

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 14-02-2019

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 PM

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	P.R.C.No.1 of 2019	M/s.Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Insufficiency of court fees. Posted for maintainability.
2	M.P.No.2 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Asahi India Glass Ltd., 2) Technical Stamp Lings Automotive Ltd., 3) National Textile Corp.Ltd., 4) CAMBODIA Mills 5) Pankaja Mills 6) Sri Ranga Vilas SPG&WVG Mills 7) Kaleeswara Mills 8) Pioneer Spinners 9) TMT Muthammal Textiles 10) SIMA 11) Best Cotton Mills 12)Spictex Cotton Mills 13) Super Sales India Ltd., 14) Aswin Textiles (P) Ltd., 15) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd., 16) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd., 17) Rajapalayam Mills Ltd., 18)TASMA 19)Indoshell Mould Ltd., 20) TATA Consultancy Sevices 21) Madras Cements Ltd.,	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to clarify method of calculation of excess demand and excess energy consumed by HT consumers and also the date of effect of order. For admission.
3	M.P.No.3 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Repowering of existing WEG's and tariff proposed based on repowering policy issued by MNRE dated 05-08-2016. For admission.
4	M.P.No.15 of 2018	TNPL Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to permit the petitioner to distribute its existing single point supply of 11kV to its conversion units at Mayanur upon such terms. For admission.
5	I.A.No. 2 of 2019 in D.R.P.No. 16 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Samalpatti Power Company Pvt., Ltd.,	Adv. Gopinathan Adv. Rahul Balaji	Posted for counter on the admissibility of the reopen petition.

6	I.A.No.1 of 2019 in D.R.P.No.19 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Madurai Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd.,	Adv. M.Gopinathan Adv.Rahul Balaji	Posted for counter on the admissibility of the reopen petition.
7	M.P.No.27 of 2016	M/s. SEPC Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Abdul Saleem	Posted for counter on the admissibility of the reopen petition.
8	M.P.No.38 of 2014	T.T.Industries Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC (4) CE, NCES 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the directions issued by the Commission in D.R.P.No.9 of 2012 dated 28-3-2013. For counter.
9	M.P.No.39 of 2014	T.T.Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC (4) CE, NCES 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the directions issued by the Commission in D.R.P.No.10 of 2012 dated 28-3-2013. For counter.
10	M.P.No.12 of 2012	Gangadhar Narasingdas Agarwal Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru. Srinath Sridaran	Praying to punish the respondent for failure to comply with the orders of the Commission dated 11-7-2011 in D.R.P.No. 14 of 2011. For arguments.
11	R.P.No. 2 of 2013	Spictex Cot Mills (P) Ltd., Versus 1)CFC, Revenue 2) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to review the order dated 17-4-2013 made in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012. For arguments.
12	I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy Papers & Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, Revenue, 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28-9-2012. For arguments.
13	I.A.No.1 of 2013 & D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy Papers & Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, Revenue, 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11-8-2012. For arguments.
14	M.P.No.16 of 2016	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC 3) TANTRANSCO 4) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.T.Arivarasan	Praying to enforce 'MUST RUN status to all solar power plants and direct the respondents to stop issuing backing down instructions to solar projects. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission