

No.32 / 2019 dated: 25-10-2019

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 29-10-2019****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	S.M.P.No.3 of 2014	Coimbatore Consumer Cause Versus 1) CE, Commercial / TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC Metro & Ors.	Tr. Kathir Mathiyon, Party-in-Person Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
2	M.P.No. 4 of 2016	Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. GoTN, Energy Dept	Adv.F.B.Benjamin George Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv. Akhil Akbar Ali	For orders.
3	M.P.No. 5 of 2016	Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. GoTN, Energy Dept	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.Abdul Saleem Thiru.C.Selvaraj, Intervening Petitioner	For orders.
4	M.P.No. 6 of 2016	Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. GoTN, Energy Dept	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv. Akhil Akbar Ali Thiru.C.Selvaraj, Intervening Petitioner	For orders.
5	M.P.No. 7 of 2016	Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. GoTN, Energy Dept	Adv.F.B.Benjamin George Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv. Abdul Saleem	For orders.

6	M.P.No. 9 of 2016	Ind-Barath Powergencom Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. GoTN, Energy Dept	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv. Abdul Saleem Thiru.C.Selvaraj, Intervening Petitioner	For orders.
7	M.P.No. 17 of 2016	M/S.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus 1) Secretary, Energy Dept., Govt. of Tamil Nadu 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.Abdul Saleem Adv.M.Gopinathan Thiru.C.Selvaraj, Intervening Petitioner	For orders.
8	M.P.No. 18 of 2016	M/S.Ind-Barath Thermal Power Limited Versus 1) Secretary, Energy Dept., Govt. of Tamil Nadu 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.Abdul Saleem Adv.M.Gopinathan Thiru.C.Selvaraj, Intervening Petitioner	For orders.
9	M.P.No.14 of 2018	TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
10	R.A.No.4 of 2019	Association of Healthcare Providers (India) Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Venkatesh Adv.M.Gopinathan	For orders.
11	I.A.No.1 of 2019 & M.P.No.11 of 2019	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	To set aside the circular issued by CE/NCES dt.14-6-2017 and direct the TANGEDCO to adhere to the terms of the PPAs entered into with Solar Power Generators and not make deductions by applying CUF. For admission.
12	D.R.P.No. 25 of 2013	Sun Paper Mill Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To fix the rate for supply of power. For withdrawal.

13	D.R.P.No. 4 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., Govt. of Tamil Nadu 2) TANGEDCO 3) CE, NCES	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE/NCES letter dated 18.9.2017. For reporting the status of the case in Hon'ble High Court of Madras.
14	D.R.P.No. 5 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., Govt. of Tamil Nadu 2) TANGEDCO 3) CE, NCES	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE,NCES of TANGEDCO letter dated 18-9-2017. For reporting the status of the case in Hon'ble High Court of Madras.
15	D.R.P.No.58 of 2014	The Ramco Cements Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC 3) Audit Branch,Tirunelveli	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the 2 nd respondent letter dated 12-4-2013. For counter.
16	D.R.P.No.81 of 2014	Dalmia Bharat and Sugar Industries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) DFC, TANGEDCO 3) CFC, TANGEDCO 4) SE, Tirunelveli	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a total sum of Rs.3.8/- crores. For counter.
17	D.R.P.No. 9 of 2016	Vijayalakshmi Marketing Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Edumalpet EDC 3) SE, Tiruppur EDC & Anr.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Quash the impugned notices dated 4-8-2014 and 2-12-2014 issued by the 1 st and 2 nd respondents and direct them to give effect to the EWA. For counter.
18	D.R.P.No. 10 of 2016	Lanco Tanjore Power Co., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the petitioner is entitled for variable charges towards difference of tariff heat rate for the period from 2008 to 2015. For counter.

19	D.R.P.No. 11 of 2016	Orchid Pharma Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirunelveli EDC 3) SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Quash the 1 st respondent demand notice and consequently direct the 2 nd respondent to give adjustment for the unutilized banked energy or encashment of Rs.23.93/- lakhs. For counter.
20	D.R.P.No. 62 of 2014	CLP Wind Farms (India) Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payments of a sum of Rs.10.61 crores being the interest due. For filing memo for accepting 6% interest on the payment from TANGEDCO.
21	D.R.P.No.63 of 2014	CLP Wind Farms (Theni Project-II) Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Finance) 3) SE, Theni EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payments of a sum of Rs.11.62 crores being the interest due. For filing memo for accepting 6% interest on the payment from TANGEDCO.
22	D.R.P.No. 52 of 2014	Designco Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) DFC, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Tuticorin EDC	Sri & Shankar Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.57.23 lakhs being the amount due under the PPA together with interest. For filing memo for accepting 6% interest on the payment from TANGEDCO.
23	D.R.P.No. 54 of 2014	National Energy Trading and Services Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) Director / Operation	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.33.13 crores towards balance of energy charges and surcharge accrued as on the date of the petition. For rejoinder.
24	D.R.P.No. 3 of 2016	M/s. Mitra Fidelity Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv. T.Mohan	Quash the CE/NCES letter and direct the TANGEDCO to pay a sum of Rs.66.22/- lakhs for unutilized banked energy along with interest. For rejoinder.

25	D.R.P.No. 4 of 2016	M/s. Greenweiz Projects Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv. T.Mohan	Quash the CE/NCES letter and direct the TANGEDCO to pay a sum of Rs.28.39/- lakhs with interest. For rejoinder.
26	D.R.P.No. 5 of 2016	M/s. Tapi Energy Projects Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Quash the CE/NCES letter and direct the TANGEDCO to pay a sum of Rs.13.04/- lakhs for unutilized banked energy along with interest. For rejoinder.
27	D.R.P.No.24 of 2013	Sree Rangaraj Ispat Industries Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. T.Mohan	Direct the respondents to adjust 88,100 energy units. For arguments.
28	D.R.P.No.26 of 2013	Sai Regency Power Corp. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SLDC	Adv. Jenasen Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to release a sum of Rs.2.35/- crores withheld from the sums payable to the petitioner for supply of energy. For arguments.
29	D.R.P.No. 27 of 2013	M/s.Techno Electric & Engineering Company Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to amend the power purchase agreements. For arguments.
30	M.P.No.14 of 2017	M/s.Ambika Cotton Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To allow the adjustment of WEG from the first commissioned machine and second commissioned machine. For arguments.
31	T.L.A.No.1 of 2018	Ventus Energy Consultants	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. E.Anbarasan on behalf of the Objector, Thiru.N.Sivakumar Rajaprabhu.	Request for grant of Intra State Trading Licence. For arguments by the objector.

32	I.A.No.1 of 2019 & D.R.P.No.9 of 2019	M/s.Aquasub Engineering Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Arun Anbumani Adv. T.Mohan	To set aside the communication of TANGEDCO demanding a sum of Rs.9.49 crores and refund a sum of Rs.4.34 crores along with interest. For filing additional particulars by TANGEDCO and for arguments.
----	---	---	---------------------------------------	---

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission