

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 25-10-2017**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30 PM**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	I.A.No.1 of 2016 and R.P.No.2 of 2016	TANGEDCO  Versus Chennai Metro Rail Ltd.,	CFC, Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Praying to Review the order dated 1-8-2016 passed in M.P.No.3 of 2016 in the matter of Fixation of Tariff for Chennai Metro Rail Ltd., For Delay Condonation and admission.
2	M.P.No.38 of 2014	T.T.Industries Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC (4) CE, NCES 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the directions issued by the Commission in D.R.P.No.9 of 2012 dated 28-3-2013. For counter.
3	M.P.No.39 of 2014	T.T.Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC (4) CE, NCES 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the directions issued by the Commission in D.R.P.No.10 of 2012 dated 28-3-2013. For counter.
4	M.P.No.12 of 2012	Gangadhar Narasingdas Agarwal Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru. Srinath Sridaran	Praying to punish the respondent for failure to comply with the orders of the Commission dated 11-7-2011 in D.R.P.No. 14 of 2011. For arguments.
5	M.P.No. 25 of 2014	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) M(G) 3) CE, IPP	Adv.Sathyaseelan	Praying to issue directions on the respondents in terms of section 142 of the Electricity Act 2003 for non compliance of the order dated 30-12-2011. For arguments.
6	M.P.No.43 of 2012	Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumer Assn., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Praying to equitably distribute such restriction and control measures without exempting any class or category of consumers from such restriction and control measures. For arguments.
7	M.P.No.44 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Commercial	Praying to permit the petitioner for continuation of adoption of the present system of distribution to overcome the deficit supply of power. For arguments.
8	I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2013 in M.P.No.7 of 2013	Coimbatore District Small Scale Industries Association Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to equitably distribute Load Shedding without exempting any class or category of consumers from such Load Shedding. For arguments.

9	M.P.No.82 of 2013	SESA Sterlite Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to declare that the petitioner's waste heat recovery boiler system is a cogeneration plant. For further arguments.
10	I.A.No. 1 of 2015 M.P.No.34 of 2015	Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Kallakurichi EDC	Thiru C.Satyanarayana	Praying to direct the respondent to dispense with the levy of surcharge for harmonics as far as Indian Oil Corporation is concerned. For arguments.
11	M.P.No.25 of 2015	Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Siddarth Bahety	Praying to direct the respondent to dispense with the levy of surcharge for harmonics as far as Railway Traction is concerned. For arguments.
12	M.P.No. 21 of 2016	Palladam Hi-Tech Weaving Park Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to determine the cross subsidy surcharge for the year 2016-17 based on directives of APTEL and National Tariff Policy. For arguments.
13	M.P.No. 20 of 2016	SKI Carbon Black (India) P. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to determine the cross subsidy surcharge for the year 2016-17 based on directives of APTEL and National Tariff Policy. For arguments.
14	M.P.No.19 of 2016	Open Access Users Assn., Versus TANGEDCO	M/s.MSA Partners	Praying to determine the cross subsidy surcharge for the year 2016-17 based on directives of APTEL and National Tariff Policy. For arguments.
15	M.P.No.27 of 2016	M/s. SEPC Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to take on record the Financial Closing date as 30-10-2015. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission