

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 28-7-2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No.53 of 2014	SRM Civil Works Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue, TANGEDCO 3) AEE, Kandigai, Chennai.	M/s.Gupta & Ravi	Praying to set aside the 3 rd respondent letter dated 7-4-2014 and apply LT Tariff III B to the petitioner. For admission and Interim relief.
2	D.R.P.No.54 of 2014	National Energy Trading and Services Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) Director Operations	Adv.Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.33,13,26,583/- towards balance of energy charges and surcharge accrued as on the date of the petition. For admission.
3	D.R.P.No.56 of 2014	Simran Wind Project Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC,TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.4,85,21,854/- being the interest due against the delayed payments made by the respondents. For admission.
4	D.R.P.No.57 of 2014	EID Parry (India) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to set aside the respondent letter dated 7-3-2014. For admission.
5	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No.58 of 2014	Ramco Cements Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)SE, Virudhunagar EDC 3) Audit Branch, Tirunelveli	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to set aside the 2 nd respondent letter dated 12-4-2013. For admission and interim relief.
6	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 61 of 2014	Hi Tech Arai Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,NCES,TANGEDCO 3) SE,Udumalpet EDC 4) SE, Tirupur EDC 5) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to set aside the 2 nd respondent letter dated 16-4-2014. For admission and interim relief.
7	M.P.No.15 of 2013	Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Nagapattinam EDC	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to punish respondents for their contravention of the Commission's order dated 21-2-2013 in R.A.No.3 of 2013. For additional counter.

8	D.R.P.No.17 of 2012	PTC India Ltd., Versus 1) SV Sugar Mills Ltd., 2) TNEB 3) TANGEDCO 4) SLDC	RnR Associates	Praying to direct the SV Sugar to pay Rs.8,53,70,112/- crore along with interest at 18% p.a. till the date of filing this petition. For arguments.
9	D.R.P.No.25 of 2012	PTC India Ltd., Versus 1) Auomira Energy Co.P.Ltd., 2) TANGEDCO	RnR Associates	Praying to direct the 1 st respondent to make a payment of Rs.1,19,31,776/- along with interest of Rs.26,76,248/- for not complying with its contractual obligations. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.15 of 2011	1. Terra Energy Ltd., 2. Shree Ambika Sug. Ltd. Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to declare the action of TNEB and PTC in treating the non-acceptance of generation and supply of power for the month of September and October 2009 as arbitrary and illegal. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No.16 of 2011	1. Shree Ambika Sug.Ltd., 2. Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct PTC to make payment of Rs.4,97,14,775/- being the amount illegally deducted from the bills of 1 st petitioner. For arguments.
12	M.P.No. 31 of 2013	ARS Metals (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director Operation, TANTRANSCO	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to grant approval for erecting dedicated transmission lines by the petitioner. For arguments.
13	D.R.P.No.5 of 2011	Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the respondent to pay Rs.1,91,58,166/- being the amount payable at the contractual rate for the 41,15,180 units supplied to the respondent. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary