

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 5-2-2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.7 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.35,99,523/- along with interest at 15% pa. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No.8 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 10,29,246/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For admission.
3	D.R.P.No.9 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 3,80,964/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For admission.
4	D.R.P.No. 10 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 4,91,040/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For admission.
5	D.R.P.No. 11 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.15,71,328/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For admission.
6	D.R.P.No. 12 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 7,91,329/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For admission.
7	I.A.No. 1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2012	Samalpatti Power Company Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to amend the prayer in the main petition. For admission.
8	D.R.P.No.3 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	M/s. Iyer & Thomas Adv. Karthick Seshadri	Praying to make rules on calculation of deemed demand for power purchased on reliability charges or purchased under inter state open access rules. For further arguments.
9	D.R.P.No.4 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	M/s. Iyer & Thomas Adv. Karthick Seshadri	Praying to make a rule for grid support to consumers who are having CPP within their premises. For further arguments.

10	D.R.P.No.5 of 2011	Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan	Praying to direct the respondent to pay Rs.1,91,58,166/- being the amount payable at the contractual rate for the 41,15,180 units supplied to the respondent. For arguments.
11	R.P.No.1 of 2012	M/s. Sree Kaderi Ambal Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) CE, NCES, TNEB 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to review the order dated 3-11-2011. For arguments.
12	D.R.P.No. 6 of 2012	Karmic Business Specialities Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, TNEB 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. P. Subba Reddy “ K. Aparna Devi	Praying to direct the respondents to release outstanding dues as per PPA. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary