

**No. 28 / 2012 dated: 26- 9-2012**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 28 - 9- 2012**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30 pm**

<b>Sl.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel or parties</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	P.P.A.P.No.7 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Jindal Power Ltd., 2) Adani Enterprises Ltd.,	Thiru. Seshadri CE, PPP	Praying to consider the adoption of tariff. For admission.
2	P.P.A.P.No.8 of 2012	Sterlite Industries (India) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to accept the supply of infirm power. For admission.
3	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in M.P.No.18 of 2012	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Association Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to permit the petitioner to implead in the matter of installation of ABT compliant meters by HT consumers and open access customers. For admission.
4	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in P.P.A.P.No. 3 of 2012	Thiru. S. Gandhi, PESOT Versus TANGEDCO	Thiru. S. Gandhi	Praying to admit the impleading petition. For admission.
5	M.P.No.25 of 2012	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to declare that the petitioner's captive power plant comprised of steam powered turbo generators as cogeneration plants. For admission.
6	M.P.No.26 of 2012	TANTRANSCO Versus Nil	Thiru. L. Udayakumar CE, Transmission	Praying to approve the base unitary charges as Rs.12,03,42,500/- per month for providing transmission service by probable private developer for 400 KV Wind power corridor. For admission.
7	D.R.P.No.15 of 2012	Orchid Chemicals & Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Versus 1) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the respondents to give refund/adjustment of Rs.24,50,952/- towards excess demand charges collected from the petitioner. For admission.

8	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian 2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani	Thiru. Manoharan Chief Financial Controller/ Revenue	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For counter.
9	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in R.P.No.3 of 2012	New Tirupur Area Development Corporation Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirupur EDC 3) SE, Erode EDC 4) Secretary, Energy Deptt.	Adv. T. Poornam	Praying to condone the delay of 56 days. For admission.
10	R.A.No.4 of 2011	1) TECA 2) SIMA Versus TNEB	Adv. N. L. Rajah	For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran  
Secretary