

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 29-5-2012

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1.	S.M.P.No.3 of 2012	1) Tmt. S. Jothimani 2) Thiru. K.S. Palanisamy Versus 1) AE, O&M East Erode EDC 2) AEE, O&M, Erode EDC 3) EE, Erode EDC	Tmt. S. Jothimani Thiru.K.S.Palanisamy Thiru. M. Thatshnamoorthi Tmt. I. Rani Thiru. N. Sekar	Both parties are directed to appear in person.
2.	M.P.No.5 of 2012	Indian Wind Power Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-2012 to May 31 st 2012. For counter.
3.	M.P.No.7 of 2012	The Southern India Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-2012 by a further period of three months. For counter.
4.	D.R.P.No. 7 of 2012	Vish Wind Infrastructure LLP Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar " Chitra Narayan	Praying to direct the respondent to remit the outstanding dues of Rs.3,14,92,265/- . For counter.
5.	D.R.P.No. 8 of 2012	Vaayu (India) Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar " Chitra Narayan	Praying to direct the respondent to remit the outstanding dues of Rs.5,90,32,792/- . For counter.
6.	D.R.P.No.4 of 2012	Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, DEDC, Dindigul.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to amend the prayer in D.R.P.No. 4 of 2012. For counter.
7.	D.R.P.No. 9 of 2012	T.T. Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC, TANGEDCO 4) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.5,03,353/- together with interest of Rs.17,65,126/- . For counter.
8.	D.R.P.No.10 of 2012	T.T. Industries Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) Director Finance 3) CFC, TANGEDCO 4) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 5) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.66,38,940/- together with interest of Rs.19,55,589/- . For counter.

9.	P.P.A.P.No. 9 of 2011	CE, NCES, TANGEDCO Versus 1. Arashi Hi-Tech Bio Power Ltd., 2. G.K. Bio Energy Pvt., Ltd., 3. Chennai Metro Development Authority. 4. Auromira Energy Ltd., 5. Subashree Bio-Energies (P) Ltd.,	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix power purchase tariff applicable for biomass power plants commissioned based on power purchase agreements executed prior to 15-5-2006 for the period from 1-4-2010. For counter.
10.	R.A.No.4 of 2011	1) TECA 2) SIMA Versus TNEB	Adv. N. L. Rajah	For arguments.
11.	D.R.P.No.7 of 2011	Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the respondent to refund the amounts collected from 1 st October 2009 towards transmission and wheeling charges. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary