

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 20-6-2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2013	Cauvery Power Gen. Chennai Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) DO, TANTRANSCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar	For orders.
2	S.M.P.No. 5 of 2014	All Merchants Association Versus 1) AE,O&M, Pudupattu. 2) AEE, West Sankarapuram 3) EE, Sankarapuram	Thiru. R.Sakthivel	Summon to appear in person.
3	S.M.P.No. 6 of 2014	Director Finance, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Director Finance	Summon to appear in person.
4	M.P.No.38 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) TASMA 2) IWPA 3) SIMA	CE, NCES, TANGEDCO Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to clarify whether the transmission charges to be collected by TANGEDCO may be per day per MW or part thereof basis or in proportion to the capacity of the WEG. For counter.
5	M.P.No.39 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CFC, Revenue, TANGEDCO	Praying to approve the additional capitalization for the financial year 2010-11 to 2012-13. For arguments.
6	R.A.No. 1 of 2013	1) Sai Regency Power Corp. Pvt., Ltd., 2) Beta Wind Farm Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Manoharan	For determination of Transmission Charges as remanded by APTEL. For arguments.
7	D.R.P.No.18 of 2013	ITC Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC 4) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Krishna Srinivasan	Praying to direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.91,16,143/-. For arguments.
8	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 17 of 2013	Sri Kaderi Ambal Mills Ltd., Versus 1) Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., 2) TANGEDCO 3) SE, Nagapattinam EDC 4) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Seshadri Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to declare that the respondent is not entitled to make the allotment of electricity generated at the petitioner's 6.79 MW capacity power to a particular consumer. For arguments on Interim Application

9	I.A.No. 1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 22 of 2013	Shambu Vengu Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SE, Mettur EDC 4) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. S. Sivanandam	Praying to declare and direct that respondents to charge transmission and distribution loss only to the extent of adjusted units. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No. 28 of 2013	Sri Venkitalakshmi Texts Versus 1) CE, NCES 2)SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the respondents to cancel the EPA dated 2-3-2011 and permit the petitioner to adjust the self generated wind energy in LT connection. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No.29 of 2013	Angalakshmi Spin Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SE, Coimbatore EDC 4) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. S. Sivanandam	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest of Rs.48,31,099/- for the belated payment for supply of electricity and for encashment of unutilized banking energy. For arguments.
12	D.R.P.No. 30 of 2013	Shakthi Murugan Textiles Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC 4) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. S. Sivanandam	Praying to direct the respondents to pay interest of Rs.32,91,182/- for the belated payment for supply of electricity and for encashment of unutilized banking energy. For arguments
13	M.A.P.No. 2 of 2007 and M.A.P.No. 1 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd., And Samalpatti Power Company Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to finalize and fix the capital cost of the 105.66MW power project. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary