

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 19-2-2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 11am

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	S.M.P.No. of 2013	Electro Automotive Madras Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Thiru. N. Azhagarsami AEE, Enforcement Chennai EDC South. 2) Thiru. N. Ravichandran	Thiru. R.Venkatraman	Summon to appear in person.
2	D.R.P.No. 1 of 2014	M/s. Suryadev Alloys and power pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Director / Operation, TANTRANSCO. 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC, North	Adv. K. Seshadri Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix the E.M.D., Development charges, Service Connection charges and Meter Caution Deposit and direct the respondents to refund the excess amounts collected. For admission.
3	D.R.P.No. 2 of 2014	M/s. Suryadev Alloys and power pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Director / Operation, TANTRANSCO. 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC, North	Adv. K. Seshadri Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix the O&M, Inspection and Supervision charges for maintaining 230 K.V. Switch yard and direct the respondents to refund the excess amounts collected. For admission.
4	D.R.P.No. 3 of 2014	M/s. Suryadev Alloys and power pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Director / Operation, TANTRANSCO. 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC, North	Adv. K. Seshadri Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix the establishment and supervision charges for the transmission lines to be erected and direct the respondents to refund the excess amounts collected. For admission.
5	I.A.Nos.1&2 of 2014 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2014	B&G Solar Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) Dir. Finance 4) SE, Nagapattinam EDC	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to set aside the letter dated 9-12-2013 issued by TANGEDCO. For admission and interim relief.
6	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) Dir. Finance 4) SE, Nagapattinam EDC	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to set aside the 2 nd respondent letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For admission and interim relief.

7	M.P.No.15 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to waive the BPS charges in respect of willing HT< consumers who come forward to make arrears of CC charges in one lump sum. For admission.
8	P.R.C. No. 1 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus 1) D.B. Power Ltd., and 10 others.	Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to waive the court fees of Rs. Rs.83,25,000/-. For arguments.
9	R.P.No.4 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Thiru. V. R. Geethanathan	Praying to review the order dated 28-9-2012 passed in M.P.No.10 of 2012. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Satyaseelan Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12,82,83,441/-. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No.13 of 2012	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to use alternate fuel to maintain PLF of the plant as contemplated in PPA. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary