

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 19-3- 2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	P.P.A.P.No. 6 of 2012	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	CE, PPP	For orders.
2	P.P.A.P.No. 8 of 2012	Sterlite Industries (I) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	CE, PPP	For orders.
3	P.P.A.P.No. 9 of 2013	Kamachi Sponge and Power Corporation Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L Rajah	Praying to direct the respondents to pay the petitioner at the applicable rates per unit for the surplus units pumped into the grid. For counter.
4	P.P.A.P.No.9 of 2012	Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
5	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2013	Cauvery Power Gen. Chennai Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) DO, TANTRANSCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
6	P.P.A.P.No. 2 of 2013	Tulsyan NEC Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/PPP	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
7	P.P.A.P.No.3 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO.	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
8	P.P.A.P.No.6 of 2013	ARS Metals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. K. Seshadri	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
9	P.P.A.P.No. 7 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/PPP 3) Director/Operation	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to fix the rate of supply of infirm power. For arguments.
10	P.P.A.P.No. 8 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. K. Seshadri	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.

11	D.R.P.No.1 of 2013	OPG Power Generation Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2) TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.56,61,291.50/- towards supply of 12,12,268 units. For arguments.
12	D.R.P.No.2 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2) TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.88,38,811/- towards supply of 18,92,679 units. For arguments.
13	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 3 of 2013	Yogalakshmi Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, Revenue 2) SE, Gopi EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-10-2012 issued by 2 nd Respondent. For arguments.
14	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 4 of 2013	Rajaguru Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, Revenue 2) SE, Gopi EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 29-12-2012 issued by 2 nd Respondent. For arguments.
15	D.R.P.No.5 of 2013	TCP Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Planning and RC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.9,19,24,107/- together with interest of Rs.4,00,38,655/- for supply of 450 million units. For arguments.
16	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian 2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani	CFC, Revenue	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary