

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**REVISED CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 27-2- 2014**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 11am**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No.44 of 2014	Mirra and Mirra Industries Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) C FC/Revenue 3) SE, CEDC, North 4)SE, Tirunelveli EDC, 5)AO, Revenue, CEDC, North	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to grant an interim stay of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent letter dated 14-9-2012 and adjust the energy generated by Captive wind mills and other wind mills and raise the CC Bills accordingly. For admission and interim relief.
2	D.R.P.No.22 of 2012	International Institute of Bio Technology & Toxicology Versus SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Adv. Anitha Sumanth Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to quash the impugned letter of the respondent dated 19-10-2012. For arguments.
3	D.R.P.No.24 of 2012	OPG Energy Pvt. Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to pay the petitioner at the rate of Rs.5.05 per unit in respect of 27,39,254 units along with interest at 12%. For arguments.
4	M.P.No.43 of 2012	Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumer Assn., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L. Rajah Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to equitably distribute such restriction and control measures without exempting any class or category of consumers from such restriction and control measures. For arguments.
5	I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2013 in M.P.No.7 of 2013	Coimbatore District Small Scale Industries Association Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to equitably distribute Load Shedding without exempting any class or category of consumers from such Load Shedding. For arguments.
6	M.P.No.44 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner for continuation of adoption of the present system of distribution to overcome the deficit supply of power. For arguments.

7	P.P.A.P.No.9 of 2012	Ind-Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul balaji  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
8	M.P.No.49 of 2012	DCW Ltd., Versus 1) CMD, TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3)SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. C. Seethapathy  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the respondent to implement the Commission Order No.1 of 2009 and impose penalty for not following the provision 8.11 of the Order No.1 of 2009. For arguments.
9	D.R.P.No.28 of 2012	1) Green Infra Wind Power Projects Ltd., 2) Green Infra Wind Generation Ltd., 3) Green Infra Wind Farms Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to issue a direction in view of the MUST RUN status on all wind energy generators. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran  
Secretary