

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 28-1-2016**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30 PM**

<b>Sl.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel or parties</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	M.P.No.41 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP	For orders.
2	M.P.No.36 of 2014	Tamil Nadu News Print and Papers Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	For orders.
3	M.P.No.32 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv.R. Varalakshmi	For orders.
4	I.A.No. 1 of 2015 in M.P.No.27 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	For orders.
5	I.A.No. 2 of 2015 in M.P.No. 35 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,PPP of TANGEDCO	For orders.
6	P.P.A.P.No.5 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP	For orders.
7	P.P.A.P.No.12 of 2015	Essel Pallavaram Tambaram MSW Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) TNEB 4)Pallavaram Municipality 5) Tambaram Municipality	Thiru Manoj Nainani	Praying to fix tariff for 2.90MW power generated from Municipal Solid Waste. For admission.
8	M.P.No. 38 of 2015	Indus Towers Ltd., Versus Nil	Thiru D.Harikrishnan	Praying to amend the Intra State Open Access regulations 2014 by allowing open access to LT consumers. For admission.
9	I.A.No.1 of 2016 in M.P.No.1 of 2016	Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE,Cmmercial 3) SE, Trichy/Metro 4) SE,Perambalur 5) SE, Dindigul 6) SE, Madurai 7) SE, Erode	Adv.Siddarth Bahety	Praying to direct the respondents to restore the maximum demand integration time from 15 minutes to 30 minutes in the energy meters provided for Railway Traction Substations. For admission and interim relief.
10	I.A.No. 1 of 2016 in M.P.No. 2 of 2016	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,PPP of TANGEDCO	Praying to approve and ratify for dispatch the high cost IPPs M/s.MPCL, SPCL and PPN for the third quarter of 2015-16. To condone the delay and for admission.

11	I.A.No. 1 of 2016 in M.P.No. 10 of 2015	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying clarification on collection of grid support charges for backup during the outage of generator, payable by the open access customer as per the tariff order T.P.No.1 of 2013 dated 20-6-2013. For admission on the interim application.
12	R.A.No. 6 of 2013	1) Beta Wind Farm (P) Ltd., 2) Indian Wind Power Assn., 3) Southern India Mills Assn., 4) IWTMA (5) IWEA, 6)Velatal Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., 7) TASMA 8) Indian Spinning Mill Owner Assn., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul balaji  Adv.N.L.Rajah  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.V.Mahendran	To comply with the directions issued in Appeal No.197, 198, 200, 201, 208 of 2012 and 6 of 2013. For arguments.
13	M.P.No.30 of 2014	Cuddalore PowerGen Corporation Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to extend the date for financial closure of the project till 30-4-2016. For arguments.
14	P.P.A.P.No.4 of 2014	IOT Mabagas Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to fix an appropriate revised project specific tariff for the 2.40 MW anaerobic Biogas Plant. For arguments.
15	M.P.No.9 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CFC, Revenue	Praying to clarify whether transmission and wheeling charges for conventional fuel based generators can also be collected from Fossil Fuel based Co-generating plants. For arguments.
16	M.P.No.36 of 2015	M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct that the Repairs and Maintenance cost, Employee cost, Administration and General Expenses be directed to be paid to the petitioner under PPA at actuals. For arguments.
17	M.P.No. 39 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus GMR Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd.,	CE,PPP of TANGEDCO	Praying to compute and decide the claims between parties as directed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal Nos.3201-3202 of 2012. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Chinnarajalu  
Secretary