

**No.18/ 2018 dated: 20-11-2018**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**REVISED CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 27-11-2018**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30 PM**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No.38 of 2014	T.T.Industries Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC (4) CE, NCES 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the directions issued by the Commission in D.R.P.No.9 of 2012 dated 28-3-2013. For counter.
2	M.P.No.39 of 2014	T.T.Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC (4) CE, NCES 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the directions issued by the Commission in D.R.P.No.10 of 2012 dated 28-3-2013. For counter.
3	M.P.No.12 of 2012	Gangadhar Narasingdas Agarwal Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru. Srinath Sridaran	Praying to punish the respondent for failure to comply with the orders of the Commission dated 11-7-2011 in D.R.P.No. 14 of 2011. For arguments.
4	D.R.P.No.5 of 2011	Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan M/s.RNS Associates	Praying to direct the respondent to pay Rs.1,91,58,166/- being the amount payable at the contractual rate for the 41,15,180 units supplied to the respondent. For arguments.
5	D.R.P.No.20 of 2011	MMS Steel & Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RNS Associates	Direct the respondent of Rs.4.67 per unit amounting to Rs.2,30,33,089 for power supplied between 24-5-2010 to 21-6-2010. R1 filed the counter. R2 impleaded as respondent. For arguments.
6	D.R.P.No. 24 of 2011	Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. SE, Cuddalore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.5,40,90,289/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 26-3-2004. For arguments.
7	D.R.P.No. 25 of 2011	Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. SE, Tanjavur EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.3,31,49,875/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 31-3-2004. For arguments.

8	D.R.P.No. 26 of 2011	Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. SE, Cuddalore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.15,34,33,236/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 18-8-2004. For arguments.
9	D.R.P.No. 27 of 2011	Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. SE, Tanjavur EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.1,67,24,532/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 15-3-2004. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.13 of 2012	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar	Praying to permit the petitioner to use alternate fuel to maintain PLF of the plant as contemplated in PPA. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No.17 of 2012	PTC India Ltd., Versus 1) SV Sugar Mills Ltd., 2) TNEB 3) TANGEDCO 4) SLDC	M/s.RNS Associates	Praying to direct the SV Sugar to pay Rs.8,53,70,112/- crore along with interest at 18% p.a. till the date of filing this petition. For arguments.
12	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Satyaseelan Adv.Gopinathan	Praying to declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12,82,83,441/-. For further arguments.
13	M.P.No.27 of 2016	M/s. SEPC Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Abdul Saleem	Praying to take on record the Financial Closing date as 30-10-2015. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission