

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 06-08-2019**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 11.00 AM**

<b>Sl.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	D.R.P.No. 1 of 2016	M/s.R.K.K.R.Steels Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Dindigul 3) SE, Chennai	Adv.Seshadri  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.31.72/- lakhs being the 75% of the purchase rate injected into respondents grid. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No. 44 of 2014	Mirra and Mirra Industries Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC/North 4) SE, Tirunelveli EDC 5) AO/Revenue, CEDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct TANGEDCO to effect adjustment of the WEG from the petitioner's captive windmills operating under the REC scheme first and thereafter adjust the energy generated by the other wind mills and to raise the CC Bills. For counter.
3	D.R.P.No. 73 of 2014	D.A.Sathya Prabha Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to pay the balance amount due to the petitioner for power supplied till 2-8-2011. For counter.
4	D.R.P.No. 75 of 2014	D.A.Srinivas Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to pay the balance amount due to the petitioner for power supplied till 2-8-2011. For counter.

5	D.R.P.No.77 of 2014	M/s.Vision Textiles Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Finance) 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.5.12/- lakhs being the interest due and payable as on 3-6-2014 against delayed payments. For counter.
6	D.R.P.No. 3 of 2016	M/s. Mitra Fidelity Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tuticorin EDC 4) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv. M.Gopinathan	Quash the 2nd respondent letter dated 29-1-2015 and direct the TANGEDCO to pay the sum of Rs.66.22/- lakhs for unutilized banked energy along with interest. For counter.
7	D.R.P.No. 4 of 2016	M/s. Greenweiz Projects Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tuticorin EDC 4) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv. M.Gopinathan	Quash the 2nd respondent letter dated 29-1-2015 and direct the TANGEDCO to pay a sum of Rs.28.39/- lakhs with interest. For counter.
8	D.R.P.No. 42 of 2014	Jayakrishna Flour Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) DFC, TANGEDCO 3) CFC, TANGEDCO 4) CE/NCES 5) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.S.P.Parthasarathy  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct TANGEDCO to make payment of Rs.5.07/- lakhs with interest. For negotiation.
9	D.R.P.No. 43 of 2014	Emerald Jewel Industry India Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct TANGEDCO to make a payment of Rs.8.84/- lakhs with interest. For negotiation.
10	D.R.P. No. 6 of 2014	Anu Cashews Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for delay in settlement of invoices beyond the

				period of 30 days. For negotiation.
11	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian 2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani	Adv. M.Gopinathan  Adv.Sathyamoorthy Adv. Lakshmi Narayanan	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For reporting the status of the case in the subject matter before Hon'ble Supreme Court and for arguments of the respondents.
12	D.R.P.No. 6 of 2012	Karmic Business Specialities Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, TNEB 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. P. Subba Reddy  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the respondents to release outstanding dues as per PPA. For arguments.
13	D.R.P.No.18 of 2013	ITC Ltd. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Fin) & ors.	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates  Adv. T.Mohan	To direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.91.16 lakhs. For arguments.
14	S.M.P.No. 4 of 2013	Automotive Madras Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Thiru N.Azhagarsami, AEE, Enforcement, Chennai EDC/South 2) Thiru N. Ravichandran, AEE, Construction, Chennai EDC/South	Thiru.R.Venkatraman, Party-in-Person	Respondents to report the further action taken on the directions issued by the Commission in its Order dated 19.2.2014 and for arguments.
15	D.R.P.No. 1 of 2014	M/s. Suryadev Alloys and power pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Director / Operation 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Seshadri  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to refund the excess amount collected. For arguments.

16	D.R.P.No. 3 of 2014	M/s. Suryadev Alloys and power pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Dir/Oprn., TANTRANSCO 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Seshadri  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to refund the excess amount collected. For arguments.
17	D.R.P.No. 4 of 2014	B&G Solar Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC/Revenue 3) Director / Finance 4) SE, Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Vinodkumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the letter dated 9-12-2013 issued by TANGEDCO. For arguments.
18	D.R.P.No. 5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC/Revenue 3) Director/Finance 4) SE, Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Vinodkumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the 2nd respondent letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For arguments.
19	R.A.No.4 of 2019	Association of Healthcare (India)  Versus  TANGEDCO & Ors.	President, Association of Healthcare (India)	For reporting the compliance of the orders of the Commission dated 30.07.2019 with regard to payment of fees.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission