

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 16- 4- 2012

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in M.P.No.26 of 2011	M/s. Spic Electric Power Corporation (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	The case is posted for sufficiency of court fees.
2.	I.A.No. 1 of 2012 in M.P.No. 6 of 2012	Coastal Energen Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to issue a direction to the respondents to amend the terms of the tender dated 3-12-2011. For admission and interim relief.
3.	M.P.No. 3 of 2012	MMS Steel and Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the orders made in D.R.P.No. 5 of 2010. For admission.
4.	M.P.No.9 of 2012	Numeric Power Systems Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to direct the respondent to issue a tie up approval for connecting the petitioner's plant to Kuppapalayam substation in order to facilitate generation of green power. For admission.
5.	M.P.No. 8 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to approve the deviations in certain parts of the guidelines and standard bid documents. For admission.
6.	M.P.No.10 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit to impose the additional R & C measures as stipulated in memo dated 29-2-2012. For admission.
7.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 in M.P.No. 2 of 2012	Chennai Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Versus SLDC	M/s. Dua Associates	Praying to clarify whether obligated entity include captive co-generation power plants or not. For admission and interim relief.
8.	M.P.No.23 of 2011	Ind Barath Thermal Power Ltd., Versus 1) MD, TANTRANSCO 2) DO, TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the orders passed in M.P.No.42 of 2011 dated 20-4-2011. For appraisal of the status of the pending case before APTEL.

9.	M.P.No.4 of 2012	Indian Wind Power Association Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to extend the time for implementation of RPO to next year March 2013 instead of March 2012. For admission.
10.	M.P.No.5 of 2012	Indian Wind Power Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-2012 to May 31 st 2012. For admission.
11.	M.P.No.7 of 2012	The Southern India Mills Association Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Praying to extend the banking period for the year 2011-2012 by a further period of three months. For admission.
12.	M.P.No.33 of 2011	RL Clean Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. SE, Sivagangai EDC	Adv. Rahul balaji	Praying to declare the TANGEDCO proceedings No. 83 dated 16-3-2011 is in violation of Tariff Order No.1 of 2010 dated 27-5-2010. For counter.
13.	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus National Energy Trading and Services Ltd.,	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to approve the tariff as agreed by the parties in power purchase agreement dated 19-1-2012. For arguments.
14.	M.P.No.31 of 2011	DCW Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to declare that the petitioner 2 X 25 MW plant being a co-generation plant, not required to procure power from NCES. For arguments.
15.	R.A.No.4 of 2011	1) TECA 2) SIMA Versus TNEB	Adv. N. L. Rajah	For arguments.
16.	P.P.A.P. No.1 of 2011	1. Sakthi Sugars Ltd., 2. TNPL Versus TNEB / TANGEDCO	Thiru. Shivakumar “ Suresh	Praying to fix the power purchase price for using coal instead of bagasse by the petitioner. For arguments.
17.	M.P.No.8 of 2011	Sai Regency Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. A. Jenasenan “ E. Manoharan Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to pass appropriate orders against the respondent Board for disobedience of the Commission's order dated 7-9-2010 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2010. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary