

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST****Cases posted for 28-03-2013 – 11.30 AM****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time:**

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or Parties	Remarks
1.	R.A.No. 4 of 2011	(1) T.N. Electricity Consumers' Association, Coimbatore (2) SIMA, Coimbatore Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru N.L. Rajah Advocate for petitioner	For orders
2.	DRP No.9 of 2012	T.T. Ltd., Avinashi Versus Chairman, TANGEDCO and 4 others	Thiru R.S. Pandiyaraj Advocate for petitioner	For orders
3.	DRP No.10 of 2012	T.T. Industries, Tirupur Versus Chairman, TANGEDCO and 4 others	Thiru R.S. Pandiyaraj Advocate for petitioner	For orders
4.	M.P.22 of 2012	R. Nawal Kishore Singh Versus TNEB rep. by J.E.(O&M), Gorimedu, Salem	Thiru A.S. Madheswaran, Advocate for petitioner	For orders
5.	M.P. 36 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Chief Engineer/PPP TANGEDCO	For orders
6.	M.P.45 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Chief Engineer/PPP TANGEDCO	For orders
7.	M.P.47 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Chief Engineer/PPP TANGEDCO	For orders
8.	M.P.1 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Chief Engineer/PPP TANGEDCO	For orders
9.	I.A. 2 of 2013 in T.P.2 of 2013	TANTRANSCO Versus Nil	Chairman & M.D. TANTRANSCO	Praying to extend the validity of T.O.2 of 2012 till the issue of the new Tariff Order - For admission
10.	T.P.No. 1 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Chairman & M.D. TANGEDCO	For extension of the validity of T.O.1 of 2012 till the issue of new T.O. For interim order.

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or Parties	Remarks
11.	DRP 16 of 2013	M/s. Cauvery Power Generation Chennai Pvt. Ltd. Versus (1) TANGEDCO (2) S.E/Chennai EDC	Thiru Vinod Kumar Advocate	Praying to declare that the respondent is entitled only Rs.10.45 per kwh for start-up power and to declare that the petitioner is entitled to avail start up power from the grid after 16-11-2012 without service connection - For admission
12.	I.A. 1 of 2013 in PPAP 2 of 2013 and PPAP 2 of 2013	M/s. TULSYAN NEC Ltd. Versus (1) TANGEDCO (2) C.E (PPP)	Thiru K. Seshadri and R.Veeramani Advocates	Praying to direct the respondents to accept the infirm power from the petitioner's 1x35 MW plant and to fix rate for the infirm power - For admission.
13.	I.A. 1 in PPAP 3 of 2013 and PPAP 3 of 2013	M/s. Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd. Versus (1) TANGEDCO (2) C.E (PPP)	Thiru K. Seshadri and R.Veeramani Advocates	Praying to direct the respondents to accept the infirm power from the petitioner's 1x80 MW plant and to fix rate for the infirm power - For admission.
14.	SMP 1 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Chief Engineer (Commercial)	In the matter of delay in replacing the defective meters. For appearance of C.E (Commercial)
15.	SMP 2 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Chief Engineer (Commercial)	In the matter of delay in effecting service connection. For appearance of C.E (Commercial)
16.	M.P.5 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Thiru Seshadri C.E(PPP)	Praying to approve the initiation of procurement of short term power of 300 MW within southern region and to float tender - For admission.
17.	M.P.5 of 2012	Indian Wind Power Association Versus (1) TANGEDCO (2) CE/NCES	Thiru Rahul Balaji Advocate	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-12. For argument.
18.	M.P.7 of 2012	The Southern India Mills Association Versus (1) TANGEDCO (2) CE/NCES	Thiru N.L. Rajah Advocate	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-12 by further period of 3 months – For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

**K. Veeramani
Director – Legal**