

No.33/2019 dated: 01-11-2019

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 05-11-2019****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.10 of 2015	Indowind Energy Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. C. Raghunatha Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.2.77 lakhs along with interest for power supplied from the wind generation units of the petitioner. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No.12 of 2015	Indowind Energy Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv. C. Raghunatha Reddy Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.1.01 crores along with interest for power supplied from the wind generation units of the petitioner. For admission.
3	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,PPP 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Sathyaseelan Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12.82 crores. For reporting the status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
4	D.R.P.No.10 of 2019	M/s.Pandian Chemicals Limited Versus 1)CMD/TANGEDCO 2) SE/Cuddalore EDC	Adv.Yasar Arafath Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the SE, Cuddalore to execute and implement the CGRF order dated 4.11.2017 to refund or adjust a sum of Rs.2.42 lakhs towards transmission and distribution charges collected from the petitioner. For filing compliance report by the respondent.

5	I.A.No.1 of 2019 in R.P.No.1 of 2019	M/s.Vedanta Limited (Formerly known as Sesa Sterlite Limited) Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To grant an interim order of stay of operation of the Commission's order dated 10.12.2018 passed in M.P.No.82 of 2013 and review this petition. For counter.
6	D.R.P.No.3 of 2019	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/Grid Operation 3) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.Seshadri Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay Rs.44.80/- lakhs being the value of the energy lapsed due to over injection. For counter.
7	M.P.No.7 of 2019	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.Abdul Saleem	Direct the respondents to comply with the order of the Commission in PPAP No.3 of 2014 dated 29.7.2016 and PPA dated 12.12.2013. For counter and notice for payment of deficit of court fee by the petitioner pending.
8	R.P.No. 2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus 1) CFC/ Revenue, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. M.Gopinathan	To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012. For arguments.
9	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. M.Gopinathan	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012 towards short levy of excess energy charges. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. M.Gopinathan	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012. For arguments.
11	M.P.No. 25 of 2014	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Generation) 3) CE, IPP	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue directions on the respondents in terms of section 142 of the Electricity Act 2003 for non-compliance of the order dated 30-12-2011. For arguments.

12	D.R.P.No. 48 of 2014	Super Sales India Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Arun Anbumani Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the 3 rd respondent letter dated 11-2-2014 and demand of Rs.15.28 lakhs. For arguments.
13	R.P.No.3 of 2016 in M.P.No.30 of 2014	Cuddalore Powergen Corporation Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To Review the order dated 28-8-2016 passed in M.P.No.30 of 2014. For filing additional affidavit and arguments.
14	S.M.P.No. 7 of 2014	Thiru Raguram Versus 1) CFC, Tariff Cell 2) CE, Planning	Thiru.Raguram, Party-in-Person Adv.M.Gopinathan	Clarification sought for on eligibility of Tariff III A1 for those registered in SFS category for agricultural service. Comments of the respondents on the clarification sought for by the petitioner.
15	T.L.A.No.1 of 2018	Ventus Energy Consultants	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. E.Anbarasan on behalf of the Objector, Thiru.N.Sivakumar Rajaprabhu.	Request for grant of Intra State Trading Licence. For arguments by the objector.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission