

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 16-11-2012**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time: 14.30 hrs.**

<b>Sl.No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel or Parties</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1.	D.R.P.No.7 of 2012	Vish Wind Infrastructure LLP Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.P.Vinod Kumar Adv. Chitra Narayan	For orders.
2.	D.R.P.No.8 of 2012	Vaayu (India) Power Corporation Pvt.Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.P.Vinod Kumar Adv.Chitra Narayan	For orders.
3.	M.P.No.15 of 2012	Goyal Ispat Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Thiru. Vinod Kothari	For orders.
4.	M.P.No.20 of 2012	Sandfits Foundries (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	For orders.
5.	T.L.A.No.1 of 2011	R.S.Yarns & Power P.Ltd., Versus Nil	Thiru S.Babu	For orders.
6.	M.P.No.32 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Thiru.Seshadri, CE	Praying to approve the deviations in certain parts of the guidelines and standard bid documents. For admission.

7.	M.P.No.38 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Thiru. R.N.Sekar, CE, NCES	Praying to clarify whether the transmission charges to be collected by TANGEDCO may be per day per MW or part thereof basis or in proportion to the capacity of the WEG. For admission.
8.	M.P.No.39 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Thiru. Arulsamy, CFC	Praying to approve the additional capitalization for the financial year 2010-11 to 2012-13. For admission.
9.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in M.P.No.40 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP	Praying to condone the delay and approve the dispatch of power from the high cost independent power producers outside the merit order for the month of September 2012. For admission.
10.	D.R.P.No.19 of 2012	Madurai Power Corporation Pvt.Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondent to make payment of a sum of Rs.232,31,48,328/- For admission.
11.	I.A.Nos.1 & 2 of 2012 in D.R.P.No.2 0 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Satyaseelan	Praying for early hearing and stay the operation and the enforcing of the respondent letter dated 5-9-2012 and declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages. For admission and interim relief.
12.	D.R.P.No.2 1 of 2012	Raghu Rama Renewable Energy Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Ramnad EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondents to refund the sum of Rs.2,22,26,000/- along with interest of Rs.53,35,560/- as compensation towards short supply for the period from November 2011 till May 2012. For admission.

13.	D.R.P.No.9 of 2011	Lanco Tanjore Power Co., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. P.Vinod Kumar Adv.Chitra Narayan Adv. Aswin Unnikrishnan	Praying to adjudicate the dispute between the petitioner and respondent arising out of the issuance of notice dated 26-8-2010, whereby demand has been made for an amount of Rs.1,59,57,115/-. For arguments.
14.	D.R.P.No.1 7 of 2011	Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., Versus 1) Director, TANTRANSCO 2) Director, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Nagapattinam EDC 4) TNEB	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.Chitra Narayan	Direct the respondent to pay for 509340 units of power exported into the grid during 4-1- 2011 and 20-1-2011. For arguments.
15.	D.R.P.No.2 0 of 2011	MMS Steel & Power Pvt.Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Direct the respondent to pay Rs.4.67 per unit amounting to Rs.2,30,33,089 for power supplied between 24-5-2010 to 21-6-2010. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

S.Gunasekaran  
Secretary