

No.13/2019 dated: 17-07-2019

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 23-07-2019

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 11.00 AM

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No. 2 of 2016	DCW Ltd., Versus 1) PTC Limited 2) TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay the sum of Rs.48.02 lakhs along with interest. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No. 3 of 2016	M/s. Mitra Fidelity Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tuticorin EDC 4) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	Quash the 2nd respondent letter dated 29-1-2015 and direct the TANGEDCO to pay the sum of Rs.66.22/- lakhs for unutilized banked energy along with interest. For admission.
3	D.R.P.No. 4 of 2016	M/s. Greenweiz Projects Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tuticorin EDC 4) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan	Quash the 2nd respondent letter dated 29-1-2015 and direct the TANGEDCO to pay the sum of Rs.28.39/- lakhs for unutilized banked energy along with interest. For admission.
4	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian 2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani	Adv. M.Gopinathan Adv.Sathyamoorthy Adv. Lakshmi Narayanan	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For reporting the status of the case in the subject matter before Hon'ble Supreme Court and arguments.

5	D.R.P.No. 6 of 2012	Karmic Business Specialities Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, TNEB 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. P. Subba Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the respondents to release outstanding dues as per PPA. For further arguments.
6	D.R.P.No.16 of 2012	Samalpatti Power Co. Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rishabh Prasad Adv. T.Mohan	Direct the respondent to pay a sum of Rs.239.00 crores along with interest. For reporting the stage of the appeal pending before Hon'ble APTEL.
7	D.R.P.No.19 of 2012	Madurai Power Corpn Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Anand K Ganesan Adv. T.Mohan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.232.00 crores along with interest. For reporting the stage of the appeal pending before Hon'ble APTEL.
8	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,PPP 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Sathyaseelan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12.82 crores. For further arguments.
9	I.A.No.1of 2013 in D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar	Adv. S.P.Parthasarathy Adv. T.Mohan	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28-9-2012. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. S.P.Parthasarathy Adv. T.Mohan	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11-8-2012. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No.18 of 2013	ITC Ltd. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Fin) & ors.	S.Ramasubramania m & Associates Adv. T.Mohan	To direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.91.16 lakhs. For arguments.

12	D.R.P.No.24 of 2013	Sree Rangaraj Ispat Industries Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE,Dindigul EDC 3) DSRM Steels Pvt. Ltd. 4) Addl.CE 5) TANTRANSCO/SLDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. T.Mohan	Direct the respondents to adjust 88,100 energy units. For arguments.
----	---------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission