

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 27-4-2015**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30 pm**

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.A.P.No.1 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs.62.10 crores for the Periyar Vaigai – 1 small hydro electric power station. For admission.
2	M.A.P.No.2 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs.48.30 crores for the Periyar Vaigai – 2 small hydro electric power station. For admission.
3	M.A.P.No.3 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs. 497.22 crores for the Bhavani Kattalai Barrage - 2 hydro electric power station For admission.
4	M.A.P.No.4 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs.187.61 crores for the Bhavani Barrage - 2 hydro power station. For admission.
5	M.P.No.15 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CFC, Revenue	Praying to waive the BPS charges in respect of willing HT&LT consumers who come forward to make arrears of CC charges in one lump sum. For admission.
6	M.P.No.38 of 2014	T.T.Industries Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC (4) CE, NCES 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the directions issued by the Commission in D.R.P.No.9 of 2012 dated 28-3-2013. For counter.
7	M.P.No.39 of 2014	T.T.Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC (4) CE, NCES 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the directions issued by the Commission in D.R.P.No.10 of 2012 dated 28-3-2013. For counter.
8	M.P.No.41 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP	Seeking approval for deviations in the short term power procurement of 3000 MW for a period of one year. For arguments.
9	P.P.A.P. No.1 of 2011	1. Sakthi Sugars Ltd., 2. TNPL Versus TNEB / TANGEDCO	Thiru. Shivakumar “ Suresh	Praying to fix the power purchase price for using coal instead of bagasse by the petitioner. For arguments.

10	M.P.No. 19 of 2014	Southern Railway Versus 1) Secy. to Govt. Energy Department. 2) TANGEDCO 3)Dir.FinanceTANGEDCO	Adv.R.Sathyabama	Praying to fix the tariff for Railway Traction for the disputed period from December 2001 to March 2003. For arguments.
11	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.28 of 2014	Union of India, Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC	Adv.Siddharth Bahety	Praying to amend the provision 5 (2) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code by adding a proviso exempting Railways from paying double rate for any exceeded demand. For arguments.
12	P.P.A.P.No.4 of 2014	IOT Mabagas Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to fix an appropriate revised project specific tariff for the 2.40 MW anaerobic Biogas Plant. For arguments.
13	M.A.P.No. 2 of 2007 and M.A.P.No. 1 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd., And Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to finalize and fix the capital cost of the 105.66MW power project. For arguments.

( By Order of the Commission )

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission**