

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 05-09-2019**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 11.00 AM**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	D.R.P.No. 4 of 2015	Ghanshyamdas Kanhaiyalal Totala HUF  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.2.46 lakhs being the interest due against delayed payments made by respondents for power supplied. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No. 5 of 2015	Padmaadevi Sugars Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC 3) CE, PPP	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.2.48 crores being the sums due against delayed payments made by respondents for power supplied. For admission.
3	D.R.P.No. 1 of 2017	Satia Industries Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.44.00 lakhs. For admission.
4	D.R.P.No. 2 of 2017	M/s.SRB Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tiruppur EDC	Bohra & Associates  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to release the Late Payment Surcharge of Rs.36.08 lakhs. For admission.
5	D.R.P.No. 3 of 2017	M/s.SRB Consultancy Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Bohra & Associates  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to release the Late Payment Surcharge of Rs.34.26 lakhs. For admission.

6	D.R.P.No. 6 of 2016	Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to pay the interest of Rs.1.32 crores. For filing of counter by the respondents.
7	D.R.P.No. 7 of 2016	Cauvery Power Generation Chennai Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC North	Adv.Vinod Kumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to pay the interest of Rs.53.92/- lakhs. For filing of counter by the respondents.
8	D.R.P.No. 76 of 2014	M. Sathish Kumar Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Finance) 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs. 2.5/- lakhs being the interest due. For filing of counter by the respondents.
9	M.P.No. 15 of 2017	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To determine the additional surcharge payable by Open Access Consumers availing power under OA. For submission of stakeholders' comments.
10	D.R.P. No.37 of 2014	Woodside Fashions Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest of Rs.21.95 lakhs. For reporting on negotiation.
11	D.R.P. No.38 of 2014	Natesan Precision Components Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest of Rs.15.91 lakhs. For reporting on negotiation.
12	D.R.P. No.39 of 2014	Tamil Nadu Gears and Shafts Corporation Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest of Rs.4.57 lakhs. For reporting on negotiation.

13	D.R.P.No. 40 of 2014	Nupower Renewables Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.10.95 crores. For reporting on negotiation.
14	D.R.P.No. 47 of 2014	White House  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.62.31 lakhs. For reporting on negotiation.
15	D.R.P.No. 49 of 2014	Coimbatore Pioneer Fertilizers Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.68.14 lakhs being the interest due against the delayed payments. For reporting on negotiation.
16	D.R.P.No. 51 of 2014	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinod Kumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.32.05 crores towards refund / reimbursement of the MAT for the period from 2005 to 2012. For reporting on negotiation.
17	D.R.P.No. 52 of 2014	Designco  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) DFC, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Tuticorin EDC	Sri & Shankar Associates  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.57.23 lakhs being the amount due under the PPA together with interest. For reporting on negotiation.
18	D.R.P.No. 2 of 2015	Sunil Wind Energy Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.3.45/-lakhs being the interest due. For filing the copy of notice on settlement of interest dues.
19	D.R.P.No. 3 of 2015	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.50.70 crores being the interest due. For filing the copy of notice on settlement of interest dues.

20	D.R.P.No. 27 of 2013	M/s.Techno Electric & Engineering Company Limited (formerly Simran Wind Project Limited) Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv. M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to amend the power purchase agreements. For filing of additional counter by the respondents.
21	D.R.P.No.46 of 2014	M/s.Techno Electric & Engineering Company Limited (formerly Simran Wind Project Limited)  Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv. M.Gopinathan	To determine the pooled cost of power purchase for the financial years 2010 – 2011 to 2012-2013. For filing of counter.
22	D.R.P. No. 6 of 2014	Anu Cashews Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for delay in settlement of invoices beyond the period of 30 days. For filing of Memo by the petitioner on settlement of dues on interest.
23	D.R.P. No.27 of 2014	Devi Sea Foods Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing a copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.
24	D.R.P. No.28 of 2014	VVD and Sons Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing a copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.
25	D.R.P. No.29 of 2014	Nalco Metal Products Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing a copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.

26	D.R.P. No.30 of 2014	TCP Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing a copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.
27	D.R.P. No.31 of 2014	Hindustan Platinum Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & CFC/Rev.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing a copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.
28	D.R.P. No.32 of 2014	The Metal Powder Company Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing a copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.
29	D.R.P. No.33 of 2014	Spikepower Protection Systems & Technologies Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing a copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.
30	D.R.P. No.34 of 2014	Natesan Synchrocones Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Anr.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing a copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.
31	D.R.P. No.36 of 2014	Mangalam Fashions Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay interest at 1% per month for any delay in settlement of invoices. For filing a copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.

32	D.R.P.No. 42 of 2014	Jayakrishna Flour Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) DFC, TANGEDCO 3) CFC, TANGEDCO 4) CE/NCES 5) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct TANGEDCO to make payment of Rs.5.07/- lakhs with interest. For filing copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.
33	D.R.P.No. 43 of 2014	Emerald Jewel Industry India Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct TANGEDCO to make a payment of Rs.8.84/- lakhs with interest. For filing a copy of notice issued by TANGEDCO on interest dues.
34	M.P.No. 13 of 2017	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC 3) TANTRANSCO 4) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To take action under section 142 and enforce the interim directions in the pending petition to enforce the Must Run status granted to all solar power plants. For filing of memo for withdrawal.
35	I.A.No.3 of 2019 and D.R.P.No.5 of 2019	M/s.ReGen Powertech Private Limited  Versus 1.CMD / TANGEDCO 2.Direction/Generation 3.CE/NCES 4.SE/NCES	Fox Mandal & Associates	Seeking extension of time for date of commissioning of the project on account of reasons beyond the control of the petitioner and seeking an order of interim injunction restraining the TANGEDCO from invoking and/or encashing the Performance Bank Guarantee for an amount of Rs.20.00 crores. For filing a detailed status of the project.
36	I.A.No.5 of 2019 and D.R.P.No.6 of 2019	M/s.Corolla Green Power Private Limited  Versus 1.CMD / TANGEDCO 2.Direction/Generation	Fox Mandal & Associates	Seeking extension of time for date of commissioning of the project on account of reasons beyond the control of the petitioner and seeking an order of interim injunction restraining the

		3.CE/NCES 4.SE/NCES		TANGEDCO from invoking and/or encashing the Performance Bank Guarantee for an amount of Rs.25.00 crores. For filing a detailed status of the project.
37	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian 2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani	Adv. M.Gopinathan Adv.Sathyamoorthy Adv. Lakshmi Narayanan	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For arguments.
38	D.R.P.No.16 of 2012	Samalpatti Power Co. Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rishabh Prasad  Adv. T.Mohan	Direct the respondent to pay a sum of Rs.239.00 crores along with interest. For arguments.
39	D.R.P.No.19 of 2012	Madurai Power Corpn Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Anand K Ganesan  Adv. T.Mohan	Direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.232.00 crores along with interest. For arguments.
40	D.R.P.No. 1 of 2014	M/s. Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Director / Operation 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Seshadri  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to refund the excess amount collected. For arguments.
41	D.R.P.No. 3 of 2014	M/s. Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Dir/Oprn., TANTRANSCO 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Seshadri  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To direct the respondents to refund the excess amount collected. For arguments.
42	D.R.P.No. 4 of 2014	B&G Solar Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC/Revenue 3) Director / Finance 4) SE, Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Vinodkumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the letter dated 9-12-2013 issued by TANGEDCO. For arguments.

43	D.R.P.No. 5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC/Revenue 3) Director/Finance 4) SE, Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Vinodkumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the 2nd respondent letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For arguments.
44	D.R.P.No. 48 of 2014	Super Sales India Ltd.,  Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Arun Anbumani  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To set aside the 3 <sup>rd</sup> respondent letter dated 11-2-2014 and demand of Rs.15.28 lakhs. For arguments.
45	M.P.No. 27 of 2016	SEPC Power Private Ltd.,  Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Abdul Saleem	To take on record the Financial Closing date as 30-10-2015. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission