

No.27/2019 dated: 09-10-2019**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 15-10-2019****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No. 4 of 2018	M/s.Ranergy Solutions (P) Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For reporting the status of pending similar matter before the Hon'ble High Court and for admission.
2	M.P.No.11 of 2018	M/s. B.S. Apparel Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification on the interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 dated 12-9-2014. For reporting the status of pending similar matter before the Hon'ble High Court and for admission.
3	M.P.No. 17 of 2017 & M.P.No.2 of 2018	TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Approval for time extension for filing ARR. For filing modified ARR.
4	M.P.No.18 of 2017 & M.P.No.3 of 2018	TANTRANSCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Approval for time extension for filing ARR. For filing affidavit.
5	M.P.No. 19 of 2017	State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC)	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Approval for time extension for filing of ARR. For filing ARR.

6	D.R.P.No.2 of 2017	M/s.SRB Consultancy Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tiruppur EDC	Bohra & Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to release the Late Payment Surcharge of Rs.36.08 lakhs. For filing memo of accepting interest offered by TANGEDCO.
7	D.R.P.No.3 of 2017	M/s.SRB Consultancy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Bohra & Associates Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to release the Late Payment Surcharge of Rs.34.26 lakhs. For filing memo of accepting interest offered by TANGEDCO.
8	T.L.A.No.1 of 2018	Ventus Energy Consultants	Adv. Rahul Balaji Thiru.N.Sivakumar Rajaprabhu, Objector / Party-in-Person	Request for grant of Intra state Trading Licence. For submitting the response by the petitioner.
9	D.R.P.No. 1 of 2018	M/s.National Enterprises Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.V.N.Bohra Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.1.98 crores being the balance of amount due to the petitioner. For filing memo of accepting interest offered by TANGEDCO.
10	D.R.P.No. 7 of 2015	M/s.A.R.S.Metals (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC/North	Adv.K.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	To fix the charges/tariff payable towards development charges, service connection charges and meter caution deposit and to refund the amounts collected unauthorisedly with interest. For counter.
11	D.R.P.No. 1 of 2016	M/s.R.K.K.R.Steels Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Dindigul 3) SE, Chennai	Adv.K.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.31.72/- lakhs being the 75% of the purchase rate injected into respondents grid. For counter.

12	D.R.P.No. 4 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., Govt. of Tamil Nadu 2) TANGEDCO 3) CE, NCES	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE/NCES letter dated 18.9.2017. For counter.
13	D.R.P.No. 5 of 2017	Al Ameen Green Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) The Secretary, Energy Dept., Govt. of Tamil Nadu 2) TANGEDCO 3) CE, NCES	Adv.S.Haja Mohideen Gisthi Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the CE,NCES of TANGEDCO letter dated 18-9-2017. For counter.
14	S.M.P.No.3 of 2014	Coimbatore Consumer Cause Versus 1) CE, Commercial / TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC Metro 3) SE,Kovai (North&South)	Tr. Kathir Mathiyon, Party-in-Person Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the licensee to pay compensation to all the eligible consumers in Coimbatore Region along with interest. For filing certain details by TANGEDCO and arguments.
15	D.R.P.No. 65 of 2014	Madurai Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the TANGEDCO's communication and direct payment of Rs.6.01/- crores along with interest. For arguments.
16	D.R.P.No. 66 of 2014	Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the TANGEDCO's communication and make payment of Rs.5.82 crores along with interest. For arguments.
17	M.P.No.14 of 2017	M/s.Ambika Cotton Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	To allow the adjustment of WEG from the first commissioned machine and second commissioned machine. For arguments.

18	M.P.No.19 of 2018	Southern Railway Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES	Adv. Vijay Anand Adv. M.Gopinathan	Approval for 4 MW of Solar Roof Top. For arguments.
19	I.A.No.1 of 2019 & D.R.P.No.9 of 2019	M/s.Aquasub Engineering Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Arun Anbumani Adv. T.Mohan	To set aside the communication of TANGEDCO demanding a sum of Rs.9.49 crores and refund a sum of Rs.4.34 crores along with interest. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission