

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 13-2-2015**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30 pm**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel or parties</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	M.P.No.12 of 2013	TANFAC Versus Nil	Adv.Rahul Balaji	For orders.
2	D.R.P.No.5 of 2013	TCP Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Planning and RC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	For orders.
3	D.R.P.No.41 of 2014	Rajapalayam Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L Rajah	For orders.
4	P.P.A.P.No. 2 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus GMR Power Corporation Ltd.,	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	For orders.
5	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No.6 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CFC, Regulatory Cell	Praying to permit the petitioner to amend the prayer and extend the time till 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2015 for filing the ARR. For admission.
6	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 7 of 2015	TANTRANSCO Versus Nil	MD, TANTRANSCO	Praying to permit the petitioner to amend the prayer and extend the time till 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2015 for filing the ARR. For admission.
7	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No.8 of 2015	K.R.V.Spining Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Mettur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 <sup>rd</sup> respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
8	M.P.No.9 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CFC, Revenue	Praying to clarify whether transmission and wheeling charges for conventional fuel based generators can also be collected from Fossil Fuel based Co-generating plants. For admission.
9	M.P.No. 10 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CFC, Revenue	Praying clarification on collection of grid support charges for backup during the outage of generator, payable by the open access customer as per the tariff order T.P.No.1 of 2013 dated 20-6-2013. For admission.

10	M.P.No.43 of 2012	Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumer Assn., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Praying to equitably distribute such restriction and control measures without exempting any class or category of consumers from such restriction and control measures. For arguments.
11	M.P.No.44 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Commercial	Praying to permit the petitioner for continuation of adoption of the present system of distribution to overcome the deficit supply of power. For arguments.
12	I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2013 in M.P.No.7 of 2013	Coimbatore District Small Scale Industries Association Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to equitably distribute Load Shedding without exempting any class or category of consumers from such Load Shedding. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

**Secretary  
TamilNadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission**