

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**REVISED CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 22-4-2014**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30pm**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No. 17 of 2013	Sri Rohith Spinners (P) Ltd. Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) SE, Mettur EDC 3) AO, Mettur	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
2	M.P.No. 18 of 2013	Suriya Spinning Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
3	M.P.No. 19 of 2013	Sree Saravanabalaji Textiles Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore south	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
4	M.P.No. 20 of 2013	Suriya Spinning Mills Unit B, Pollachi Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
5	M.P.No. 21 of 2013	Shri Dhanalakshmi Spintex (P) Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore south	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
6	M.P.No. 22 of 2013	T.T. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES 3) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
7	M.P.No. 23 of 2013	Pallipalayam Spinners (P) Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES 3) SE, Salem EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
8	M.P.No. 24 of 2013	K.M.Plastics Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore south	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
9	M.P.No. 25 of 2013	N.S.P.Knitting Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES 3) SE, Erode EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.

10	M.P.No. 26 of 2013	Rasi Tex (In) Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Namakkal EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
11	M.P.No. 29 of 2013	Arcot Soles (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tiruppattur EDC 3) DFC Tiruppattur EDC 4) Shalivagana Wind Energy Ltd.,	Adv. Seshadri Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
12	M.P.No. 30 of 2013	Farida Shoes (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tiruppattur EDC 3) DFC Tiruppattur EDC 4) Shalivagana Wind Energy Ltd.,	Adv. Seshadri Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
13	M.P.No. 32 of 2013	Venilakshmi Mills (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore North	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
14	M.P.No. 33 of 2013	VMD Mills (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore South	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
15	M.P.No. 34 of 2013	Mallur Siddeswara Spinning Mills (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Namakkal EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
16	M.P.No. 35 of 2013	Shri Cheran Synthetics India Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Mettur EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
17	M.P.No. 36 of 2013	Coimbatore Kalpanaa Tex Mill Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore North	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
18	M.P.No. 37 of 2013	Raghav Industries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Namakkal	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
19	M.P.No. 38 of 2013	Lucky Yarn Tex India td., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Mettur EDC 3) AO, Mettur EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
20	M.P.No. 39 of 2013	Chenniappa Yarn Spinners Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.

21	M.P.No. 40 of 2013	K.A.C. Yarn Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Salem EDC 3) AO, Salem EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
22	M.P.No. 41 of 2013	Arunkumar Spinning Mills (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
23	M.P.No. 42 of 2013	Senthil Nathan Spinning Mills (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Dharmapuri EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
24	M.P.No. 43 of 2013	VSM Weaves India Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Mettur EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
25	M.P.No. 44 of 2013	Viking Textiles Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Erode EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
26	M.P.No. 45 of 2013	K.S.R. Textiles Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Mettur EDC 3) AO, Mettur EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
27	M.P.No. 46 of 2013	K.S.R. Textiles Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Mettur EDC 3) AO, Mettur EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
28	M.P.No. 47 of 2013	Gangai Spinning Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirupur EDC 3) AO, Tirupur EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
29	M.P.No. 48 of 2013	Hindustan Cotton Spinning Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
30	M.P.No. 49 of 2013	K.A.S. Industries India Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Erode EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
31	M.P.No. 50 of 2013	Mirnal Spinning Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
32	M.P.No. 51 of 2013	Mirnal Spinning Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.

33	M.P.No. 54 of 2013	VTM Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, virudhunagar EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
34	M.P.No. 55 of 2013	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
35	M.P.No. 56 of 2013	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
36	M.P.No. 57 of 2013	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
37	M.P.No. 58 of 2013	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirupur EDC 3) AO, Tirupur EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
38	M.P.No. 59 of 2013	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
39	M.P.No. 60 of 2013	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirupur EDC 3) AO, Tirupur EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
40	M.P.No. 61 of 2013	Palladam Hi-Tech Weaving park Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirupur EDC 3) AO, Tirupur EDC	Adv. N.L. Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
41	M.P.No. 62 of 2013	Harshni Textiles Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
42	M.P.No. 63 of 2013	Rajave Textiles Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
43	M.P.No. 64 of 2013	Precot Meridian Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
44	M.P.No. 65 of 2013	Precot Meridian Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.

45	M.P.No. 66 of 2013	Sabari Textiles Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
46	M.P.No. 67 of 2013	Ennar Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
47	M.P.No. 68 of 2013	Standard Spinning and Weaving Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
48	M.P.No. 69 of 2013	Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Madurai EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
49	M.P.No. 70 of 2013	Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
50	M.P.No. 71 of 2013	Super Spinning Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
51	M.P.No. 74 of 2013	M/s. Sandhya Spinning Mill Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
52	M.P.No. 75 of 2013	M/s. Sri Vishnu Shankar Mill Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
53	M.P.No. 76 of 2013	Sudarsanam Spinning Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
54	M.P.No. 77 of 2013	Sri Ramco Spinners Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
55	M.P.No. 78 of 2013	Rajapalayam Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
56	M.P.No. 79 of 2013	Rajapalayam Spinners Private Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
57	M.P.No. 80 of 2013	Rajapalayam Textiles Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.

58	M.P.No. 1 of 2014	Raju Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., HTSC No.78  Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
59	M.P.No. 2 of 2014	Raju Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., HTSC No.173  Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
60	M.P.No. 3 of 2014	Eastern Condiments Pvt. Ltd.,  Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Theni EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
61	M.P.No. 4 of 2015	Aswin Textiles Pvt. Ltd.,  Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
62	M.P.No. 5 of 2014	Raju Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd.,  Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
63	M.P.No. 6 of 2014	Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas Ltd.,  Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
64	M.P.No. 7 of 2014	Iswari Spinning Mills  Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
65	M.P.No. 8 of 2014	Sri Raju Cotton Mills  Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
66	M.P.No.10 of 2014	Eveready Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
67	M.P.No.11 of 2014	Eveready Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Unit II  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.

68	M.P.No.12 of 2014	Eveready Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Unit III Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
69	M.P.No.13 of 2014	Eveready Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Unit IV Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
70	M.P.No.14 of 2014	K.M.D. Clothing Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Salem EDC 3) AO, Salem EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
71	M.P.No. 16 of 2014	Super Sales India Ltd., Unit I Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. N.L Rajah  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
72	M.P.No.17 of 2014	Super Sales India Ltd., Unit II Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L. Rajah  Adv. P.H.Vinod Pandian	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
73	D.R.P.No.17 of 2012	PTC India Ltd., Versus 1) SV Sugar Mills Ltd., 2) TNEB 3) TANGEDCO 4) SLDC	Adv. Varun Pathak  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the SV Sugar to pay Rs.8,53,70,112/- crore along with interest at 18% p.a. till the date of filing this petition. For arguments.
74	D.R.P.No.25 of 2012	PTC India Ltd., Versus 1) Auomira Energy Co.P.Ltd., 2) TANGEDCO	Adv. Varun Pathak  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the 1 <sup>st</sup> respondent to make a payment of Rs.1,19,31,776/- along with interest of Rs.26,76,248/- for not complying with its contractual obligations. For arguments.
75	D.R.P.No.7 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.35,99,523/- along with interest at 15% pa. For arguments.
76	D.R.P.No.8 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 10,29,246/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For arguments.
77	D.R.P.No.9 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 3,80,964/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For arguments.

78	D.R.P.No. 10 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 4,91,040/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For arguments.
79	D.R.P.No. 11 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.15,71,328/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For arguments.
80	D.R.P.No. 12 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 7,91,329/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For arguments.
81	D.R.P.No.5 of 2011	Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus  1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the respondent to pay Rs.1,91,58,166/- being the amount payable at the contractual rate for the 41,15,180 units supplied to the respondent. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran  
Secretary