refund a sum of Rs.4.34 crores along with interest. For arguments.
23	D.R.P.No.12 of 2020	Fulchand Exports Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Rahul K.Jain  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release late payment surcharge amount of Rs.1.15 crore as agreed rate of the PPAs upto 30.09.2019. For arguments.
24	M.P.No.15 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) MNRE 3) Energy Dept., GoTN	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan  Thiru.S.Gandhi, President, PESOT Impleading Petitioner	Allowing to rollover the Banked Wind Energy found unutilised as on 31.3.2020 to FY 2020-21, without making it to go for encashment and not to levy Banking Charges @ 14% over the Wind Energy Units moving to Banking every month due to Covid-19 Lockdown and restrictions enforced and to allow the Rollover of Solar Energy Found unutilised during the every month from March 2020, till the end of Financial Year 2020-21. For arguments.
25	M.P.No.24 of 2020	M/s. Indian Wind Power Assn. Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking clarification to the order dated 28.1.2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019 in order to maintain consistency between the CGP Procedure. For arguments.
26	D.R.P.No.10 of 2020	M/s.GMR Power Corpn. Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the TANGEDCO to calculate the amount due and payable to the petitioner and set-off perpetuated by TANGEDCO on account of LLR and alleged Excess Payment along with interest. For filing rejoinder and arguments.

27	D.R.P.No.12 of 2019	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd  Versus i) TANTRANSCO ii) TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinod Kumar  Adv.V.Anil Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to collect annual O&M charges and direct the respondent to refund a sum of Rs.1.00 crore along with interest. For arguments.
28	D.R.P.No.11 of 2020	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SE/CEDC North	Adv.Vinod Kumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Grant an order of interim injunction restraining the respondents from taking any coercive action against the petitioner towards purported recovery of O&M charges and to declare that the respondents are not entitled to collect annual operation and maintenance charges from the petitioner. For arguments.
29	R.A.No.3 of 2020	i) Ind-Bharat Power Gencome Limited ii) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. iii) The Madras Aluminium Co. Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Dev Dakshan for P-1  Adv. Rahul Balaji for P-2 & P-3  Adv.M.Gopinathan	In W.P.No.18129 of 2011, the Hon'ble High Court in its order dated 30.8.2019 set-aside the orders of this Commission in P.P.A.P.No.5 of 2011 dated 11.7.2011 and remanded back to the Commission. For arguments.
30	R.P.No.2 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association (TASMA) Versus CFC/TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.1.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for verification of status of CGP and Captive Users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) Nos.930 & 931 of 2017 and CMP No.5958-59 of 2019 of 2017. For arguments.
31	R.P.No.3 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus 1. Sugapriya Paper & Boards Ltd 2. TN Spinnings Mills Assn. 3. Indian Wind Power Assn. 4. South Indian Mills Assn. 5. Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumers Assn. 6. Sri Venkateswara Board	Adv.M.Gopinathan  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-2  Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-3 & R-4	Review the order of the Commission in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.01.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for Verification status of CGPs and Captive users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) No.930 & 931 of 2017 & CMP No.5958 & 5959 of 2019. For arguments.

32	R.P.No.4 of 2020 in R.A.No.7 of 2019	M/s.Sugapriya Paper & Boards Pvt. Limited Versus CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order of the Commission in R.A.No.7 of 2019 dated 28.01.2020 in the matter of providing procedure for Verification status of CGPs and Captive users as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.A.(MD) No.930 & 931 of 2017. For arguments.
----	--	--	--	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission