

No.35 / 2019 dated: 13-11-2019

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 19-11-2019****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No. 4 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., Govt. of Tamil Nadu 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE/NCES letter dated 18.9.2017. For reporting the status of the case in Hon'ble High Court of Madras.
2	D.R.P.No. 5 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., Govt. of Tamil Nadu 2) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE,NCES of TANGEDCO letter dated 18-9-2017. For reporting the status of the case in Hon'ble High Court of Madras.
3	D.R.P.No. 25 of 2013	Sun Paper Mill Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To fix the rate for supply of power. For filing withdrawal memo.
4	D.R.P.No. 9 of 2016	Vijayalakshmi Marketing Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Edumalpet EDC & Anr.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Quash the impugned notices dated 4-8-2014 and 2-12-2014 issued by the 1 st and 2 nd respondents and direct them to give effect to the EWA. For counter.
5	D.R.P.No. 11 of 2016	Orchid Pharma Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirunelveli EDC 3) SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Quash the 1 st respondent demand notice and consequently direct the 2 nd respondent to give adjustment for the unutilized banked energy or encashment of Rs.23.93/- lakhs. For counter.

6	D.R.P.No.14 of 2015	SKI Carbon Black (India) Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North 4) AO, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to release the outstanding payments for 10% power supplied and billed over and above the contracted quantum amounting to a sum of Rs.1.10 crores along with interest of Rs.23.03 lakhs. For counter.
7	R.A.No.5 of 2019	Ramnad Renewable Energy Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to grant the petitioner a project specific extension of the control period and to declare the petitioner has successfully commissioned its 72 MW solar power project on or before 31 st March 2016. For counter.
8	R.A.No.6 of 2019	Kamuthi Solar Power Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to grant the petitioner a project specific extension of the control period and to declare the petitioner has successfully commissioned its 216 MW solar power project on or before 31 st March 2016. For counter.
9	D.R.P.No. 76 of 2014	M. Sathish Kumar Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Finance) 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs. 2.5/- lakhs being the interest due. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No. 8 of 2015	M/s.A.R.S.Metals (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CE, Transmission 2) SE, General Construction Circle-1	Adv.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	To fix establishment, supervision charges, testing and commissioning charges (1x60 MW) for 230 K.V. temporary transmission line and direct the respondents to refund the excess amounts collected with interest. For arguments.

11	D.R.P.No.9 of 2015	M/s.A.R.S.Metals (P) Ltd., Versus 1) Director(Operation), TANTRANSCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	To fix the supervision charges payable by the petitioner in the erection of 230 KV temporary connectivity line from the plant to Gummidipoondi 230 KV SS and direct the respondents to refund the excess amount collected with interest. For arguments.
----	--------------------	--	--------------------------------------	---

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission