

**No. 1 / 2013 dated: 17-12-2012**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 8-1- 2013**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 11.30 to 13.30 and 14.30 onwards**

<b>Sl.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel or parties</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	D.R.P.No.28 of 2012	1) Green Infra Wind Power Projects Ltd., 2) Green Infra Wind Generation Ltd., 3) Green Infra Wind Farms Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to issue a direction in view of the MUST RUN status on all wind energy generators. For admission.
2	R.P.No.3 of 2012	New Tirupur Area Development Corporation Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirupur EDC 3) SE, Erode EDC 4) Secretary, Energy Deptt.	Adv. T. Poornam	Praying to direct the respondents not to raise invoices and take any coercive steps to disconnect the service connection of the petitioner. For arguments.
3	P.P.A.P.No.7 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Jindal Power Ltd., 2) Adani Enterprises Ltd.,	Thiru. Seshadri CE, PPP	Praying to consider the adoption of tariff. For arguments.
4	M.P.No.36 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Thiru. Seshadri CE/PPP	Praying to approve the short term power purchase of 1000 MW and approve the deviations in certain parts of the guidelines and standard bid documents. For arguments.
5	D.R.P.No.17 of 2011	Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., Versus 1)Director, TANTRANSCO 2)Director, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Nagapattinam EDC 4) TNEB	Adv. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan	Direct the respondent to pay for 509340 units of power exported into the grid during 4-1-2011 and 20-1-2011. For arguments.
6	D.R.P.No. 24 of 2011	Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. SE, Cuddalore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.5,40,90,289/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 26-3-2004. For arguments.
7	D.R.P.No. 25 of 2011	Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. SE, Tanjavur EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.3,31,49,875/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 31-3-2004. For arguments.

8	D.R.P.No. 26 of 2011	Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. SE, Cuddalore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.15,34,33,236/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 18-8-2004. For arguments.
9	D.R.P.No. 27 of 2011	Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. SE, Tanjavur EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.1,67,24,532/- in terms of clause 7 of PPA dated 15-3-2004. For arguments.
10	D.R.P.No. 6 of 2012	Karmic Business Specialities Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, TNEB 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. P. Subba Reddy " K. Aparna Devi	Praying to direct the respondents to release outstanding dues as per PPA. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No. 9 of 2012	T.T. Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC, TANGEDCO 4) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.5,03,353/- together with interest of Rs.17,65,126/-. For arguments.
12	D.R.P.No.10 of 2012	T.T. Industries Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC, TANGEDCO 4) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.66,38,940/- together with interest of Rs.19,55,589/-For arguments.
13	I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2012 in D.R.P.No.11 of 2012	Sree Rangaraaj Ispat Industries Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) CE/PPP, TNEB 3) SE, Erode EDC	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to direct the respondents to implement the order No.2 dated 15-5-2006. For arguments.
14	I.A.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2012 in D.R.P.No.12 of 2012	Sree Rangaraaj Ispat Industries Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) CE/PPP, TNEB 3) SE, Erode EDC	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to direct the respondents to implement the order No.2 dated 15-5-2006. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran  
Secretary