

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 20-08-2019

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 11.00 AM

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No. 70 of 2014	KBD Sugars and Distilleries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.31.96/- lakhs being the balance amount due to the petitioner for power supplied. For maintainability.
2	D.R.P.No. 71 of 2014	KBD Sugars and Distilleries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.6.38/- crores being the balance amount due to the petitioner for power supplied. For maintainability.
3	D.R.P.No.72 of 2014	KBD Sugars and Distilleries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs. 92.57/- lakhs being the balance amount due to the petitioner for power supplied. For maintainability.
4	D.R.P.No. 74 of 2014	D.A.Srinivas Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.1.40/- crores being the balance amount due to the petitioner for power supplied. For maintainability.
5	D.R.P.No. 1 of 2015	Balkrishna Wind Energy Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.2.69/- lakhs being the interest due. For admission.

6	D.R.P.No. 1 of 2016	M/s.R.K.K.R.Steels Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Dindigul 3) SE, Chennai	Adv.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.31.72/-lakhs being the 75% of the purchase rate injected into respondents grid. For admission.
7	D.R.P.No. 5 of 2016	M/s. Tapi Energy Projects Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tuticorin EDC 4) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Quash the CE/NCES letter and direct the TANGEDCO to pay a sum of Rs.13.04/-lakhs for unutilized banked energy along with interest. For admission.
8	D.R.P.No. 9 of 2016	Vijayalakshmi Marketing Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Edumalpet EDC 3) SE, Tiruppur EDC 4) SE, Erode EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Quash the impugned notices dated 4-8-2014 and 2-12-2014 issued by the 1 st and 2 nd respondents and direct them to give effect to the EWA. For admission.
9	D.R.P.No. 10 of 2016	Lanco Tanjore Power Co., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinod Kumar Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the petitioner is entitled for variable charges towards difference of tariff heat rate for the period from 2008 to 2015. For admission.
10	D.R.P.No. 11 of 2016	Orchid Pharma Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirunelveli EDC 3) SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Quash the 1 st respondent demand notice and consequently direct the 2 nd respondent to give adjustment for the unutilized banked energy or encashment of Rs.23.93/-lakhs. For admission.
11	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus PTC India Limited	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to approve for adoption of Tariff for procurement of 550 MW RTC power under Medium Term Contract for a period of three years. For appearance of the respondent.

12	P.P.A.P.No.2 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus 1. PTC India Limited/Jaypee Nigree STPP. 2. PTC India Limited/DB Power 3. PTC India Limited/PSPCL 4. Sembcorp Energy Limited 5. Shree Cements Limited 6. Manikaran Power Limited/PSPCL	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to approve for adoption of tariff for procurement of 1000 MW of RTC Power from February 2019 to May 2019. For appearance of the respondents.
13	R.A.No.4 of 2019	Association of Healthcare Providers (India) Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. Venkatesh	Change of tariff from HT-III to HT-IIA or a special category below HT-III for hospitals at Rural and Semi-urban areas. For appearance of the respondents.
14	D.R.P. No.17 of 2014	Zuri Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For negotiation.
15	D.R.P. No.18 of 2014	Om Sakthi Wind Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For negotiation.
16	D.R.P. No.19 of 2014	Sree Rayalaseema Hi-Strength Hypo Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For negotiation.
17	D.R.P. No.20 of 2014	Krishna Kumar Orthopaedic Hospital and Institute of Orthopaedics (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For negotiation.

18	D.R.P. No.21 of 2014	Maris Spinners Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For negotiation.
19	D.R.P. No.22 of 2014	Texonic Instruments Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For negotiation.
20	D.R.P. No.23 of 2014	Maris Hotels and Theatres (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For negotiation.
21	D.R.P. No.24 of 2014	Bhabani Pigments Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For negotiation.
22	D.R.P. No.25 of 2014	R.Madan Mohan Rao Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For negotiation.
23	D.R.P. No.26 of 2014	Powerica Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For negotiation.
24	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,PPP 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Sathyaseelan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12.82 crores. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.

25	D.R.P.No.81 of 2014	Dalmia Bharat and Sugar Industries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) DFC, TANGEDCO 3) CFC, TANGEDCO 4) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a total sum of Rs.3.8/- crores. For reporting on withdrawal of the petition pending before the High Court on the same matter.
26	D.R.P.No.24 of 2013	Sree Rangaraj Ispat Industries Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE,Dindigul EDC 3) DSRM Steels Pvt. Ltd. 4) Addl.CE 5) TANTRANSCO/SLDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. T.Mohan	Direct the respondents to adjust 88,100 energy units. For filing a separate counters by TANGEDCO & SLDC.
27	D.R.P.No.26 of 2013	Sai Regency Power Corp. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SLDC	Adv. Jenasenan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to release a sum of Rs.2.35/- crores withheld from the sums payable to the petitioner for supply of energy. For counter.
28	D.R.P.No.29 of 2013	Angalakshmi Spinning Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SE, Coimbatore EDC 4) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. S.Sivanandam Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest of Rs.48.31/- for the belated payment for supply of electricity and for encashment of unutilized banking energy. For counter.
29	D.R.P.No. 30 of 2013	Shakthi Murugan Textiles Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC 4) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. S.Sivanandam Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest of Rs.32.91/- lakhs for the belated payment and for encashment of unutilized banking energy. For counter.

30	D.R.P.No. 44 of 2014	Mirra and Mirra Industries Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North 4) SE, Tirunelveli EDC 5) AO/Revenue, CEDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct TANGEDCO to effect adjustment of the WEG from the petitioner's captive windmills operating under the REC scheme first and thereafter adjust the energy generated by the other wind mills. For filing additional affidavit.
31	D.R.P.No. 73 of 2014	D.A.Sathya Prabha Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to pay the balance amount due to the petitioner for power supplied. For counter.
32	D.R.P.No. 75 of 2014	D.A.Srinivas Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to pay the balance amount due to the petitioner for power supplied. For counter.
33	D.R.P.No.77 of 2014	M/s.Vision Textiles Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Finance) 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.5.12/-lakhs being the interest due. For counter.
34	D.R.P.No. 3 of 2016	M/s. Mitra Fidelity Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tuticorin EDC 4) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv. T.Mohan	Quash the CE/NCES letter and direct the TANGEDCO to pay a sum of Rs.66.22/-lakhs for unutilized banked energy along with interest. For counter as a last chance.
35	D.R.P.No. 4 of 2016	M/s. Greenweiz Projects Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tuticorin EDC 4) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv. T.Mohan	Quash the CE/NCES letter and direct the TANGEDCO to pay a sum of Rs.28.39/-lakhs with interest. For counter as a last chance.

36	D.R.P.No. 6 of 2012	Karmic Business Specialities Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, TNEB 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. P. Subba Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the respondents to release outstanding dues as per PPA. For arguments of the respondents.
----	---------------------	--	---	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission