

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 16-6-2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No. 24 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of March 2014. For admission.
2	M.P.No. 29 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of April 2014. For admission.
3	M.P.No. 31 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for dispatching the power outside the merit order for the month of May 2014. For admission.
4	M.P.No.28 of 2014	Union of India, Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, CEDC	Adv.Siddharth Bahety	Praying to amend the provision 5 (2) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code by adding a proviso exempting Railways from paying double rate for any exceeded demand. For admission.
5	D.R.P.No. 51 of 2014	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.32,05,74,595 towards refund / reimbursement of the MAT for the period from 2005-06 to 2011-12. For admission.
6	D.R.P.No.20 of 2011	MMS Steel & Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Direct the respondent of Rs.4.67 per unit amounting to Rs.2,30,33,089 for power supplied between 24-5-2010 to 21-6-2010. R2 filed the counter. R1 impleaded as respondent. For counter of R1.
7	P.P.A.P. No.1 of 2011	1. Sakthi Sugars Ltd., 2. TNPL Versus TNEB / TANGEDCO	Thiru. Shivakumar “ Suresh	Praying to fix the power purchase price for using coal instead of bagasse by the petitioner. For arguments.
8	D.R.P.No.4 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	M/s. Iyer & Thomas Adv. Karthick Seshadri	Praying to make a rule for grid support to consumers who are having CPP within their premises. For further arguments.

9	D.R.P.No.5 of 2011	Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the respondent to pay Rs.1,91,58,166/- being the amount payable at the contractual rate for the 41,15,180 units supplied to the respondent. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.13 of 2012	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar	Praying to permit the petitioner to use alternate fuel to maintain PLF of the plant as contemplated in PPA. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No.22 of 2012	International Institute of Bio Technology & Toxicology Versus SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Adv. Anitha Sumanth	Praying to quash the impugned letter of the respondent dated 19-10-2012. For arguments.
12	P.P.A.P.No. 2 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus GMR Power Corporation Ltd.,	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Praying to approve the procurement of power from respondent for a period of one year i.e from 15-2-2014 to 14-2-2015. For furnishing details.
13	P.P.A.P. No. 3 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus 1) D.B. Power Ltd., and 10 others.	CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Praying for adoption of levellised tariff of Rs.4.91 per kwh for purchase of 3330 MW. For furnishing details.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary